The Principles of the Most Ancient and Modern Philosophy

ANNE CONWAY

1631–1679

The Principles of the Most Ancient and Modern Philosophy

The 1692 text

Rendered into modern English with AI (Claude) and edited



FORAGE
forage.com

The Principles of the Most Ancient and Modern Philosophy · Anne Conway (1631–1679)

Rendered into modern English with AI (Claude) and edited. Parallel-text edition, Forage, September 2026. forage.com/conway/principles

Original text: *The Principles of the Most Ancient and Modern Philosophy* (London, 1692), translated from the Latin edition (Amsterdam, 1690) by "J.C.", from the EEBO-TCP transcription (github.com/textcreationpartnership/A34395) (CC0); the long s is printed as s, and a few illegible letters and Greek or Hebrew words are supplied from the 1690 Latin (scan (archive.org/details/bub_gb_y6hbDBQqTiQC)). The 1692 English is used because Conway's English original is lost and no clean open transcription of the 1690 Latin exists. The editor's chapter summaries, prefaces and annotations are omitted. Modern version: rewritten from the 1692 English with AI (Claude), then edited. Not reviewed by a human specialist. Free to reuse under CC BY-SA 4.0. Margin numbers are chapter and section (§).

The original text is in the public domain. This translation is free to share and adapt under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International license (CC BY-SA 4.0): creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0

Set in Source Serif 4.

CONTENTS

Introduction vii

Chapter I 1

Chapter II 3

Chapter III 7

Infinite worlds 8

Creatures within creatures 9

Infinite divisibility 12

Chapter IV 17

Chapter V 19

Christ, eternity and time 23

Chapter VI 27

Only three kinds of being 29

Errors on either side 31

Can a horse become a man? 32

Justice in transmutation 36

Against endless hellfire 40

How creatures are composed 42

Chapter VII 45

First reason: the order of things 45

Second reason: the divine attributes 50

Third reason: the soul's love of the body 52

Penetrability comes in degrees 57

Chapter VIII 67

How God moves bodies 69

Fifth reason: spirits in every body 72

Sixth reason: Scripture 75

Chapter IX 77

Neither Descartes nor Hobbes 77

What body really is 81

Vital action and local motion 83

INTRODUCTION

Anne Conway (1631–1679) was one of the most original philosophers of seventeenth-century England. Largely self-taught and in pain for most of her adult life from crippling headaches, she studied with the Cambridge Platonist Henry More and later with the physician and Kabbalist Francis Mercury van Helmont, and she wrote this short treatise in her notebooks in the 1670s. After her death van Helmont had it translated into Latin and published in Amsterdam in 1690; her English original is lost. The text here is the English version of 1692, translated back from that Latin, which is the form in which English readers first met it. We use it because no clean, openly licensed transcription of the 1690 Latin exists, while the 1692 English has been carefully transcribed by the Text Creation Partnership and placed in the public domain.

Conway recognizes only three kinds of being: God, who is unchangeable; Christ, a middle nature between God and creatures (the Adam Kadmon of the Kabbalah); and creatures, which are always changing. Against Descartes she denies that mind and matter are two different substances: spirit and body are one created substance in different degrees, body being spirit that has grown dense, and every body having life and perception. Each creature contains infinitely many smaller ones down to what she calls "monads," a word Leibniz, who read her book, would soon make famous. Creatures can pass from one species into another, and all punishment is medicinal: in the end even the fallen will return to goodness.

The last chapters argue that this philosophy is neither Cartesian nor, despite appearances, the materialism of Hobbes and Spinoza, which she thinks it refutes better than their critics had. The right-hand column is Conway in modern English; the left is the 1692 text.

Chapter I

Of God and his attributes
God is spirit, light and life, without time or change; how the Trinity can be understood as God, his Wisdom (Idea, Word) and his Will.

	1692	MODERN
1.1	God is a Spirit, Light, and Life, infinitely Wise, Good, Just, Mighty, Omniscient, Omnipresent, Omnipotent, Creator and Maker of all things visible and invisible. See *Adumbratio Kabbalae Christianae*, Chap. 2. §. 2.—7. *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 2. Part 3.	God is a spirit, light, and life. He is infinitely wise, good, just, and mighty, omniscient, omnipresent, and omnipotent, the creator and maker of all things visible and invisible. See *Adumbratio Kabbalae Christianae*, chap. 2, §§ 2–7, *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 2, part 3.[1]
1.2	In God there is neither Time nor Change, nor Composition, nor Division of Parts: He is wholly and universally one in himself, and of himself, without any manner of Variety or Mixture: He hath no manner of Darkness, or Corporiety in him, and so consequently no kind of Form or Figure whatsoever. See *Philosoph. Kabbalistic. dissertatio.* ch. 3. *in Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 3.	In God there is no time, no change, no composition, and no division into parts. He is wholly and universally one in himself and of himself, without any kind of variety or mixture. There is no darkness or corporeality of any kind in him, and so, consequently, no form or shape of any kind whatever. See *Philosophiae Kabbalisticae dissertatio*, chap. 3, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3.
1.3	He is also in a proper and real sence, a Substance or Essence distinct from his Creatures, although he is not divided, or separated from them; but most strictly and in the highest degree intimately present in them all; yet so as they are not parts of him, nor can be changed into him, nor he into them: He is also in a true and proper sence a Creator of all Things, who doth not only give them their Form and Figure, but also Being, Life, Body, and whatsoever else of Good they have. See *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 2. Pag. 30. 332.	He is also, in a proper and real sense, a substance or essence distinct from his creatures, although he is not divided or separated from them. On the contrary, he is present in them all most strictly and in the highest degree intimately. Yet he is present in such a way that they are not parts of him, and they cannot be changed into him, nor he into them. He is also, in a true and proper sense, the creator of all things. He gives them not only their form and shape but also their being, life, body, and whatever else they have that is good. See *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 2, pp. 30, 332.
1.4	Seeing then that in him there is no Time, nor any Mutability, hence it is that in him there can exist no new Knowledge or Will, but his Knowledge and Will are Eternal, and without or above time. See *Philosoph. Kabbalistic. Dissertatio* 3. Ch. 1. *in Kabbal. denudat.* Tom. 1. Part. 3. *& ibid.* Ch. 6.	Since, then, there is no time and no mutability in him, there can be no new knowledge or will in him. His knowledge and will are eternal, outside or above time. See *Philosophiae Kabbalisticae dissertatio* 3, chap. 1, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3, and ibid., chap. 6.
1.5	Likewise in God there can exist no Passion, which to speak properly comes from his Creatures: For	Likewise there can be no passion in God. Passion, properly speaking, comes from his creatures, for

1 *Kabbala denudata* ("The Kabbalah Unveiled," 1677–84) is Christian Knorr von Rosenroth's Latin anthology of kabbalistic texts. The *Adumbratio Kabbalae Christianae* ("Sketch of a Christian Kabbalah") is a treatise printed in its second volume.

1692

every Passion is something Temporal, and hath its Beginning, and end with Time.

1.6 In God is an *Idea*, which is the Image of himself, or a Word existing within him; which in Substance or Essence is one and the same with him, by which he knows not only himself, but all other things, and according to which, yea by which *Idea* or Word, all things were made and created.

1.7 By the like Reason in God is a Spirit or Will which proceeds from him, and yet as to Substance or Essence is something one with him, by which Creatures receive their Being and Activity: For Creatures have their Being and Existence simply and alone from him, because God would have them to be, whose Will is according to Knowledge most infinite. And thus Wisdom and Will in God, are not a certain Substance or Being distinct from him; but only distinct Manners or Properties of one and the same Substance; and seeing this is that which some of the Wisest and most Judicious Christians understand by the Word Trinity.

If now we should neglect that Phrase of Three distinct Persons, which is a Stone of Offence to *Jews* as well as *Turks*, and other People, and indeed in it self hath no sound Reason, nor can be any where found in Scripture; yet all would easily agree in this point: For they cannot deny that God hath Wisdom, and an Essential *Idea*, and such a Word in himself by which he knows all things;

and when they grant he giveth all Things their Being, they will be necessarily forced to acknowledge that there is a Will in him, by which he can accomplish and bring that into Act which was hid in the *Idea*, that is, can produce it, and from thence make a distinct Essential Substance; and this alone is to create, *viz.* the Essence of a Creature: Nevertheless the *Idea* alone doth not give being to the Creature; but the Will join'd with the *Idea*, as when a Master-Builder conceives in his Mind the *Idea* of an House, he doth not build that House by the *Idea* alone, but the Will is joined with the *Idea*, and co-operates therewith.

MODERN

every passion is something temporal, which has its beginning and end with time.

In God there is an *idea*, which is the image of himself, or a word existing within him. In substance or essence it is one and the same with him. By it he knows not only himself but all other things, and all things were made and created according to this *idea* or word, and indeed by it.

For the same reason there is in God a spirit or will that proceeds from him and yet, as to substance or essence, is one with him. By it creatures receive their being and activity. For creatures have their being and existence simply and solely from him, because God willed them to be, and his will accords with his most infinite knowledge. Thus wisdom and will in God are not a substance or being distinct from him. They are only distinct modes or properties of one and the same substance. And this is what some of the wisest and most judicious Christians understand by the word Trinity.

Suppose we now set aside the phrase “three distinct persons.” It is a stumbling block to Jews as well as Muslims and other peoples, it has in itself no sound reason behind it, and it is found nowhere in Scripture. Then everyone would easily agree on this point, for they cannot deny that God has wisdom, an essential *idea*, and a word within himself by which he knows all things.

And when they grant that he gives all things their being, they will be forced to acknowledge that there is a will in him. By this will he can accomplish and bring into act what was hidden in the *idea*: that is, he can produce it and make from it a distinct essential substance. And this alone is what it is to create, namely, to produce the essence of a creature. Yet the *idea* alone does not give being to the creature; the will joined with the *idea* does. When a master builder conceives in his mind the *idea* of a house, he does not build that house by the *idea* alone. His will is joined with the *idea* and works together with it.

Chapter II

Creation and time
Creatures are not coeternal with God, yet God has always been a Creator; what time is, and why it cannot be in God.

1692

2.1 Forasmuch as all Creatures are, and do exist simply, or alone from him; because God willed them to be, whose Will is infinitely powerful, and whose Commandment, without any Instrument or Instrumental Cause, is the only Efficient to give Being unto his Creatures: Hence it necessarily follows, seeing the Will of God is Eternal, or from Eternity, that Creation must immediately follow the said Will, without any Interposition of Time: And though it cannot be said, that Creatures considered in themselves, are Co-eternal with God; because after this rate Eternity and Time would be confounded together; yet nevertheless the Creatures, and that Will which created then, are so mutually present, and so immediately happen one after another; that nothing can be said to come in between; even as if two Circles should immediately touch each other: Neither can we assign any other Beginning to Creatures, but God himself, and his Eternal Will, which is according to his Eternal *Idea* or Wisdom.

Hence it follows by Natural Consequence, that Times from the Creation are Infinite, and without all Number, which no created Intellect can conceive: How then can this be Finite or Measured, which had no other beginning but Eternity it self.

2.2 But if any one will say, Times are Finite, then let us suppose the Measure of them from the Beginning, to be about 6000 Years, (even as some do think that the whole Age of this World, from the Beginning, is of no greater Extent,) or with others (who think, that before this World, there was another invisible World, from whence this visible World proceeded;) let us suppose the Duration of this World to be 600000 Years, or any other Number of Years, as great as can be by any Reason conceived: Now I demand whether it

MODERN

All creatures are and exist simply and solely from him, because God willed them to be. His will is infinitely powerful, and his command alone, without any instrument or instrumental cause, is enough to give being to his creatures. Since the will of God is eternal, or from eternity, it necessarily follows that creation must immediately follow that will, without any interval of time. It cannot be said that creatures, considered in themselves, are coeternal with God, because then eternity and time would be confused with each other. Nevertheless, creatures and the will that created them are so present to each other, and follow each other so immediately, that nothing can be said to come between them. It is as if two circles were to touch each other immediately. Nor can we assign any other beginning to creatures than God himself and his eternal will, which accords with his eternal *idea* or wisdom.

From this it follows by natural consequence that the times since the creation are infinite and beyond all number, which no created intellect can conceive. For how could anything be finite or measured that had no other beginning than eternity itself?

But suppose someone says that times are finite. Then let us suppose their measure from the beginning to be about 6,000 years, as some think that the whole age of this world since the beginning is no longer than that. Or, with others who think that before this world there was another, invisible world from which this visible world proceeded, let us suppose the duration of this world to be 600,000 years, or any other number of years, as great as can be conceived by any reasoning. Now I ask: could the world have been created before this time?

1692

could be, that the World was created before this time?

If they deny it, they limit the Power of God to a certain Number of Years; if they affirm it, they allow Time to be before all time, which is a manifest Contradiction.

2.3 These things being premised it will be easie to Answer to that Question, wherewith Numbers have been so exceedingly perplexed: Whether Creation was made or could be made from Eternity, or from Everlasting? If by Eternity, and Everlasting, they mean an Infinite Number of Times; in this sence Creation was made from Everlasting: But if they mean such an Eternity, as God himself hath, so as to say, Creatures are Equal or Co-eternal with God, and to have no beginning of Time, this is false: For both Creatures and Times (which are nothing else but successive Motions and Operations of Created Beings) had a Beginning, which is God or the Eternal Will of God.

And why should it seem strange to any one that Times in their whole Collection or Universality, may be said to be Infinite, when the least part of Time that can be conceived, contains in it self a kind of Infinity? For as there is no Time so great, that a greater cannot be conceived; so there is no time so small, but there may be a less; for the sixtieth part of a Minute may be divided into sixty other parts, and these again into others, and so *ad infinitum.*

2.4 But the Infiniteness of Times from the beginning of Creation may be likewise demonstrated from the Goodness of God; For God is infinitely Good, Loving, and Bountiful; yea, Goodness and Charity it self; an infinite Fountain, and Father of Goodness, Charity, and Bounty. Now how can it be, that this Fountain shall not always plentifully flow, and send from it self Living Waters? And shall not this Ocean perpetually abound with its own Efflux to the Production of Creatures, and that with a certain continual Stream?

For the Goodness of God in its own proper Nature is Communicative, and Multiplicative, and seeing in him nothing is wanting, neither can any thing be added unto him, by reason of his absolute fulness, and transcendent fertility: And also seeing by the same reason he cannot multiply himself, which would be all one, as if we should

MODERN

If they deny it, they limit the power of God to a certain number of years. If they affirm it, they allow that there was time before all time, which is a manifest contradiction.

With these points established, it will be easy to answer the question that has so greatly perplexed so many people: was creation made, or could it have been made, from eternity, or from everlasting? If by "eternity" and "everlasting" they mean an infinite number of times, then in this sense creation was made from everlasting. But they may mean the kind of eternity that God himself has, so that creatures are equal or coeternal with God and have no beginning in time. In that case the claim is false. For both creatures and times had a beginning, and that beginning is God, or the eternal will of God. (Times are nothing but the successive motions and operations of created beings.)

And why should it seem strange to anyone that times, taken as a whole collection or totality, may be said to be infinite, when the smallest part of time that can be conceived contains a kind of infinity in itself? For just as no time is so great that a greater cannot be conceived, so no time is so small that there cannot be a smaller. The sixtieth part of a minute may be divided into sixty other parts, and these again into others, and so *ad infinitum*.

The infinity of times since the beginning of creation can also be demonstrated from the goodness of God. For God is infinitely good, loving, and generous. Indeed, he is goodness and charity itself, an infinite fountain and father of goodness, charity, and generosity. How then could this fountain not always flow abundantly and send forth living waters from itself? And will this ocean not perpetually overflow with its own outpouring to produce creatures, and that in a steady, continuous stream?

For the goodness of God, by its own proper nature, communicates and multiplies itself. Nothing is lacking in him, and nothing can be added to him, because of his absolute fullness and surpassing fruitfulness. For the same reason he cannot multiply himself, since that would amount to imagining that there were more gods than one, which is

1692

imagine there were more Gods than one, which is contradictory: Now it necessarily follows, that he did give Being to his Creatures from everlasting, or Times without Number; or else this Communicative Goodness of God, which is his Essential Attribute, would be something Finite, and its Duration consist of a certain Number of Years, than which nothing is more absurd.

2.5 It is an Essential Attribute of God, to be a Creator, and so by Consequence God ever was a Creator, and ever will be a Creator, because otherwise he would be changed. And therefore Creatures ever were, and ever will be; but the Eternity of Creatures is nothing else, but an Infinity of Times, in which they ever were, and ever will be without end: Neither is this Infiniteness of Times equal to the Infiniteness of God's Eternity; because the Eternity of God himself, hath no Times in it; nothing therein can be said to be past, or to come, but the whole is always present: He is indeed in Times; but not comprehended of them.

Although the *Hebrews* seem to speak somewhat different from this (as appears in *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 2. pag. 29, 30. and *Philosoph. Kabbal. dissertat.* 3. Ch. 6, 7. in *Kab. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 3.) yet they do not contradict this Opinion, because they allow an indefinite Duration of Times. *Confes. Adumbrat. Kabbal. Christian.* Ch. 7. §. 4, 5, 7. in *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 2. Tract. ult.

2.6 And the reason hereof is manifest; because Time is nothing else but the successive Motion or Operation of Creatures; which Motion or Operation, if it should cease, Time would also cease, and the Creatures themselves would cease with Time: Wherefore such is the Nature of every Creature, that it is in Motion, or hath a certain Motion, by means of which it advances forward, and grows to a farther perfection. And seeing in God there is no successive Motion or Operation to a farther perfection; because he is most absolutely perfect. Hence there are no Times in God or his Eternity.

And moreover, because there are no Parts in God, there are also no Times in him; for all Times have their Parts, and are indeed infinitely divisible, as before was said.

MODERN

contradictory. It therefore necessarily follows that he gave being to his creatures from everlasting, or through times without number. Otherwise this communicative goodness of God, which is his essential attribute, would be something finite, and its duration would consist of a certain number of years. Nothing is more absurd than that.

It is an essential attribute of God to be a creator. Consequently God always was a creator and always will be a creator, since otherwise he would be changed. Therefore creatures always were and always will be. But the eternity of creatures is nothing but an infinity of times, in which they always were and always will be, without end. Nor is this infinity of times equal to the infinity of God's eternity, because the eternity of God himself has no times in it. Nothing in it can be said to be past or to come; the whole is always present. He is indeed in times, but not encompassed by them.

The Hebrews seem to speak somewhat differently on this point, as appears in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 2, pp. 29, 30, and in *Philosophiae Kabbalisticae dissertatio* 3, chaps. 6, 7, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3. Yet they do not contradict this opinion, because they allow an indefinite duration of times. Compare *Adumbratio Kabbalae Christianae*, chap. 7, §§ 4, 5, 7, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 2, last treatise.

The reason for this is plain. Time is nothing but the successive motion or operation of creatures. If this motion or operation were to cease, time would also cease, and the creatures themselves would cease along with time. Hence it is the nature of every creature to be in motion, or to have some motion by which it advances and grows toward further perfection. But in God there is no successive motion or operation toward further perfection, because he is most absolutely perfect. Hence there are no times in God or in his eternity.

Moreover, because there are no parts in God, there are also no times in him. For all times have their parts, and are indeed infinitely divisible, as was said before.

Chapter III

God's freedom and the infinity of creatures
God acts freely and necessarily from his goodness; there are infinite worlds, and infinite creatures within the smallest creature.

1692

3.1 Moreover, if the afore-mentioned Attributes of God be duly considered, and especially these two; to wit, his Wisdom and Goodness, that Indifferency of Will, which the Schoolmen, and Philosophers falsly so called, have imagined to be in God, will be utterly refuted, and wholly turned out of Doors; which also they have improperly called Free-Will; for although the Will of God be most free, so that whatsoever he doth in the behalf of his Creatures, he doth freely without any external Violence, Compulsion, or any Cause coming from them: Whatsoever he doth, he doth of his own accord: Yet that Indifference of acting, or not acting, can by no means be said to be in God; because this were an Imperfection, and would make God like corruptible Creatures; for this Indifference of Will is the Foundation of all Change, and Corruptibility in Creatures; so that there would be no evil in Creatures if they were not changeable.

Therefore, if the same should be supposed to be in God, he must be supposed to be changeable, and so would be like corruptible Man, who often doth a thing out of his mere pleasure, not out of a true and solid Reason, or the guidance of Wisdom; in which he is like to those Cruel Tyrants which are in the World, who act many things out of their mere Will or Pleasure, relying on their Power, so that they can render no other Reason for what they do, than that it is their mere Pleasure; whereas any good Man of them that acts, or is about to act, can render a suitable reason for it; and that because he knows and understands that true Goodness and Wisdom hath required him to do it, wherefore he Wills that it be effected, because it is just, so that if he should not do it he would neglect his Duty.

3.2 For true Justice or Goodness hath in it self no Latitude or Indifference; but is like unto a certain

MODERN

Furthermore, consider duly the attributes of God mentioned above, especially these two, namely his wisdom and his goodness. Then the indifference of will that the Schoolmen and philosophers falsely so called have imagined to be in God will be utterly refuted and thrown out altogether. (They have also improperly called it free will.) The will of God is supremely free. Whatever he does on behalf of his creatures he does freely, without any external force or compulsion, and without any cause coming from them; whatever he does, he does of his own accord. Yet that indifference to acting or not acting can in no way be said to be in God. It would be an imperfection and would make God like corruptible creatures. For this indifference of will is the foundation of all change and corruptibility in creatures, so that there would be no evil in creatures if they were not changeable.

Therefore, if this indifference were supposed to be in God, he would have to be supposed changeable. He would then be like corruptible man, who often does a thing out of his mere pleasure, not from true and solid reason or the guidance of wisdom. In this, man is like the cruel tyrants of the world, who rely on their power and do many things out of their mere will or pleasure, so that they can give no other reason for what they do than that it is their mere pleasure. A good man, by contrast, whenever he acts or is about to act, can give a fitting reason for it. He can do so because he knows and understands that true goodness and wisdom have required him to do it. He therefore wills that it be done because it is just, so that if he did not do it he would neglect his duty.

For true justice or goodness has no latitude or indifference in it. It is like a straight line drawn from

1692

right line, drawn from one point to another, where it cannot be said two or more Lines can be indifferently drawn between two Points, and yet all right Lines; because there can be but one that is a right Line, and the rest will be crooked or bending, and that more or less as they depart, or are distant from that one right Line, above-mentioned: Whence it is manifest, this Indifference of Will hath no place in God, by reason it is an Imperfection; who though he be the most free Agent, yet he is also above all the most necessary Agent; so that it is impossible that he should not do, whatsoever he doth in or for his Creatures; Seeing his Infinite Wisdom, Goodness, and Justice, is a Law unto him, which he cannot Transgress.

Philosoph. Kabbal. dissertat. 3. Cap. 6, 7. in *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part. 3.

MODERN

one point to another. It cannot be said that two or more lines can be drawn indifferently between two points and all be straight lines. Only one line can be straight. The rest will be crooked or bent, more or less as they depart or lie farther from that one straight line. Hence it is plain that this indifference of will has no place in God, since it is an imperfection. Though he is the freest agent, he is also, above all others, the most necessary agent. It is therefore impossible for him not to do whatever he does in or for his creatures, since his infinite wisdom, goodness, and justice are a law to him that he cannot transgress.

Philosophiae Kabbalisticae dissertatio 3, chaps. 6, 7, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3.

3.3 Hence therefore it evidently follows, that it was not indifferent to God, whether he would give Being to his Creatures or no; but he made them out of a certain internal impulse of his Divine Wisdom and Goodness, and so he created the World or Creatures assoon as he could: For this is the Nature of a necessary Agent, to do whatsoever it can; therefore seeing he could create the World or Creatures in Infinite Times, before 6000 Years, or before 60000 Years, or 600000, *&c.* Hence it follows he hath done it; For God can entirely do that which implies no contradiction; but this doth not imply a contradiction, if the Worlds or Creatures be said to have been or existed in Infinite Times, before this Moment; even as they are Infinite Times after this Moment: If there be no contradiction in the latter, there is also no contradiction in the former.

Hence it clearly follows that it was not a matter of indifference to God whether or not he gave being to his creatures. He made them out of an internal impulse of his divine wisdom and goodness, and so he created the world or creatures as soon as he could. For it is the nature of a necessary agent to do whatever it can. He could have created the world or creatures in infinite times before 6,000 years ago, or before 60,000, or 600,000 years ago, and so on, so it follows that he did so. For God can do anything that implies no contradiction. And it implies no contradiction to say that worlds or creatures have existed in infinite times before this moment, just as there are infinite times after this moment. If there is no contradiction in the latter, there is no contradiction in the former either.

INFINITE WORLDS

Since God does whatever he can, he has created infinitely many worlds and creatures.

1692

3.4 These Attributes duly considered, it follows, that Creatures were created in Infinite Numbers, or that there is an Infinity of Worlds or Creatures made of God: For seeing God is infinitely powerful, there can be no Number of Creatures so great, that he cannot always make more: And because, as is already proved, he doth whatsoever he can do; certainly his Will, Goodness, and

MODERN

When these attributes are duly considered, it follows that creatures were created in infinite numbers, or that God has made an infinity of worlds or creatures. For since God is infinitely powerful, no number of creatures can be so great that he cannot always make more. And since, as has already been proved, he does whatever he can do, his will, goodness, and generosity are surely as large and

1692

Bounty, is as large and extensive as his Power; whence it manifestly follows, that Creatures are Infinite, and created in Infinite Manners;

so that they cannot be limited or bounded with any Number or Measure: For Example; Let us suppose the whole Universality of Creatures to be a Circle, whose Semi-diameter shall contain so many Diameters of the Earth, as there are Grains of Dust, or Sand, in the whole Globe of the Earth; and if the same should be divided into Atomes, so small that 100000 of them could be contained in one grain of Poppy-seed: Now who can deny, but the Infinite Power of God, could have made this Number greater, and yet still greater, even to an Infinite Multiplication?

Seeing it is more easie to this Infinite Power, to multiply the real Beings of Creatures, than for a skilful Arithmetician to make any Number greater and greater, which can never be so great, but that it may be (by Addition or Multiplication) encreased *ad infinitum:* And farther, seeing it is already demonstrated, that God is a necessary Agent, and doth whatsoever he can do: It must needs be, that he doth multiply, and yet still continues to multiply and augment the Essences of Creatures, *ad infinitum.* Concerning Infinity see *Philosoph. Kabbal. Dissert. 1. Cap. 6. Dissert. 3. C.* 1. in *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part. 3. Whence Creatures are rather termed Indefinite than Infinite.

MODERN

extensive as his power. From this it plainly follows that creatures are infinite and created in infinite ways,

so that no number or measure can limit or bound them. For example, let us suppose the whole totality of creatures to be a circle. Let its radius contain as many diameters of the earth as there are grains of dust or sand in the whole globe of the earth. And let these be divided into atoms so small that 100,000 of them could fit into one poppy seed. Now who can deny that the infinite power of God could have made this number greater, and greater still, to infinite multiplication?

For this infinite power can multiply the real beings of creatures more easily than a skilled arithmetician can make a number greater and greater. And no number can ever be so great that it cannot be increased *ad infinitum* by addition or multiplication. Further, it has already been demonstrated that God is a necessary agent and does whatever he can do. So he must multiply, and continue to multiply and increase, the essences of creatures *ad infinitum*. On infinity see *Philosophiae Kabbalisticae dissertatio* 1, chap. 6, and dissertation 3, chap. 1, in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3. Hence creatures are more properly called indefinite than infinite.

CREATURES WITHIN CREATURES

Every creature, however small, contains infinitely many smaller creatures, bodies and spirits alike.

1692

3.5 Also by the like Reason is proved, that not only the whole Body or System of Creatures considered together, is Infinite, or contains in it self a kind of Infinity; but also that every Creature, even the least that we can discern with our Eyes, or conceive in our Minds, hath therein such an Infinity of Parts, or rather entire Creatures, that they cannot be numbred; even as it cannot be denied, that God can place one Creature within another, so he can place two as well as one, and four as well as two, so also eight as well as four, so that he could multiply them without end, always placing the less within the greater.

And seeing no Creature can be so small, that there cannot be always a less; so no Creature is so great

MODERN

A similar argument proves more than that the whole body or system of creatures, taken together, is infinite, or contains a kind of infinity in itself. It proves that every creature, even the smallest we can discern with our eyes or conceive in our minds, contains such an infinity of parts, or rather of whole creatures, that they cannot be counted. For it cannot be denied that God can place one creature within another. So he can place two as well as one, four as well as two, and eight as well as four. He could thus multiply them without end, always placing the smaller within the larger.

No creature can be so small that there cannot always be a smaller, just as no creature is so great

1692

that there cannot be always a greater: Now it follows, that in the least Creature there may exist, or be comprehended Infinite Creatures, which may be all of them Bodies, and after a sort, in regard of themselves, impenetrable one of another.

As to those Creatures which are Spirits, and can penetrate each other, in every created Spirit, there may be some Infinity of Spirits, all which Spirits may be of equal extension, as well with the aforesaid Spirit, as they are one with another; for in this case those Spirits are more Subtile and Aethereal, which penetrate the Gross and more Corporeal, whence here can be no want of Room, that one must be constrained to give place to another.

Of the Nature of Bodies and Spirits, more shall be said in its proper place, this being sufficient to demonstrate, that in every Creature, whether the same be a Spirit or a Body, there is an Infinity of Creatures, each whereof contains an Infinity, and again each of these, and so *ad infinitum.*

3.6 All these do greatly extol and set forth the great Power and Goodness of God, for that his Eternity is clearly seen by the Works of his Hands; yea in every Creature that he hath made: Nor can it be objected, we make Creatures equal with God; for as one Infinite may be greater than another, so God is still Infinitely greater than all his Creatures, and that without any comparison.

And thus indeed the Invisible Things of God are clearly seen, as they are understood by, or in those things, which are made; for by how much the greater and more Magnificent the Works are, by so much the more is the Greatness of the Workman seen: Therefore those who teach, that the whole Number of Creatures is Finite, and consists of so many Individuals as may be numbred;

and that the whole Body of the Universe takes up just so many Acres or Miles, or Diameters of the Earth, according to Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity, consider so great Majesty with too low and unbeseeming a Conception; and so that God which they fansie to themselves, is not the true God, but an Idol of their own Imagination, whom they confine to so narrow an Habitation, as a few little Bees shut up within the limits of an Hive, containing the measure of a few Inches: for

MODERN

that there cannot always be a greater. It follows that infinitely many creatures may exist or be contained in the smallest creature. All of these may be bodies and, in a way, impenetrable to one another with respect to themselves.

As for those creatures that are spirits and can penetrate one another, in every created spirit there may be a kind of infinity of spirits. All these spirits may be of equal extension, both with the spirit that contains them and with one another. For in this case the subtler and more ethereal spirits penetrate the denser and more corporeal ones. So there can be no lack of room that would force one to give way to another.

More will be said about the nature of bodies and spirits in its proper place. This is enough to demonstrate that in every creature, whether spirit or body, there is an infinity of creatures. Each of these contains an infinity, and each of those again, and so *ad infinitum*.

All of this greatly exalts and displays the great power and goodness of God, for his eternity is clearly seen in the works of his hands, indeed in every creature he has made. Nor can it be objected that we make creatures equal to God. For just as one infinite can be greater than another, so God is still infinitely greater than all his creatures, beyond any comparison.

In this way, indeed, the invisible things of God are clearly seen, being understood by, or in, the things that are made. For the greater and more magnificent the works are, the more the greatness of the workman is seen. Some teach that the whole number of creatures is finite, consisting of so many individuals that they can be counted,

and that the whole body of the universe takes up exactly so many acres or miles or diameters of the earth in length, breadth, and depth. Such people conceive of so great a majesty in too low and unworthy a way. The God they imagine for themselves is not the true God but an idol of their own imagination. They confine him to a dwelling as narrow as that of a few little bees shut up within the limits of a hive a few inches across. For what

1692

what else is that World, which they suppose, in respect of that truly great and Universal World above described?

3.7 But if they say, they do not shut up God within this Finite Universe, but do imagine him to exist in Infinite imaginary Spaces, as well without as within it. To this may be answered, If those Spaces are merely imaginary; certainly then they are nothing but Foolish Fictions of the Brain; but if they are real Beings, what can they be but Creatures of God?

Besides, either God Works in those Spaces, or he doth not: if he doth not, then God is not there; for wheresoever he is, there he worketh; seeing this is his Nature, that he must so act, as it is the Nature of Fire to burn, or of the Sun to shine: For so God perpetually worketh; and his Work is to Create, or give Being to Creatures, according to that Eternal *Idea* or Wisdom which is in him. According to the *Hebrews*, God is Infinite, whom they call *Aensoph;* for that he is said to exist without the Space of the World, because the Creature could not contain the Immensity of his Light. See what is said in Annotations on the First Chapter.

Neither is he said to exist in imaginary Spaces, because no place plainly agrees with God; but he may be said to operate there by his simple activity: But whatsoever is wrought in, and by the way of the Creatures, is done by the *Messias,* who is not so Immense as *Aensoph* himself.

3.8 But this continual Action or Operation of God, as it is in him, or proceeds from him, or hath respect unto him, is one only continual Act or Command of his Will, neither hath Time or Succession in it; nor first, nor latter; but is together, and always present with God; so that nothing of him is either past or to come, because he hath not parts: But so far as he appears or terminates in Creatures, he hath Time and Succession of parts: And though this may seem very difficult to be comprehended, yet it can be sufficiently evinced by sound reason: And will not this plain and common Example following, a little help our Understanding herein?

Suppose a great Circle or Wheel to be moved by a Centre, whereas the Centre always remains in one place, even as some do think the Sun after this

MODERN

else is the world they suppose, compared with that truly great and universal world described above?

They may say that they do not shut God up within this finite universe, but imagine him to exist in infinite imaginary spaces, outside it as well as within it. To this it may be answered: if those spaces are merely imaginary, then they are certainly nothing but foolish fictions of the brain. But if they are real beings, what can they be but creatures of God?

Besides, either God works in those spaces or he does not. If he does not, then God is not there, for wherever he is, there he works. It is his nature to act in this way, just as it is the nature of fire to burn or of the sun to shine. For God works perpetually, and his work is to create, or give being to creatures, according to the eternal *idea* or wisdom that is in him. According to the Hebrews, God is infinite, and they call him Ein Sof. He is said to exist outside the space of the world, because the creature could not contain the immensity of his light. See what is said in the annotations on the first chapter.[1]

Nor is he said to exist in imaginary spaces, because no place strictly applies to God. But he may be said to operate there by his simple activity. Whatever is done in and by way of the creatures, however, is done by the Messiah, who is not as immense as Ein Sof himself.

But this continual action or operation of God, as it is in him, proceeds from him, or relates to him, is only one continual act or command of his will. It has no time or succession in it, and no first or latter. It is all at once and always present with God, so that nothing of him is either past or to come, because he has no parts. But insofar as he appears or terminates in creatures, he has time and succession of parts. This may seem very hard to grasp, but sound reason can sufficiently prove it. And will the following plain and common example not help our understanding a little here?

Suppose a great circle or wheel is moved by its center, while the center always remains in one place. Some think the sun is moved around its

1 Ein Sof (printed "Aensoph"), Hebrew for "without end," is the kabbalistic name for God as infinite.

1692

manner to be moved about his Centre (by some Angel or Spirit remaining in the Centre) within the space of so many days. Now albeit the Centre moves the whole Wheel, and causes a great and continual Motion in the same; yet that always resteth, neither is it in the least moved: How much more then is the same in God, who is the First Mover in all his Creatures, according to all their true and appointed Motions, yet he is not moved of them?

But that in him which hath an Analogy or Agreement with the Motions or Operations of Creatures, is the Government of his Will, which (to speak properly) is not Motion, because every Motion is successive, and cannot have place in God, as is above demonstrated.

MODERN

center in this way over so many days, by some angel or spirit remaining in the center. Now although the center moves the whole wheel and causes great and continual motion in it, the center itself always rests and is not moved in the least. How much more is this true of God? He is the first mover in all his creatures, according to all their true and appointed motions, yet he is not moved by them.

What in him has an analogy or correspondence with the motions or operations of creatures is the government of his will. Properly speaking, this is not motion, because every motion is successive and can have no place in God, as was demonstrated above.

INFINITE DIVISIBILITY

Conway answers the objection that matter must end in indivisible parts, and explains physical monads.

1692

3.9 But against what we have delivered (that the least Creature conceivable, hath in it Infinite Creatures; so that the least Particle of Body or Matter may be Infinite ways extended, and divided into parts less, and yet still lesser, and lesser) some may frame this following Objection.

That which is actually divisible, so far as an actual division can any ways be made, is divisible into parts indiscerpible; but Matter or Body (to wit, that Matter that is entire or compound) is actually divisible so far as an actual division can any ways be made, therefore, *&c.* I Answer, this Argument labours under that fallacy which Logicians call *Compositiones non Componendorum*, which is a Conjunction of Words, or Terms, that imply a contradiction or absurdity, and that appears in this Term, actually divisible, which signifies one and the same thing to be divided, and not to be divided;

for Actually denotes Division, and Divisible not Division, but only a capacity to be divided, which is as absurd and contradictory, as if one should say *visibly blind*, or *sensibly insensible*, or *livingly dead;* but if by the Terms Actually Divisible, they do not mean two, but only one thing, to wit, that it is either really divided, or only divisible, we shall

MODERN

We have said that the smallest conceivable creature contains infinite creatures in itself. So the smallest particle of body or matter may be extended in infinite ways and divided into parts smaller, and still smaller, and smaller again. Against this someone may raise the following objection.

"Whatever is actually divisible, as far as an actual division can in any way be made, is divisible into indiscerpible parts. But matter or body (that is, matter that is whole or compound) is actually divisible as far as an actual division can in any way be made. Therefore, etc." I answer that this argument suffers from the fallacy that logicians call *compositiones non componendorum*. This is a joining of words or terms that implies a contradiction or absurdity. It appears in the term "actually divisible," which signifies that one and the same thing is divided and not divided.[2]

For "actually" denotes division, while "divisible" denotes not division but only a capacity to be divided. This is as absurd and contradictory as saying *visibly blind*, *sensibly insensible*, or *livingly dead*. But perhaps by the term "actually divisible" they mean not two things but only one, namely that the

2 "Discerpible" and "indiscerpible" (from Latin *discerpere*, to tear apart) are Henry More's terms for what can or cannot be divided into parts. Henry More (1614–1687), the Cambridge Platonist, was Conway's teacher and friend.

1692

easily discover the Fallacy: For, First, if by Actually Divisible, they mean nothing else but that which is divided, in this sence I grant the Major, to wit, that that which is really divided, so far as an actual division can any ways be made, is divisible into parts indiscerpible; but by the same reason the Minor is false, *viz.* that Matter is divided so far as an Actual Division can possibly be made.

But, Secondly, if by that which they call Actually Divisible, they mean a thing only divisible, or in which there is a power or capacity to be so divided: Now I deny the Major, to wit, That that which is divisible, so far as division can be made, is divisible into parts indiscerpible; and besides in this sence, that proposition is merely Tautological, and a needless repetition of the same thing, just as this would be; whatsoever can be removed out of its place, in as much as it can be removed, may be removed to some certain distance; but *London* or *Rome* may be removed out of their place, in as much as they may be removed, *Ergo, &c.* By the same way of Argument may be proved, that the Soul of Man consists of a Finite Number of Years only, in which it doth exist, or hath a Being, and consequently that it is Mortal, and hath an end; to wit, thus, that whose Time or Duration is actually divisible, so far as an actual division can possibly be made, shall have an end, and is divisible into a Finite Number of Years; but the Time or Duration of the Soul is actually divisible, so far as an actual division can possibly be made, *Ergo, &c.* But if it be denied, that the Time of the Soul (if it should come to such a division of Years) shall then have an end; but that it is possible for it to re-assume another Time after this First, and so *ad infinitum.* Now, I say the same, which is, that Matter if it should come to such a division, may indeed have an end of that division;

but yet may admit of another division after this First, and so *ad infinitum.* And here is to be noted, when I say the least Particle of Body, or Matter so called, may be always divided into parts, less, *ad infinitum;* so that no actual division can be made in any Matter, which is not always farther divisible, or capable to be divided into less parts, and that without end; yet I would not hereby determine, what the Absolute Power of God will

MODERN

thing is either really divided or only divisible. Then we shall easily uncover the fallacy. First, if by "actually divisible" they mean nothing but what is divided, then in this sense I grant the major premise. That is, I grant that what is really divided, as far as an actual division can in any way be made, is divisible into indiscerpible parts. But for the same reason the minor premise is false, namely that matter is divided as far as an actual division can possibly be made.

Second, by what they call "actually divisible" they may mean a thing that is only divisible, or that has a power or capacity to be so divided. In that case I deny the major premise, namely that what is divisible, as far as division can be made, is divisible into indiscerpible parts. Moreover, in this sense the proposition is merely tautological, a needless repetition of the same thing. It would be just like this: "Whatever can be moved from its place, insofar as it can be moved, may be moved to some certain distance. But London or Rome may be moved from their place, insofar as they may be moved. Therefore, etc." By the same form of argument one could prove that the soul of man lasts only a finite number of years, in which it exists or has being, and consequently that it is mortal and has an end. It would go like this: "Whatever has a time or duration that is actually divisible, as far as an actual division can possibly be made, will have an end and is divisible into a finite number of years. But the time or duration of the soul is actually divisible, as far as an actual division can possibly be made. Therefore, etc." But suppose someone denies that the time of the soul would then have an end, if it came to such a division of years, and holds instead that the soul can take on another time after this first one, and so *ad infinitum*. Then I say the same thing: if matter came to such a division, it might indeed reach an end of that division,

but it might still admit another division after this first one, and so *ad infinitum*. I say that the smallest particle of body, or of what is called matter, can always be divided into smaller parts *ad infinitum*, so that no actual division can be made in any matter that is not always further divisible, or capable of being divided into smaller parts, without end. It should be noted here, however, that I do not mean by this to determine what the absolute

1692

or can do; as some do vainly and grosly dispute; but only hint what the Power of God probably may do, or will do, so far as he operates in and with his Creatures, to wit, in as much as in all Productions, and Generations, as also in all Resolutions and Divisions, in the Nature of Bodies, or the Creature, he never divides nor never can divide any Body into such small parts, that each of these is not always capable of a farther division; for the Body of no Creature can ever be reduced into its least parts; yea, into such that it cannot be reduced back again, either by the most subtile operation of any Creature, or created Power: And this Answer may suffice to our present purpose: For God makes no division in any Body or Matter, but so far as he co-operates with the Creatures, and therefore he never reduces Creatures into their least parts; because then all Motion and Operation in Creatures would cease; (for it is the Nature of all Motion to wear and divide a thing into subtiler parts;) for to do this would be contrary to the Wisdom and Goodness of God; for if all Motion and Operation should cease in any particular Creature, that Creature would be altogether unprofitable and useless in the Creation, and so would be no better than if it were a mere *non ens*, or nothing.

But as was said before, God cannot do that which is contrary to his Wisdom and Goodness, or any of his Attributes. [Mathematical Division of Things, is never made in *Minima;* but Things may be Physically divided into their least parts; as when Concrete Matter is so far divided that it departs into Physical *Monades*, as it was in the first State of its Materiality. Concerning the Production of Matter, see *Kab. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 2. pag. 310. following; and Tom. 2. the last Tract, pag. 28. Numb. 4, 5. then it is again fit to resume its Activity, and become a Spirit, as it happens in our Meats.]

3.10 Moreover the consideration of this Infinite Divisibility of every thing, into parts always less, is no unnecessary or unprofitable Theory, but a thing of very great moment; *viz.* that thereby may be understood the Reasons and Causes of Things; and how all Creatures from the highest to the lowest are inseparably united one with another, by means of Subtiler Parts interceding or coming

MODERN

power of God will or can do, as some vainly and crudely dispute. I only suggest what the power of God probably may do, or will do, insofar as he operates in and with his creatures. That is, in all productions and generations, as well as in all dissolutions and divisions, in the nature of bodies, or of the creature, he never divides and never can divide any body into parts so small that each of them is not always capable of further division. For the body of no creature can ever be reduced to its smallest parts, meaning parts that cannot be reduced any further, whether by the subtlest operation of any creature or by any created power. This answer may suffice for our present purpose. For God makes no division in any body or matter except insofar as he cooperates with the creatures, and therefore he never reduces creatures to their smallest parts. If he did, all motion and operation in creatures would cease, since it is the nature of all motion to wear a thing down and divide it into subtler parts. To do this would be contrary to the wisdom and goodness of God. For if all motion and operation ceased in any particular creature, that creature would be altogether unprofitable and useless in the creation, and so would be no better than a mere *non ens*, or nothing.

But, as was said before, God cannot do what is contrary to his wisdom and goodness, or to any of his attributes. [Mathematical division of things never reaches *minima*. But things may be divided physically into their smallest parts, as when concrete matter is divided so far that it breaks up into physical monads, as it was in the first state of its materiality. On the production of matter, see *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 2, p. 310 and following, and vol. 2, the last treatise, p. 28, nos. 4, 5. Then it is fit once more to resume its activity and become a spirit, as happens in the food we eat.][3]

Moreover, the consideration of this infinite divisibility of everything into ever smaller parts is no unnecessary or useless theory but a matter of very great importance. By it we may understand the reasons and causes of things. We may also see how all creatures, from the highest to the lowest, are inseparably united with one another by means of subtler parts that pass between them. These are

3 Conway uses the word “monads” before Leibniz’s *Monadology* (1714). Leibniz read her book.

1692

in between, which are the Emanations of one Creature into another, by which also they act one upon another at the greatest distance; and this is the Foundation of all Sympathy and Antipathy which happens in Creatures: And if these things be well understood of any one, he may easily see into the most secret and hidden Causes of Things, which ignorant Men call occult Qualities.

MODERN

the emanations of one creature into another, and by them creatures also act on one another at the greatest distance. This is the foundation of all the sympathy and antipathy that occurs in creatures. Whoever understands these things well can easily see into the most secret and hidden causes of things, which ignorant people call occult qualities.

Chapter IV

Christ, the firstborn of all creatures
Whether God created everything at once or in succession; how all things consist in Christ.

1692

4.1 From what hath been already said, it is easie to Answer to that intricate Question, *viz.* Whether God Created all Creatures together, or one after another? If the Word Create hath respect to God himself, or the Internal Command of his Will, it is made altogether; but if unto Creatures that is done successively; for as it is the Nature, and Essential Attribute of God to be unchangeable, and without succession; so the Nature of Creatures is to be changeable and successive:

But if the Word Create respects the Universals, Seeds, and Principles of all Things which (in subordination to God, who is the Principal Beginning of all Things) are, as it were Springs and Fountains from whence Creatures did flow in the order of their succession; so it may be said all Creatures were Created together, and especially if regard be had to the *Messias*, or Christ, who is the First Begotten of all Creatures, by whom all Things are said to be made; as *John* declares it, and *Paul* expresly affirms, that by Jesus Christ all Things were made, both visible and invisible.

4.2 Jesus Christ also signifies whole Christ, who is God and Man, as he is God, he is called λόγος ἐνδιάθετος the Essential Word of the Father, as he is Man λόγος προφορικός, the Word expressed or brought forth, the perfect, and substantial Image of that Word which is in God, and eternally, or for ever united with him; so that this is its Vehicle and Organ, as the Body is in respect of the Soul; of which Word brought forth, which is the Wisdom of God, mention is made in divers places, as well of the New as of the Old Testament, as *Prov.* 8. 22. 31. and *Prov. 3. 19. Psal. 33. 6. Psal. 22. 2. Psal.* 110. p. 1. *Joh.* 11.

MODERN

From what has already been said, it is easy to answer that intricate question: did God create all creatures together, or one after another? If the word "create" refers to God himself, or to the internal command of his will, creation happens all at once. But if it refers to creatures, it happens successively. For just as it is the nature and essential attribute of God to be unchangeable and without succession, so it is the nature of creatures to be changeable and successive.

But the word "create" may refer to the universals, seeds, and principles of all things. In subordination to God, who is the principal beginning of all things, these are, as it were, the springs and fountains from which creatures flowed in the order of their succession. In that sense it may be said that all creatures were created together. This is especially so if we consider the Messiah, or Christ, who is the First Begotten of all creatures, by whom all things are said to have been made, as John declares. And Paul expressly affirms that all things, both visible and invisible, were made by Jesus Christ.

"Jesus Christ" also signifies the whole Christ, who is God and man. As God, he is called λόγος ἐνδιάθετος, the essential Word of the Father. As man, he is called λόγος προφορικός, the Word expressed or brought forth. This Word brought forth is the perfect and substantial image of the Word that is in God, and it is eternally united with him, so that it is the vehicle and organ of that inner Word, as the body is in relation to the soul. This Word brought forth, which is the wisdom of God, is mentioned in various places in both the New and the Old Testament, as in Proverbs 8:22–31, Proverbs 3:19, Psalm 33:6, Psalm 22:2, Psalm 110:1, John 1:[1]

	1692	MODERN
	1, 2, 3, &c. *Ephes. 3. 9. Col.* 1. 15, 16, 17. Which place, *viz.* of *Col.* 1. 15, 16, 17 contains in it an Explication of the former, to wit, that by Son, by Word or Wisdom, or by any of his Attributes, God is not simply and nakedly understood: for how can any of his Attributes be called the invisible Image of God, seeing this is equally as invisible as himself, whence Image denotes something that is brought into visibility, and which after a peculiar manner reveals and represents the invisible God more than any Creature.	1, 2, 3, etc., Ephesians 3:9, and Colossians 1:15, 16, 17. This last passage, Colossians 1:15–17, contains an explanation of the former ones. It shows that "Son," "Word," or "Wisdom" does not simply and nakedly mean God, or any of his attributes. For how could any of his attributes be called the image of the invisible God, since an attribute is just as invisible as God himself? Hence "image" denotes something brought into visibility, which in a special way reveals and represents the invisible God more than any creature does.
4.3	And for the same reason he is called of *Paul*, in the place above-cited, the First Begotten of all Creatures, wherein is signified the relation he hath to Creatures, which were all in their Primitive State, as it were Sons of God; whereas he is the First Begotten of all those Sons, who (as I may so say) are as it were the Sons of this First Begotten Son of God. And therefore in him all Things are said to consist or have their Existence; for that they did arise from him as Branches from the Root, yet so as that they still remain in him after a certain manner.	For the same reason Paul, in the passage cited above, calls him the First Begotten of all creatures. This signifies the relation he has to creatures. In their original state they were all, as it were, sons of God, and he is the First Begotten of all those sons. They, if I may put it so, are as it were the sons of this First Begotten Son of God. And therefore all things are said to consist, or have their existence, in him, because they arose from him as branches from the root, yet in such a way that they still remain in him in a certain manner.
4.4	Not as though they were equal to him, or of the same Nature with him, because then none of them could ever have degenerated, and been changed from Good into Evil; wherefore, they are of a Nature far inferior, in respect of the First Begotten; so that, to speak properly, they can never be changed into him, nor he into the Father. The highest pitch they can reach unto is this, that is to become more like unto him, as the Scripture declares: Whence our Sonship (who are but mere Creatures) is called Adoption.	This does not mean that they are equal to him or of the same nature as he is, for then none of them could ever have degenerated and been changed from good into evil. They are therefore of a nature far inferior to the First Begotten. Properly speaking, they can never be changed into him, nor can he be changed into the Father. The highest point they can reach is to become more like him, as Scripture declares. Hence our sonship, since we are mere creatures, is called adoption.

1 λόγος ἐνδιάθετος / λόγος προφορικός: the inner word and the uttered word, a distinction taken up by Philo and the Church Fathers.

Chapter V

Christ the middle nature
The Kabbalists' Adam Kadmon; why a being between God and creatures is as demonstrable as God; Christ, eternity and time.

1692	MODERN
5.0 Although we have already, in the aforegoing Chapter, spoken a few things concerning the Son of God, who is the First Begotten of all Creatures; yet more remains to be said of this matter, very necessary for the right understanding of what follows; to which purpose we have here designed a peculiar Chapter.	In the preceding chapter we have already said a few things about the Son of God, who is the First Begotten of all creatures. More remains to be said on this matter, however, and it is very necessary for rightly understanding what follows. For this purpose we have devoted a separate chapter to it.
5.1 By the Son of God, the First Begotten of all Creatures, whom we Christians do call by the Name of Jesus Christ, according to the Scriptures, as is above declared, not only is meant his Divinity, but also his Humanity, in Eternal Union with the Divinity; that is, as his Heavenly Humanity was united with the Divinity before the World was, and so by consequence before he came in the Flesh.	We Christians call the Son of God, the First Begotten of all creatures, by the name of Jesus Christ, according to the Scriptures, as declared above. By him is meant not only his divinity but also his humanity in eternal union with the divinity. That is, we mean his heavenly humanity as it was united with the divinity before the world was, and so, consequently, before he came in the flesh.
Of whom the Ancient Cabbalists have delivered many things, *viz.* concerning the Son of God, how he was created, and of his Existence in the Order of Nature, before all Creatures; also that all receive Benediction and Sanctification in him, and by him, whom also in their Writings they call the Heavenly *Adam, Adam Kadmon,* or First Man, the Great Priest, Husband, or Spouse of the Church, as *Philo Judaeus* calls the First Begotten Son of God.	The ancient Kabbalists have said many things about him, namely about the Son of God. They tell how he was created and how he exists in the order of nature before all creatures, and that all receive blessing and sanctification in him and through him. In their writings they also call him the Heavenly Adam, *Adam Kadmon,* or First Man, the Great Priest, and the Husband or Spouse of the Church, as Philo Judaeus calls the First Begotten Son of God.[1]
5.2 This Son of God, the First Begotten of all Creatures, to wit, this Heavenly *Adam*, and Great Priest, as the *Jewish* Doctors call him, is properly a *Medium* between God and the Creatures. And that there is such a Middle Being, is as demonstrable as that there is a God; where is meant such a Being, which in its own Nature is indeed less than God, and yet greater and more excellent than all other Creatures; whence also for his Excellency	The Jewish teachers call this Son of God, the First Begotten of all creatures, the Heavenly Adam and Great Priest. He is properly a *medium* between God and the creatures. And it can be demonstrated that there is such a middle being as surely as it can be demonstrated that there is a God. By this is meant a being that in its own nature is indeed less than God, and yet greater and more excellent than all other creatures. Hence, because of his excellence,

1 Philo Judaeus (c. 20 BC–c. AD 50), Jewish philosopher of Alexandria, called the divine Logos God's "first-born son" and "high priest."

1692

he is properly called the Son of God. Concerning this Son of God, who is called by the *Jews, Adam Kadmon*, more may be seen in *Kabbal. denudat.* Tom. 1. Part. 1. p. 28, 30. Part. 2. p. 33. following, 37 following. Part 3.

p. 31. unto the 64. p. 37, —78, *&c.* And *Kabb. denud.* Tom. 2. Part 2. p. 244. And Tract. ult. p. 6, 7.—26.

5.3 In order to this Demonstration we must first consider the Nature or Being of God, the chiefest Being; and then the Nature and Essence of Creatures, which are to be compared one with another, whence this middle Nature will immediately discover it self to us.

The Nature and Essence of God, as is shown in the preceeding Chapters, is altogether unchangeable, which not only the Holy Scriptures, but also the Strength of Reason which God hath indued our Minds with, sufficiently declares; For if there should be any Mutability in God, it must needs tend to some higher degree or measure of Goodness, and then he would not be the Chiefest Good, which is contradictory; for if any thing advances to a greater degree of Goodness, this wholly comes to pass by reason of some greater Being, of whose Vertue and Influence it doth participate: But there is no greater Being than God, and so by consequence he is no way meliorated, nor can become better than he is, much less decrease, which would argue an Imperfection; therefore it is manifest that God, or the Chiefest Being, is altogether unchangeable.

Now seeing the Nature of Creatures is really distinct from the Nature of God, so that there are some Attributes of God, which are incommunicable to Creatures, among which is reckoned Immutability: Hence it necessarily follows that Creatures are changeable, or else they would be God himself: Moreover also daily experience teaches us that Creatures are changeable, and do continually vary from one State unto another: But there is a two-fold Mutability, the one whereof hath a Power in it of changing it self either unto Good or Evil; and this is common to all Creatures, but not to the First Begotten of all Creatures; the other is only a Power to, proceed from Goodness to Goodness.

Here is therefore a three-fold *Classis* or rank of Beings: The First whereof is that which is wholly

MODERN

he is properly called the Son of God. On this Son of God, whom the Jews call *Adam Kadmon*, more may be found in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 1, pp. 28, 30; part 2, p. 33 and following, and p. 37 and following; part 3,

p. 31 to p. 64, pp. 37–78, etc.; and *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 2, part 2, p. 244; and the last treatise, pp. 6, 7–26.

To carry out this demonstration we must first consider the nature or being of God, the highest being. Then we must consider the nature and essence of creatures and compare the two with each other. From this the middle nature will immediately reveal itself to us.

The nature and essence of God, as shown in the preceding chapters, is altogether unchangeable. Not only the Holy Scriptures but also the power of reason with which God has endowed our minds sufficiently declare this. For if there were any mutability in God, it would have to be toward some higher degree or measure of goodness, and then he would not be the highest good, which is contradictory. For if anything advances to a greater degree of goodness, this happens entirely because of some greater being, whose virtue and influence it shares. But there is no being greater than God. Consequently he is in no way improved and cannot become better than he is. Much less can he decline, which would imply an imperfection. It is therefore plain that God, or the highest being, is altogether unchangeable.

Now the nature of creatures is really distinct from the nature of God, so that some attributes of God cannot be communicated to creatures, and immutability is counted among them. It necessarily follows that creatures are changeable, or else they would be God himself. Daily experience also teaches us that creatures are changeable and continually pass from one state to another. But there are two kinds of mutability. One has in it a power of changing itself either toward good or toward evil. This is common to all creatures, but not to the First Begotten of all creatures. The other is only a power to proceed from goodness to goodness.

Here, therefore, is a threefold class or rank of beings. The first is wholly unchangeable. The second

1692

unchangeable: The Second changeable only to Good; so that that which in its own Nature is Good, may become yet better: The Third is that which though it was in its own Nature indeed Good; yet could be indifferently changed, as well into Good, as from Good into Evil.

The first and last of these are Extreams; and the second is a Natural *Medium* between them, by which the Extreams are united, and this *Medium* partakes of both Extreams, and therefore is the most convenient and proper *Medium;* for it partakes of the one Extream, *viz.* Mutability, to wit, from Good to a greater degree or measure of Goodness, and of the other Extream, *viz.* that it is altogether unchangeable from Good into Evil; and such a *Medium* was necessarily required in the very Nature of Things; for otherwise there would remain a Chasm or Gap, and one Extream would be united with another, without a *Medium*, which is impossible, and repugnant to the Nature of Things, as appears in the whole Course of the Universe.

By the Immutability of the *Messias*, here we must understand that which is Moral, not that which is Natural. There be some who object, Christ was tempted in vain, if he was naturally unchangeable. See *Matth. 4. 3. 17, 18. Chap.* 4. 15. There are also more Arguments, merely Philosophical; of which in *Philosophia Kabbal. (Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1. Part 3. Dissert. 2. Chap. 1.) 13. are urged to prove that from the First Beginning, there flowed forth only one thing begun and perfected, which is also confirmed by the Authority of Ancient and Modern Philosophers, together with an Answer to the Objections made on the contrary.

5.4 This Middle Being is not to be understood in so gross a manner, as if it stood in a Middle Place, between two Extreams, as the Trunk of the Body is between the Head and Feet; but is a *Medium* in respect of its Nature, as Silver is between Tinn and Gold, or Water between Air and Earth, which are but gross Comparisons in regard of the thing it self; neither can any one suppose the Son to be such a *Medium* between God and the Creatures, as though God was not immediately present in all his Creatures, and immediately filled all things;

for he immediately operates in all things in a proper sence: But this is to be understood of that

MODERN

is changeable only toward good, so that what is good in its own nature may become still better. The third was indeed good in its own nature, yet it could be changed indifferently, either toward good or from good into evil.

The first and last of these are extremes, and the second is a natural *medium* between them, by which the extremes are united. This *medium* shares in both extremes and is therefore the most fitting and proper *medium*. From one extreme it takes mutability, namely change from good to a greater degree or measure of goodness. From the other extreme it takes the property of being altogether unchangeable from good into evil. Such a *medium* was necessarily required by the very nature of things. Otherwise there would remain a chasm or gap, and one extreme would be united with the other without a *medium*. That is impossible and contrary to the nature of things, as appears in the whole course of the universe.

By the immutability of the Messiah we must here understand moral immutability, not natural immutability. Some object that if Christ was naturally unchangeable, he was tempted in vain. See Matthew 4:3; 17, 18; chap. 4:15. There are also further arguments of a purely philosophical kind. In *Philosophia Kabbalistica* (*Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 3, dissertation 2, chap. 1), thirteen of them are urged to prove that from the First Beginning there flowed forth only one thing begun and perfected. This is also confirmed there by the authority of ancient and modern philosophers, together with an answer to the objections raised against it.

This middle being is not to be understood so crudely, as if it stood in a middle place between two extremes, as the trunk of the body is between the head and the feet. It is a *medium* with respect to its nature, as silver is between tin and gold, or water between air and earth, though these are only crude comparisons next to the thing itself. Nor may anyone suppose the Son to be such a *medium* between God and the creatures as though God were not immediately present in all his creatures, and did not immediately fill all things;

for he operates immediately in all things, in the proper sense. Rather, this is to be understood of

1692

Union and Communion which Creatures have with God; so that although God immediately operates in all things, yet he uses this *Medium* as an Instrument, by which he co-operates in his Creatures; because it is, in regard of its Nature, more near unto them; and yet because he is more excellent than all other Productions, which we call Creatures, and that too in his own Nature.

Hence it is, he is deservedly called the First Begotten of all Creatures, and the Son of God, rather than a Creature of God; and his Production is rather a Generation, or Emanation from God, than Creation, if the Word be taken in a strict sence; although, according to the larger sence and use of this Word, he may be said to be created or formed, as the Scripture somewhere speaketh of him: But if the thing it self be duly understood, 'tis needless to contend about Words: Yet nevertheless a Man's Son is rather said to be begotten of him, than made or created by him.

Of an House, or a Ship, built or made by a Man, we do not say it is his Son, but his Work; because his Son is the Living Image and Similitude of himself, which cannot be said of an House or a Ship: So this first Production of God, *ad extra*, or, *to without*, is more fitly and properly term'd his Son than a Creature; because this is the Living Image of himself, and is greater, and more excellent than all Creatures. Now it follows that the Son himself must be immediately present in all these, that he may bless and benefit them.

And seeing he is that true *Medium*, between God and the Creatures, he must needs exist within them, that so by his Operation he may stir them up to a Union with him: And seeing he is the most excellent Production of God, made *ad extra*, or, *to without*, and the most perfect and express Image of him, he must needs be like unto God in all his Attributes, which without contradiction may be said to be communicated to him; and so by consequence he must necessarily be Omnipresent: Besides, if he were not present in all Creatures, there would wholly remain a *Chasma*, or wide Gap, between God, and the Creatures where he was not, which is absurd.

MODERN

the union and communion that creatures have with God. So although God operates immediately in all things, he uses this *medium* as an instrument through which he cooperates in his creatures, because by its nature it is nearer to them. And yet he is more excellent than all the other productions we call creatures, and that too by his own nature.

This is why he is rightly called the First Begotten of all creatures, and the Son of God rather than a creature of God. It is also why his production is better called a generation, or emanation, from God than a creation, if that word is taken in its strict sense. In the broader sense and use of the word, however, he may be said to be created or formed, as Scripture says of him in one place. But if the thing itself is properly understood, there is no need to quarrel about words. Still, a man's son is said to be begotten by him rather than made or created by him.

We do not call a house or a ship that a man has built or made his son, but his work, because his son is the living image and likeness of himself, which cannot be said of a house or a ship. In the same way, this first production of God *ad extra*, that is, outside himself, is more fittingly and properly called his Son than a creature, because it is the living image of himself, and is greater and more excellent than all creatures. Now it follows that the Son himself must be immediately present in all of these, so that he may bless and benefit them.

And since he is that true *medium* between God and the creatures, he must exist within them, so that by his operation he may stir them up to union with himself. And since he is the most excellent production of God made *ad extra*, that is, outside himself, and the most perfect and exact image of him, he must be like God in all those of God's attributes that can be said, without contradiction, to be communicated to him. Consequently he must necessarily be omnipresent. Besides, if he were not present in all creatures, there would remain a *chasma*, or wide gap, between God and the creatures where he was not, which is absurd.

CHRIST, ETERNITY AND TIME

Christ shares in both eternity and time, and in him there is no decay or death.

1692

5.5 Moreover, as he is Partaker of the Immutability of God, and the Mutability of Creatures, and so a *Medium* between that, which is altogether unchangeable, and that which is altogether changeable, as partaking of both; so also he may be said to be a Partaker of Eternity (which is proper to God) and Time, (which is proper to Creatures;) and albeit it be said in the precedent Chapters, that nothing interceded between Eternity and Time, or between the Creatures, and the Will of God which created them.

Time and Creatures are there to be taken in a larger sence, *viz.* with respect to all the Productions of God, made *ad extra:* So that this Middle Being is as well there comprehended as the rest: Neither can we conceive this Middle Being to be before Creatures in Time, but only in the order of Nature; so that indeed nothing of Time strictly taken hapned between the Creatures, and the All-Creating Power and Will of God that created them.

5.6 But if by Time, according to the common signification of the Word, we understand a succedaneous increase or decrease of Things, according to which they grow and increase unto a certain pitch or period, and then again fail from it, until they die or are changed into another State or Condition of Life; in this sence it may be positively affirmed, that neither this Middle Being, or any Creature perfectly united with the same, are subject to Time, or the Laws thereof; for the Laws of Time reach but unto a certain Period or Age; and when that Period is compleated, then those things which are subject to Time decay and are consumed, and so die and are changed into quite another *Species* of Things, according to that old Saying of the Poet.

Tempus edax rerum, tuque invidiosa vetustas
Omnia destruis.
Which may be thus *Englished.* Thus spiteful Age, and Time that eats up Things,
All Things consumes, and to Destruction brings.

And for this Reason Time is divided into Four Parts, according to the Age of a Man living in this

MODERN

Moreover, just as he partakes of God's immutability and of the creatures' mutability, and so is a *medium* between what is altogether unchangeable and what is altogether changeable, sharing in both, so he may also be said to partake of eternity (which is proper to God) and of time (which is proper to creatures). It was said in the preceding chapters that nothing came between eternity and time, or between the creatures and the will of God that created them.

But there "time" and "creatures" are to be taken in a broader sense, namely with respect to all God's productions made *ad extra*, so that this middle being is included there along with the rest. Nor can we conceive this middle being to come before creatures in time, but only in the order of nature. So, in fact, nothing of time, strictly taken, occurred between the creatures and the all-creating power and will of God that created them.

But if by time, in the common meaning of the word, we understand the successive increase or decrease of things, by which they grow and increase to a certain point or period and then decline from it again, until they die or are changed into another state or condition of life, then in this sense it may be firmly asserted that neither this middle being nor any creature perfectly united with it is subject to time or its laws. For the laws of time extend only to a certain period or age. When that period is complete, the things subject to time decay and are consumed, and so die and are changed into an entirely different species of things, as the poet's old saying has it:

Tempus edax rerum, tuque invidiosa vetustas
Omnia destruis.
Which may be put into English thus: Thus spiteful age, and time that eats up things,
Consumes all things, and to destruction brings.[2]

1692

World, which is Infancy, Youth, Manhood, and Old Age, even until Death; so that all things which are bounded with Time, are subject unto Death and Corruption, or are changed into another *Species* of things, as we see Water changed into Stones, Stones into Earth, and Earth into Trees, and Trees into Animals or Living Creatures: But in this most excellent Middle Being is neither Decay or Corruption;

nor to speak properly hath Death any place in him: He is a most powerful and effectual Balsam, which can preserve all things from Death and Corruption, which are joined to him or united with him; so that here all things are perpetually new, springing up fresh and green; here is perpetual Youth without Old Age; and here is the Perfection of Old Age, to wit, great increase of Wisdom and Experience without any imperfection of Age.

But when Christ came in the Flesh, and in that Body which he bare with him from Heaven; (for every created Spirit hath a certain Vehicle, either Terrestrial, Aereal, or Aethereal, as this was:) He took upon him somewhat of our Nature, and by consequence the Nature of all Things, (because the Nature of Man hath in it the Nature of all Creatures, whence also he is called the *Microcosm;)* which Nature having assumed in Flesh and Blood, he sanctified, that by that he might sanctifie all Things, and so was as that little Leaven that changed the whole Lump.

He descended then within Time, and for a certain space or period, of his own accord subjected himself to the Laws of Time, so as to endure great Torments, even Death it self; but Death did not long detain him, for the Third Day he rose again, and this was the end of all his Sufferings, even of his Death and Burial, *viz.* that he might Heal, Cure, and Redeem his Creatures from Death and Corruption, which came upon them by the Fall, and so at length hereby put an end to Times and elevate the Creatures above Times to himself, where he abideth, who is the same yesterday, today, henceforth, and for ever, without Decay, Death, or Corruption.

In like manner, in his Spiritual and Internal Appearance in Man, whereby he purposeth to

MODERN

For this reason time is divided into four parts, corresponding to the ages of a man living in this world: infancy, youth, manhood, and old age, right up to death. So all things bounded by time are subject to death and corruption, or are changed into another species of things. We see water changed into stones, stones into earth, earth into trees, and trees into animals or living creatures. But in this most excellent middle being there is neither decay nor corruption,

nor, properly speaking, does death have any place in him. He is a most powerful and effective balsam, which can preserve from death and corruption all things that are joined or united to him. So here all things are perpetually new, springing up fresh and green. Here is perpetual youth without old age, and here is the perfection of old age, namely a great increase of wisdom and experience without any of the imperfection of age.

But when Christ came in the flesh, and in the body he brought with him from heaven (for every created spirit has some vehicle, either terrestrial, aerial, or ethereal, as this one was), he took on something of our nature, and consequently the nature of all things. For human nature contains in itself the nature of all creatures, which is also why man is called the *microcosm*. Having assumed this nature in flesh and blood, he sanctified it, so that through it he might sanctify all things. In this way he was like the little leaven that changed the whole lump.

He then descended into time and, for a certain span or period, of his own accord subjected himself to the laws of time, so as to endure great torments, even death itself. But death did not hold him long, for on the third day he rose again. And this was the purpose of all his sufferings, even of his death and burial: that he might heal, cure, and redeem his creatures from the death and corruption that came upon them through the Fall. In this way he would at last put an end to times and raise the creatures above times to himself, where he dwells, who is the same yesterday, today, from now on, and forever, without decay, death, or corruption.

In the same way, in his spiritual and inward appearance in man, by which he intends to save,

1692

Save, Heal, and Redeem the Soul, he doth as it were, after a certain manner, subject himself to a kind of Death and Passion; and so for a certain space submits himself to the Laws of Time, that he might elevate the Souls of Men above Time, and Corruptibility to himself, wherein they receive Blessing, and grow from one degree of Goodness and Vertue unto another, *in infinitum.*

5.7 By the same Reason, those who are come unto a perfect Union with Christ, are mounted up into a Region or Sphere of perfect Tranquility, where nothing is seen or perceived to move or compel; for although there exist the most swift and vehement Motions; yet nevertheless because the same do so uniformly, so equally, and harmoniously move without the least contrariety or disorder, they seem altogether to rest, whereof many Examples may be given in External Things: For indeed there are two kinds of Motion, which to our bodily sight seem to want Motion, *viz.* that which is exceeding quick and speedy, and that which is exceeding slow; so that the middle sort is only discernable by us.

Now under Time, and the Laws thereof, may be comprehended not only the Earth, and Earthly Things; but also the Sun, Moon, and Stars, and all the visible part of the World, together with more that is invisible: So that after a long Tract of Time, all those Things may be plainly changed into quite another *Species* of Things, and that by the same order and course of Divine Operation which God hath placed in all Creatures, as a Law of Justice, whereby in his Divine Wisdom he hath purposed to reward every Creature according to its Works: So now this may suffice to have been said concerning that most excellent Middle Being; of whom upon occasion farther mention may be made in the subsequent Pages.

MODERN

heal, and redeem the soul, he does in a certain manner subject himself to a kind of death and suffering. So for a certain span he submits himself to the laws of time, in order to raise the souls of men above time and corruptibility to himself. There they receive blessing and grow from one degree of goodness and virtue to another, *in infinitum*.

For the same reason, those who have come to a perfect union with Christ are raised up into a region or sphere of perfect tranquility, where nothing is seen or perceived to move or compel. The swiftest and most vehement motions exist there, but they move so uniformly, so evenly, and so harmoniously, without the least opposition or disorder, that they seem to be altogether at rest. Many examples of this can be given from external things. For there are in fact two kinds of motion that seem to our bodily sight to lack motion, namely the exceedingly quick and the exceedingly slow; only the middle kind is perceptible to us.

Now under time and its laws may be included not only the earth and earthly things, but also the sun, moon, and stars, and the whole visible part of the world, together with more that is invisible. So after a long stretch of time all these things may be entirely changed into a quite different species of things. This happens by the same order and course of divine operation that God has placed in all creatures as a law of justice, by which in his divine wisdom he has resolved to reward every creature according to its works. Let this suffice for now concerning that most excellent middle being. Further mention may be made of him, as occasion arises, in the following pages.

2 Ovid, *Metamorphoses* 15.234–236.

Chapter VI

The changeability of creatures
Only three kinds of being: God, Christ and creatures; creatures change in manner of existence, not essence, and pass from species to species by God's justice.

1692

6.1 That all Creatures in their own Nature are changeable, the distinction between God and Creatures, duly considered, evidently evinces, and the same is by daily experience confirmed. Now if any Creature be in its own Nature changeable, it hath this Mutability, as it is a Creature, and consequently all Creatures will have the same, according to that Rule: Whatsoever agrees to any thing as placed under this or that *Species*, agrees to all comprehended under the same *Species;* but Mutability agrees to a Creature (which is the most general Name of that *Species*, under which all Creatures are comprehended,) and from thence it is manifest; for otherwise there would be no distinction between God and Creatures: For if any Creature were of it self, and in its own Nature unchangeable, that Creature would be God, because Immutability is one of his incommunicable Attributes.

6.2 Now let us consider how far this Mutability may reach, or be extended; and, First, whether one Individual can be changed into another of the same or a different *Species?* This, I say, is impossible; for then the very Essences of Things would be changed, which would make a great confusion, not only in the Creatures, but in the Wisdom of God, which made all Things: As for Example: If this Man could be changed into that, viz.

Paul *into* Judas*, or* Judas *into* Paul*, then he that sinned would not be punished for his sin, but another in his stead, who was both Vertuous and Innocent; so then a Good Man would not receive the reward of his Vertue, but a Vicious Man in his stead: But if we suppose one good Man to be changed into another, as* Paul *into* Peter*, and* Peter *into* Paul, Paul *would not receive his own proper Reward, but* Peter*'s; nor* Peter

MODERN

That all creatures are changeable in their own nature is clearly shown by the distinction between God and creatures, properly considered, and it is confirmed by daily experience. Now if any creature is changeable in its own nature, it has this mutability insofar as it is a creature, and consequently all creatures will have it. This follows from the rule: whatever belongs to anything as placed under this or that species belongs to everything included under the same species. But mutability belongs to a creature (which is the most general name of the species under which all creatures are included). This is evident from the fact that otherwise there would be no distinction between God and creatures. For if any creature were unchangeable of itself and in its own nature, that creature would be God, because immutability is one of his incommunicable attributes.

Now let us consider how far this mutability may reach or extend. First, can one individual be changed into another of the same or of a different species? This, I say, is impossible, for then the very essences of things would be changed. That would create great confusion, not only in the creatures but in the wisdom of God, who made all things. For example, if this man could be changed into that one, namely

Paul into Judas or Judas into Paul, then the one who sinned would not be punished for his sin, but another in his place who was virtuous and innocent. So a good man would not receive the reward of his virtue, but a wicked man in his place. And if we suppose one good man changed into another, as Paul into Peter and Peter into Paul, then Paul would not receive his own proper reward but Peter's, and Peter would receive not his but Paul's.

1692

his, but Paul's, which would be a confusion, and unbecoming the Wisdom of God.

Moreover, if the very individual Essences of Things could be changed one into another, it would follow, Creatures were not true in themselves; and so we could not be assured, nor have any certain knowledge of any thing; and then all the inbred Notions and Dictates of Truth, which Men generally find in themselves, would be false, and by consequence the Conclusions drawn from thence; for every true Science, or certainty of Knowledge, depends upon the Truth of the Objects, which are commonly called *Veritates Objectivae*, or *Objective Truths:* If therefore these Objective Truths should be changed the one into the other, certainly the Truth of the Propositions depending thereon would be changed also; and so no Proposition could be unchangeably true, no not the most clear and obvious as these are; the whole is greater than its part, and two halves make a whole.

6.3 The Second Thing to be considered, is, Whether one *Species* of Things can be changed into another? Where we must diligently observe after what manner the *Species* of Things are distinguished one from another; for there be many *Species* of Things, which are commonly so called, and yet in Substance or Essence differ not one from another, but in certain Manners or Properties, and when those Modes or Properties are changed, that thing is said to have changed its *Species:* Now whether or no this be not a certain manner of Existence, and not the Essence or Being of the Thing it self that is so changed?

As when Water indeed is not changed, but remains the same, and cold coagulates it, which before was fluid: When Water is changed into a Stone, certainly there is no reason, why we should here suppose a greater change of its Substance, than in the former Example of Water turned into Ice. And again when a Stone is changed into soft and tender Earth, here is made no change of its Substance; and so in all other Mutations which we observe in Things, the Substance or Essence always remains the same, and there is only a change of *Modus* or Manner; so that when a Thing ceases to be after this manner, it then begins to be after another manner.

And indeed the same Reasons do prove, that one *Species* essentially or substantially distinct from

MODERN

This would be confusion, and unworthy of the wisdom of God.

Moreover, if the very individual essences of things could be changed into one another, it would follow that creatures were not true in themselves. Then we could not be sure of anything, or have any certain knowledge of anything. All the innate notions and dictates of truth that people generally find in themselves would be false, and consequently so would the conclusions drawn from them. For every true science, or certainty of knowledge, depends on the truth of its objects, which are commonly called *veritates objectivae*, or objective truths. If these objective truths were changed into one another, the truth of the propositions depending on them would certainly change as well. Then no proposition could be unchangeably true, not even the clearest and most obvious, such as these: the whole is greater than its part, and two halves make a whole.

The second thing to consider is whether one species of things can be changed into another. Here we must carefully observe how the species of things are distinguished from one another. Many things are commonly called species and yet do not differ from one another in substance or essence, but only in certain modes or properties. When those modes or properties are changed, the thing is said to have changed its species. The question is whether what is changed in such a case is a certain manner of existence, and not the essence or being of the thing itself.

Take water. It is not changed in itself but remains the same when cold congeals what was fluid before. When water is changed into a stone, there is certainly no reason to suppose a greater change of its substance here than in the previous example of water turned into ice. And again, when a stone is changed into soft and tender earth, no change is made in its substance. So in all the other changes we observe in things, the substance or essence always remains the same, and there is only a change of mode or manner. When a thing ceases to exist in this manner, it begins to exist in another.

And indeed the same reasons prove that one species essentially or substantially distinct from an-

1692

another, cannot be changed into another, even as one Individual cannot be changed into another: For the *Species* of Things are nothing else but Individuals digested, or comprehended, under one general *Idea* of the Mind, or common Term of speaking: As a Man, inasmuch as he is a *Species*, comprehends under him all the Individuals of Men; and a Horse is a *Species*, comprehending every individual Horse. Now if one Man cannot be changed into another, much less can this Man be changed into another Individual of a differing *Species:* For Example: If *Alexander* cannot be changed into *Darius*, he cannot be changed into his own Horse *Bucephalus.*

MODERN

other cannot be changed into it, just as one individual cannot be changed into another. For the species of things are nothing but individuals gathered or comprehended under one general *idea* of the mind, or one common term of speech. Man, insofar as he is a species, comprehends under him all individual men, and horse is a species comprehending every individual horse. Now if one man cannot be changed into another, much less can this man be changed into an individual of a different species. For example, if Alexander cannot be changed into Darius, he cannot be changed into his own horse Bucephalus.

ONLY THREE KINDS OF BEING

God, Christ and creatures are the only substances; a fourth kind of being would be superfluous.

1692

6.4 In order to know how far the Mutations of Things can reach, we must examine how many *Species* of Things there be, which as to Substance or Essence are distinct one from another; and if we diligently inquire thereinto, we shall find only Three, as before was said, *viz.* God, Christ, and the Creatures, and that these Three in respect of Essence, are really distinct one from another, is already proved; but there can be no Reason alledged to prove, that there is any Fourth kind of Being distinct from the other Three; yea, a Fourth kind of Being seems wholly superfluous:

And because all the *Phaenomena* in the whole Universe may be sufficiently resolved into these Three before-mentioned, as into their proper and original Causes, there is no necessity to acknowledge any other, according to this Rule: (Which if rightly understood, it is most true and certain) Beings are not to be multiplied without necessity; for seeing the Three beforementioned remove all the Specifical Differences in Substance, which possibly can be conceived in our Minds; and so by these alone is that vast and infinite possibility of Things filled up: How then can there be room or place found for a Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, or Seventh Being?

And that it is performed by these Three is already before demonstrated; to wit, that whatsoever can be in any wise called a Being, the same is either wholly unchangeable, and such is God the Supreme Being, or is wholly changeable, *viz.* to

MODERN

To know how far the changes of things can reach, we must examine how many species of things there are that are distinct from one another in substance or essence. If we inquire carefully, we shall find only three, as was said before, namely God, Christ, and the creatures. It has already been proved that these three are really distinct from one another in essence. But no reason can be given to prove that there is any fourth kind of being distinct from the other three. Indeed, a fourth kind of being seems wholly superfluous.

All the phenomena in the whole universe can be adequately traced back to these three as to their proper and original causes, so there is no need to acknowledge any other, according to this rule (which, rightly understood, is entirely true and certain): beings are not to be multiplied without necessity. The three just mentioned exhaust all the specific differences in substance that can possibly be conceived in our minds, and so these alone fill that vast and infinite possibility of things. How, then, can room or place be found for a fourth, fifth, sixth, or seventh being?

That these three do this has already been demonstrated above. Whatever can in any way be called a being is either wholly unchangeable, and such is God, the supreme being; or wholly changeable, namely to good or to evil, and such is the creature,

1692

good, or evil, and such is the creature or lowest being, or that which is partly unchangeable, *viz.* in respect of Evil, or partly changeable, to wit, in respect of Good; by which is understood Christ, the Son of God; that Middle Being between God and the Creatures;

into what *Classis* or Rank therefore shall we bring a certain Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, or Seventh Being, *&c.* which is neither wholly changeable, nor wholly unchangeable; nor partly changeable, nor partly unchangeable: Besides, he that supposeth a certain Fourth Being, essentially or substantially distinct from the three before-mentioned, overthrows that most excellent Order we find in the universality of Things, to wit, that there is not only one *Medium* between God and the Creatures, but two, three, four, five, six, or as many as can be supposed between first and latter.

Moreover, it is very consentaneous to sound Reason, and so also to the Order of Things, that as God is but One, neither hath he two, three, or more distinct Substances in him; and Christ but one Christ, neither hath in him more distinct Substances, inasmuch as he is the Heavenly Man, and very First *Adam;* so likewise the Creature, or whole Creation, is but one only Substance or Essence in *Specie*, although it comprehends many Individuals placed in their subordinate *Species*, and indeed in Manner, but not in Substance or Essence distinct one from another.

And so that which *Paul* speaketh concerning Man, may in like manner be understood of all Creatures, (who in their Original State were a certain *Species* of Man so called for their Excellencies, as hereafter shall be shown;) to wit, that God made all Nations, or Armies of Creatures, out of one Blood: And certainly here the reason of both is the same; for as God made all Nations out of one Blood, to the end they might love each other, and stand in a mutual Sympathy, and help each other;

so hath he implanted a certain Universal Sympathy and mutual Love in Creatures, as being all Members of one Body, and (as I may so say) Brethren, having one common Father, to wit, God in Christ, or the Word made Flesh; and so also one Mother, *viz.* that Substance or Essence alone, out of which they proceeded, and whereof they are

MODERN

the lowest being; or partly unchangeable, namely with respect to evil, and partly changeable, namely with respect to good. By this last is meant Christ, the Son of God, that middle being between God and the creatures.

Into what class or rank, then, shall we put some fourth, fifth, sixth, or seventh being, etc., which is neither wholly changeable nor wholly unchangeable, nor partly changeable and partly unchangeable? Besides, whoever supposes some fourth being, essentially or substantially distinct from the three mentioned, overthrows the most excellent order we find in the whole of things. For then there would be not just one *medium* between God and the creatures, but two, three, four, five, six, or as many as can be supposed between the first and the last.

Moreover, it agrees well with sound reason, and also with the order of things, that the creature, or the whole creation, is only one single substance or essence in species. Just so, God is only one and has in him no two, three, or more distinct substances, and Christ is only one Christ and has in him no more distinct substances, insofar as he is the heavenly man and the very first Adam. The creation comprehends many individuals placed in their subordinate species, but these are distinct from one another in mode, not in substance or essence.

And so what Paul says about man may likewise be understood of all creatures, namely that God made all nations, or armies, of creatures out of one blood. (In their original state, creatures were a certain species of man, so called because of their excellences, as will be shown later.) And certainly the reason is the same in both cases. For just as God made all nations out of one blood so that they might love one another, stand in mutual sympathy, and help one another,[1]

so he has implanted a certain universal sympathy and mutual love in creatures, since they are all members of one body and (so to speak) brothers. They have one common Father, namely God in Christ, or the Word made flesh, and also one mother, namely that one substance or essence from which they came and of which they are real parts

1 Acts 17:26.

1692

real Parts and Members; and albeit Sin hath in a wonderful Manner impaired this Love and Sympathy, yet it hath not destroyed it.

MODERN

and members. And although sin has wonderfully impaired this love and sympathy, it has not destroyed it.

ERRORS ON EITHER SIDE

Conway rejects those who merge God and creatures, deny a middle being, or multiply species endlessly.

1692

6.5 Those Three distinct Beings, before-mentioned, being granted, and no more, which are wholly inconvertible the one into the other, we shall tread in a secure path, in the mid-way of Truth, leaving those grand Errors and Confusions about Entity, both on the Right Hand and the Left: For, First, there are some, who teach, that there is but one Being of all Things, whereof the Creatures are real and proper Parts, and these confound God and the Creatures together, as though both were but one single Essence; so that Sin and Devils would be nothing else but Parts, or at least Modifications of that Divine Being, from whence do arise very dangerous Consequences.

Although I would not have it mis-interpreted to those who are unwarily faln into this Opinion; yet I would warn the Reader, that he may the better consider whereunto such Principles tend, and avoid their absurdity.

There are others again who allow only two *Species* of Things, *viz.* God the Supreme Being, wholly unchangeable; and the Creature the lowest Being, wholly changeable; but these do not duly consider that excellent Order by us above described, which is apparent in all Things; because else peradventure they would have taken notice, that besides these Two Extreams, there is a certain *Medium*, which is partaker of both, and this is Jesus that Christ, whom not only the wiser sort of the *Jews*, but also some among the *Gentiles* so called, have acknowledged, *viz.* maintaining that there is such a *Medium*, which they called by divers Names, as *Logos*, the Son of God, the First Begotten of God, Mind, Wisdom, Heavenly *Adam, &c.* So that some also do call him the Eternal *Medium:* Which Things, if duly considered, may not a little conduce to the propagation and furthering of the true Faith, and Christian Religion, among the *Jews*, as well as *Turks*, and other Infidel Nations;

MODERN

If these three distinct beings are granted, and no more, and they cannot at all be converted into one another, we shall walk a safe path along the middle way of truth. We will leave behind the great errors and confusions about being on the right hand and on the left. For, first, there are some who teach that there is only one being of all things, of which the creatures are real and proper parts. These confound God and the creatures together, as though both were one single essence, so that sin and devils would be nothing but parts, or at least modifications, of that divine being. Very dangerous consequences arise from this.

I would not want this to be taken amiss by those who have fallen into this opinion unawares. But I would warn the reader, so that he may better consider where such principles lead, and avoid their absurdity.

Again, there are others who allow only two species of things, namely God, the supreme being, wholly unchangeable, and the creature, the lowest being, wholly changeable. But these do not properly consider the excellent order described above, which is evident in all things. Otherwise they might perhaps have noticed that besides these two extremes there is a certain *medium* that partakes of both. This is Jesus the Christ. Not only the wiser Jews but also some among the so-called Gentiles have acknowledged him, maintaining that there is such a *medium*. They called it by various names, such as Logos, the Son of God, the First Begotten of God, Mind, Wisdom, the Heavenly Adam, etc., and some also call him the eternal *medium*. If properly considered, these things could contribute not a little to spreading and furthering the true faith and the Christian religion among Jews as well as Muslims and other non-Christian peoples,

1692

that is to say, if it appears we are able to prove that there is a Mediator between God and Man; yea, between God and all Creatures, by as solid Reasons as those are, which prove God to be a Creator: And so they that believe on that, may be said truly to believe on Christ Jesus, though they should not as yet have known, or been convicted, that he came in the Flesh: For if they yield to the former, they will undoubtedly be forced (if ingenious) whether they will or no, to grant the latter.

Others there are, who do as it were infinitely multiply the Specifical Beings of Things, in their distinct Essences and Essential Attributes; which wholly subverts that excellent Order of Things, and greatly obscures and darkens the Glory of the Divine Attributes, so that it cannot shine forth in its due Splendor and Brightness in the Creatures: For so every Creature is so exceeding straitly bounded, and strictly included and imprisoned within the narrow limits of its own *Species*, that the Mutability of Creatures is wholly taken away: Neither can any Creature variously exercise any greater participation of Divine Goodness, or be advanced or promoted to any farther perfection.

MODERN

that is, if it turns out that we can prove there is a mediator between God and man, and indeed between God and all creatures, by reasons as solid as those that prove God to be a creator. Those who believe this may then be said truly to believe in Christ Jesus, even if they have not yet known, or been convinced, that he came in the flesh. For if they grant the former, they will undoubtedly be compelled (if they are honest), whether they like it or not, to grant the latter.

There are still others who multiply the specific beings of things almost infinitely, with their distinct essences and essential attributes. This entirely overturns the excellent order of things. It also greatly obscures and darkens the glory of the divine attributes, so that it cannot shine forth in the creatures with its due splendor and brightness. For in this way every creature is so tightly bounded, so strictly enclosed and imprisoned within the narrow limits of its own species, that the mutability of creatures is taken away entirely. Nor could any creature come to share more fully, in various ways, in the divine goodness, or be advanced or promoted to any further perfection.

CAN A HORSE BECOME A MAN?

Creatures can rise or fall from one species into another, as the example of the horse shows.

1692

6.6 All which we shall demonstrate by one or two Examples: And, First, let us take an Horse, which is a Creature indued with divers degrees of perfection by his Creator, as not only strength of Body, but (as I may so say) a certain kind of knowledge, how he ought to serve his Master, and moreover also Love, Fear, Courage, Memory, and divers other Qualities which are in Man: which also we may observe in a Dog, and many other Animals: Seeing therefore the Divine Power, Goodness, and Wisdom, hath created every Creature good;

and indeed so, that it might by continual augmentations (in its Mutability) be advanced to a greater degree of Goodness, *ad infinitum*, whereby the Glory of those Attributes do more and more shine forth: And seeing such is the Nature of every Creature, that it is always in Motion or Operation, which doth most certainly

MODERN

We shall demonstrate all this with one or two examples. First, let us take a horse. It is a creature endowed by its creator with various degrees of perfection: not only bodily strength, but (so to speak) a certain kind of knowledge of how it ought to serve its master, and moreover love, fear, courage, memory, and various other qualities that are in man. We may also observe these in a dog and many other animals. Now the divine power, goodness, and wisdom have created every creature good,

and indeed in such a way that it might, through continual increase (in its mutability), be advanced to a greater degree of goodness *ad infinitum*, so that the glory of those attributes shines forth more and more. And the nature of every creature is such that it is always in motion or operation, and this most certainly tends toward a higher degree of

1692

tend unto an higher degree of Goodness, as the Reward and Fruit of its Labour; unless the Creatures hinder that good by a voluntary Transgression, and abuse of that indifferency of Will which God placed in them in their Creation.

Now I demand, unto what higher perfection and degree of Goodness, the Being or Essence of an Horse doth or may attain after he hath done good service for his Master, and so performed his Duty, and what is proper for such a Creature? Is a Horse then a mere Fabrick or dead Matter? or hath he a Spirit in him, having Knowledge, Sence, and Love, and divers other Faculties and Properties of a Spirit? if he hath, which cannot be denied, what becomes of this Spirit when the Horse dies?

if it be said it passeth into Life, and takes upon it another Body of an Horse, so that it becomes a Horse as before, which Horse may be stronger and fairer, and of a more excellent Spirit than before. Very well! But if he shall die, two, three, or four times, *&c.* shall he always remain a Horse, though he be still better, and more excellent, by how much the oftner his Spirit revolves. Now I demand, whether the Spirit of an Horse hath in it such infinite perfection, that a Horse may always become better and better *ad infinitum*, and yet so as to remain a Horse?

For as the common received Opinion is, this visible Earth shall not always remain in the same State, which may be confirmed by undeniable Reasons: Now it necessarily follows, that the continual Generation of Animals in these gross Bodies shall cease also; for if the Earth shall take on it another Form, neither any longer bring forth Grass, Horses and other Animals shall cease to be such as they were before: And seeing they want their proper Aliment, they cannot remain in the same *Species*, yet nevertheless they are not annihilated, as may be easily conceived; for how can any thing be annihilated, seeing the Goodness of God towards his Creatures always remains the same;

and the conservation or continuation of Creatures is a continued Creation, as is generally granted, and already before demonstrated, that God is a perpetual Creator; and as he is the most free, so also the most necessary Agent: But if it be denied, that the Earth is unchangeable, as before was

MODERN

goodness as the reward and fruit of its labor, unless the creatures hinder that good by a voluntary transgression and by abusing the indifference of will that God placed in them at their creation.

So now I ask: to what higher perfection and degree of goodness does the being or essence of a horse attain, or can it attain, after it has served its master well and so done its duty, and what is proper for such a creature? Is a horse, then, a mere machine or dead matter? Or does it have a spirit in it, having knowledge, sense, and love, and various other faculties and properties of a spirit? If it does, which cannot be denied, what becomes of this spirit when the horse dies?

Suppose it is said that it passes into life again and takes on another horse's body, so that it becomes a horse as before, and this horse may be stronger and finer and have a more excellent spirit than before. Very well! But if it dies two, three, or four times, etc., will it always remain a horse, even though it becomes better and more excellent the more often its spirit revolves? Now I ask whether the spirit of a horse contains such infinite perfection that a horse may always become better and better *ad infinitum*, and yet still remain a horse.[2]

For, as the commonly received opinion holds, this visible earth will not always remain in the same state, and this can be confirmed by undeniable reasons. It necessarily follows that the continual generation of animals in these dense bodies will also cease. For if the earth takes on another form and no longer brings forth grass, horses and other animals will cease to be what they were before. Since they will lack their proper nourishment, they cannot remain in the same species. Yet they are not annihilated, as may easily be understood. For how can anything be annihilated, since God's goodness toward his creatures always remains the same,

and the preservation or continuation of creatures is a continued creation? This is generally granted, and it was demonstrated above that God is a perpetual creator, and that, as he is the freest agent, so he is also the most necessary one. But if it is denied that the earth is unchangeable, as was said

2 "Revolves": the kabbalistic "revolution" of souls, their return into new bodies.

said, then it will come to pass that Horses and other Animals, according to their proportion, will be in like manner changed with the Earth, and the Earth according to the same proportion, will again produce or yield them Aliment or Food agreeable to their changed condition; then I demand, Whether they shall always remain in the same *Species* under such a change?

Or, whether there will not be some difference between that State and this As for Example: There is between a Cow and a Horse, which is commonly granted to be Specifical. Again, I ask whether the *Species* of Creatures do so infinitely one excel another, that an Individual of one particular *Species* may still go forward in perfection, and approach nearer unto another *Species;* but yet never reach so far as to be changed into that *Species?* As for instance: An Horse in divers Qualities and Perfections draws near unto the Nature and *Species* of a Man, and that more than many other Creatures; Is therefore the Nature of a Man distant from the Nature of an Horse, by Infinite Degrees, or by Finite only?

If by Finite, then certainly a Horse may in length of Time be in some measure changed into a Man, (I mean his Spirit; as for his Body that is a thing evident:) If infinitely distant; then unto any Man, even one of the vilest and basest Nature and Disposition, may be attributed a certain Infinite Excellence in Act, such as only agrees to God and Christ, but to no Creature; for the highest Excellence of a Creature is to be Infinite only, in *potentiâ*, not in *actû;* that is, to be still in a possibility of attaining a greater Perfection and Excellence, *ad infinitum*, though it can never reach this Infinite; for how far soever any Finite Being may proceed, yet that is still Finite, although there be no limits to its progression:

As for Example: If we could ever come to the least Minute of Eternity, or the like part of Infinite Duration, that would not be Infinite, but Finite: Neither do we herein contradict what is delivered in the Third Chapter, of the Infiniteness of Creatures; for it is not meant of their Infinite Goodness and Excellence, but in respect only of Multitude and Magnitude; so that the one cannot be numbred, nor the other measured, by the comprehension of any created Intellect: Yet the Individuals of Creatures, are always but Finitely good, and Finitely distant, *quoad Species*, or as to

before, then horses and other animals will be changed along with the earth, in due proportion. The earth, in the same proportion, will again produce nourishment or food suited to their changed condition. Then I ask whether they will always remain in the same species under such a change,

or whether there will not be some difference between that state and this one, such as there is, for example, between a cow and a horse. That difference is commonly granted to be specific. Again, I ask whether the species of creatures so infinitely surpass one another that an individual of one particular species may keep advancing in perfection and approach nearer to another species, yet never get so far as to be changed into it. For instance, in various qualities and perfections a horse comes close to the nature and species of a man, more so than many other creatures do. Is the nature of a man, then, distant from the nature of a horse by infinite degrees, or only by finite ones?

If by finite ones, then certainly a horse may in the course of time be changed in some measure into a man. I mean its spirit; as for its body, that is evident. If they are infinitely distant, then a certain infinite excellence in act may be attributed to any man, even one of the vilest and basest nature and disposition. Such excellence belongs only to God and Christ, and to no creature. For the highest excellence of a creature is to be infinite only *in potentia*, not *in actu*. That is, it is always capable of attaining greater perfection and excellence *ad infinitum*, though it can never reach this infinite. However far any finite being may advance, it is still finite, even though there are no limits to its progress.

For example, if we could ever reach the smallest minute of eternity, or some such part of infinite duration, it would not be infinite but finite. Nor do we here contradict what was set out in the third chapter about the infinity of creatures. That was not meant of their infinite goodness and excellence, but only of their multitude and magnitude: the one cannot be counted, nor the other measured, by the comprehension of any created intellect. Yet individual creatures are always only finitely good, and finitely distant *quoad species*, or as

1692

Species; and only potentially Infinite; that is, always capable of farther perfection without end.

As if there should be supposed a certain Ladder, which should be infinitely long, containing Infinite Steps, yet those Steps are not infinitely distant one from another, otherwise there could be no ascension nor descension made thereon; for Steps (in this Example) signifie the various *Species* of Things, which cannot be infinitely distant one from another, or from those which are next unto them; yea, daily experience teaches us, that the *Species* of divers Things are changed, one into another, as Earth into Water, and Water into Air, and Air into Fire or Aether; and the contrary, as Fire into Air, and Air into Water, *&c.* which yet are distinct *Species* of Things; and so also Stones are changed into Metals, and one Metal into another;

but least some should say these are only naked Bodies and have no Spirit, we shall observe the same not only in Vegetables, but also in Animals, like as Barly and Wheat are convertible the one into the other, and are in very deed often so changed, which is well enough known to Housekeepers in many Provinces, and especially in *Hungary*, where if Barley be sown Wheat springs up instead thereof; but in other places more barren, and especially in Rocky Places, such as are found in *Germany*, if Wheat be sown, Barley cometh up, and Barley in other places becomes mere Grass: And in Animals, Worms are changed into Flies, and Beasts, and Fishes that feed on Beasts, and Fishes of a different kind, do change them into their own Nature, and *Species:* And doth not also a corrupted Nature, or the Body of Earth and Water, produce Animals without any previous Seed of those Animals?

And in the Creation of this World, did not the Waters at the Command of God, produce Birds and Fishes? And did not the Earth also at the same Command bring forth Beasts and Creeping Things; which for that Cause were real and proper Parts of the Earth and Waters?

And as they had their Bodies from the Earth, so likewise they had their Spirits or Souls from the same; for the Earth brought forth Living Souls, as the *Hebrew* Text speaketh, but not mere Corporeal Figures, wanting Life and Soul; wherefore there is a very remarkable difference between Humane

MODERN

to species, and only potentially infinite; that is, they are always capable of further perfection without end.

Suppose, for example, a ladder infinitely long, containing infinite steps. Those steps are not infinitely distant from one another, otherwise no one could climb up or down it. The steps (in this example) signify the various species of things, which cannot be infinitely distant from one another, or from those next to them. Indeed, daily experience teaches us that the species of various things are changed into one another, as earth into water, water into air, and air into fire or ether, and the reverse, as fire into air, air into water, etc. These are nevertheless distinct species of things. So also stones are changed into metals, and one metal into another.

But lest anyone say these are only bare bodies and have no spirit, we shall observe the same not only in plants but also in animals. Barley and wheat, for instance, can be converted into each other, and in fact are often so changed. This is well enough known to householders in many regions, especially in Hungary, where if barley is sown, wheat springs up instead. But in other, more barren places, especially rocky places such as are found in Germany, if wheat is sown, barley comes up, and in other places barley turns into mere grass. And among animals, worms are changed into flies. Beasts, and fishes that feed on beasts and on fishes of a different kind, change them into their own nature and species. And does not a corrupted nature, or the body of earth and water, produce animals without any preceding seed of those animals?

And in the creation of this world, did not the waters at God's command produce birds and fishes? And did not the earth, at the same command, bring forth beasts and creeping things, which for that reason were real and proper parts of the earth and waters?

And just as they had their bodies from the earth, so they had their spirits or souls from it too. For the earth brought forth living souls, as the Hebrew text says, and not mere bodily shapes lacking life and soul. There is therefore a very remarkable difference between human beings and brutes. Of

1692

Creatures and Brutes: Of Man it is said, God made him after his own Image, and breathed into him the Breath of Life, and he became a Living Soul; so that from hence Man received his Life, that principal part of him, (by which he is become a Man,) which is really distinct from that Divine Soul or Spirit which God breathed into him.

And seeing the Body of Man was made out of the Earth, which (as is proved) had therein divers Spirits, and gave Spirits to all Brute Beasts; then unto Man, no doubt, she committed the best and most excellent Spirits whom he was to contain; but all these Spirits were of a far inferiour *Species*, in regard of the Spirit of Man, which he received from above, and not from the Earth:

And the Spirit of Man ought to have Dominion over these Spirits, (which were all but Earthly,) so as to subdue them to himself, and exalt them to an higher degree, *(viz.)* into his own proper Nature, and that would have been his true Increase and Multiplication; for all this he suffered the Earthly Spirits existing within him, to get Dominion over him, and so became like them; wherefore it is said, Earth thou art, and unto Earth thou shalt return, which hath no less a Spiritual than a Literal Signification.

MODERN

man it is said that God made him in his own image and breathed into him the breath of life, and he became a living soul. So from this man received his life, that principal part of him (by which he has become a man), which is really distinct from the divine soul or spirit that God breathed into him.

Man's body was made from the earth, which (as has been proved) had various spirits in it and gave spirits to all brute beasts. No doubt, then, the earth entrusted to man the best and most excellent spirits, which he was to contain. But all these spirits were of a far inferior species compared to the spirit of man, which he received from above and not from the earth.

And man's spirit was meant to have dominion over these spirits (which were all merely earthly), so as to subdue them to himself and raise them to a higher degree, namely into his own proper nature. That would have been his true increase and multiplication. Yet for all this, he allowed the earthly spirits existing within him to gain dominion over him, and so became like them. That is why it is said, "Earth you are, and to earth you shall return," which has a spiritual no less than a literal meaning.

JUSTICE IN TRANSMUTATION

God's justice raises the good and lowers the wicked into the species their lives resemble.

1692

6.7 Now we see how gloriously the Justice of God appears in this Transmutation of Things out of one *Species* into another; and that there is a certain Justice which operates not only in Men and Angels, but in all Creatures, is most certain; and he that doth not observe the same may be said to be utterly Blind: For this Justice appears as well in the Ascension of Creatures, as in their Descension; that is, when they are changed into the better, and when into the worse; when into the better, this Justice distributes to them the Reward and Fruit of their Good Deeds; when into the worse, the same punishes them with due Punishments, according to the Nature and Degree of the Transgression.

And the same Justice hath given a Law to all Creatures, and written the same on their Natures; and every Creature whatsoever, that

MODERN

Now we see how gloriously the justice of God appears in this transmutation of things from one species into another. It is entirely certain that there is a justice that operates not only in men and angels but in all creatures, and whoever does not observe it may be called utterly blind. This justice appears in the ascent of creatures as well as in their descent, that is, both when they are changed for the better and when they are changed for the worse. When for the better, this justice gives them the reward and fruit of their good deeds. When for the worse, it punishes them with due punishments, according to the nature and degree of the transgression.

And the same justice has given a law to all creatures and written it on their natures. Any

1692

transgresseth this Law, is punished for it: But that Creature that observes and keeps it, hath this Reward, *viz*, to become better. So under the Law which God gave to the *Jews*, if a Beast killed a Man, that Beast was to be slain; and the Life of Man is said to be required at the Hand of every Beast, *Gen.* 9. 5.

And if any one had to do with a Beast, not only the Man, but the Beast, was to be slain; so not only the Woman and her Husband did receive Sentence and Punishment from God after their Transgression, but the Serpent also, which was the brutish part in Man, which he took from the Earth.

God hath also put the same instinct of Justice in Man, towards Beasts and Trees of the Field; for whosoever he be that is a good and just Man, the same loves his Beasts that serve him, and taketh care of them that they have their Food and Rest, and what else is wanting to them; and this he doth not do only for his own profit, but out of a Principle of true Justice; for should he be so cruel to them as to require their Labour, and yet deny them their necessary Food, then certainly he transgresseth that Law which God hath written on his Heart;

and if he kills any of them, only to fulfil his own pleasure, he acts unjustly, and the same measure will again be measured unto him; so likewise a Man that hath a certain Fruitful Tree in his Orchard, that prospereth well, he dungs and cleanses the same, that it may wax better and better; but if it be barren, and incumbers the ground, then he heweth it down with an Ax, and burns it with Fire.

And so here is a certain Justice in all these, as in all the Transmutation of Things from one *Species* into another, whether it be by ascending from the Ignobler or Baser unto the Nobler, or by descending into the contrary, there may be found the same Justice: For Example: Is it not just and equitable, if a Man on Earth liveth a pure and Holy Life, like unto the Heavenly Angels, that he should be exalted to an Angelical Dignity after Death, and be like unto them, over whom also the Angels rejoice?

But if a Man here on Earth lives so wickedly and perversly, that he is more like a Devil raised from Hell than any other Creature, if he dies in such a State without Repentance, Shall not the same

MODERN

creature whatever that transgresses this law is punished for it, while a creature that observes and keeps it has this reward, namely to become better. So under the law God gave to the Jews, if a beast killed a man, that beast was to be killed; and the life of man is said to be required at the hand of every beast (Genesis 9:5).

And if anyone lay with a beast, not only the man but also the beast was to be killed. So too, after their transgression, not only the woman and her husband received sentence and punishment from God, but also the serpent, which was the brutish part in man that he took from the earth.

God has also placed the same instinct of justice in man toward beasts and the trees of the field. For whoever is a good and just man loves the beasts that serve him and takes care that they have their food and rest and whatever else they need. He does this not only for his own profit but out of a principle of true justice. If he were so cruel to them as to demand their labor and yet deny them their necessary food, he would certainly transgress the law that God has written on his heart;

and if he kills any of them merely to satisfy his own pleasure, he acts unjustly, and the same measure will be measured out to him in return. So likewise, a man who has a fruitful tree in his orchard that thrives manures it and cleans it so that it may grow better and better. But if it is barren and cumbers the ground, he cuts it down with an axe and burns it.

So there is a certain justice in all these. And in all the transmutation of things from one species into another, whether by ascending from the lower or baser to the nobler, or by descending the opposite way, the same justice may be found. For example, if a man on earth lives a pure and holy life, like the heavenly angels, is it not just and fair that after death he should be raised to angelic dignity and become like them? The angels also rejoice over such a man.

But if a man here on earth lives so wickedly and perversely that he is more like a devil risen from hell than any other creature, and dies in that state

1692

Justice tumble him down to Hell? and shall not such deservedly become like Devils, even as those who led an Angelical Life are made equal with the Angels?

But if a Man hath neither lived an Angelical nor Diabolical, but a Brutish, or at least-wise an Animal or Sensual Life on Earth; so that his Spirit is more like the Spirit of a Beast than any other thing: Shall not the same Justice most justly cause, that as he is become a Brute, as to his Spirit; whilst he hath left the Dominion of his more excellent Part, to that Brutish Part and Spirit within him, that he also (at least, as to his External Form, in bodily Figure) should be changed into that *Species* of Beasts, to whom he was inwardly most like, in Qualities and Conditions of Mind?

And seeing this Brutal Spirit is now become Superior and Predominant in him, and holds the other Captive, is it not very probable, when such a Man dies, that the very same Brutish Spirit shall still have Dominion in him, and carry the Human Soul with it whithersoever it pleaseth, and compel it to be subservient unto it?

And when the said Brutish Spirit returns again into some Body, and hath now Dominion over that Body, so that its Plastick Faculty hath the Liberty of forming a Body, after its own *Idea* and Inclination, (which before, in the Humane Body, it had not;) it necessarily follows, that the Body, which this Vital Spirit forms, will be Brutal, and not Humane; for the Brutal Spirit cannot produce and form any other Figure: Because its Plastick Faculty is governed of its Imagination, which it doth most strongly imagine to its self, or conceive its own proper Image; which therefore the External Body is necessarily forced to assume.

MODERN

without repentance, will not the same justice throw him down to hell? And will not such people deservedly become like devils, just as those who led an angelic life are made equal to the angels?

But suppose a man has lived neither an angelic nor a diabolical life on earth, but a brutish, or at least an animal or sensual, life, so that his spirit is more like the spirit of a beast than anything else. He has become a brute in spirit, since he has handed over the rule of his more excellent part to the brutish part and spirit within him. Will not the same justice most justly bring it about that he too should be changed (at least in his external form, in bodily shape) into the species of beasts he was inwardly most like in the qualities and dispositions of his mind?

And since this brutish spirit has now become superior and predominant in him and holds the other captive, is it not very probable that when such a man dies, the very same brutish spirit will still have dominion in him? Will it not carry the human soul with it wherever it pleases and compel it to serve it?

And that brutish spirit may return again into some body and now have dominion over that body, so that its plastic faculty is free to form a body according to its own *idea* and inclination (which it could not do before, in the human body). It then necessarily follows that the body this vital spirit forms will be brutal and not human. For the brutal spirit cannot produce and form any other shape. Its plastic faculty is governed by its imagination, by which it most strongly imagines to itself, or conceives, its own proper image, and the external body is therefore necessarily forced to assume that image.[3]

3 Plastic faculty: the formative power by which a spirit shapes its body, a term Conway shares with the Cambridge Platonists.

1692

6.8 Herein the Justice of God marvellously appears, whilst he assigns to every Kind and Degree of Transgression its due and proper Punishment; neither doth he sentence every Sin and Transgression to Hell-Fire, and the Punishment due unto Devils; for Christ hath taught the contrary, in that Parable, where he sheweth the Third Degree only is Doom'd to Infernal Punishment, *(viz.)* if one say to his Brother: Thou Fool!

What can be here objected against the Justice of God? If it be said it doth too much lessen and disparage the Dignity and Nobility of Humane Nature, to suppose the same with respect to Body and Soul, convertible into the Nature of a Brute.

To this I Answer, according to the common Maxim, *Corruptio optimi fit pessima, The best Things by Corruption become the worst:* For seeing Man by his voluntary Transgression hath so exceedingly polluted and brought down his own Nature (which was so Noble) into a far worse State and Condition, that the same could wax as vile and base in Spirit as the most unclean Beast or Animal; so that he is become as subject to Earthly Concupiscences and Desires, as any Beast; yea, is become worse than any Beast: What Injustice will this be, if God should also compel him to bear that Image outwardly in his Body, into the which he hath inwardly transformed himself?

Or, which thinkest thou is the worst Degeneration, to bear the Image of a Beast in Spirit, or in Body? Certainly, every one will say, to be like a Beast in Spirit is far the greatest Degeneration; and there is not one, who is indued with true Nobility of Mind, who will not confess, that, to be like a Beast inwardly, is worse than to be like the same outwardly; for to be one with him in Spirit, is far worse than to be one with him in External Form and Figure of Body: But if any one shall say this Punishment is too little for such a Man, who hath lived all his Days a Brutish Life, if after Death he shall only return to the State or Condition of some Beast;

let such know, that the most just Creator and Maker of all Things is wiser than he, and knows best what Punishment is due unto every particular Sin; who hath also so most justly and

MODERN

Here the justice of God appears marvelously, since he assigns to every kind and degree of transgression its due and proper punishment. Nor does he sentence every sin and transgression to hellfire and the punishment due to devils. Christ taught the opposite in the parable where he shows that only the third degree is condemned to infernal punishment, namely if someone says to his brother, "You fool!"[4]

What objection can be raised here against God's justice? Someone may say that it lessens and disparages the dignity and nobility of human nature too much to suppose that it can be changed, in body and soul, into the nature of a beast.

To this I answer with the common maxim, *Corruptio optimi fit pessima*, "the best things become the worst by corruption." By his voluntary transgression man has so greatly polluted his own nature (which was so noble), and brought it down into so much worse a state and condition, that it could grow as vile and base in spirit as the most unclean beast or animal. He has become as subject to earthly lusts and desires as any beast. Indeed, he has become worse than any beast. What injustice would it be, then, if God also compelled him to bear outwardly, in his body, the image into which he has inwardly transformed himself?

Or which do you think is the worse degeneration: to bear the image of a beast in spirit, or in body? Certainly everyone will say that to be like a beast in spirit is by far the greater degeneration. There is no one endowed with true nobility of mind who will not admit that to be like a beast inwardly is worse than to be like one outwardly, for to be one with it in spirit is far worse than to be one with it in the external form and shape of the body. But suppose someone says that this punishment is too slight for a man who has lived a brutish life all his days, if after death he only returns to the state or condition of some beast;

let such a person know that the most just Creator and Maker of all things is wiser than he, and knows best what punishment is due to every particular sin. He has also disposed all things so justly

4 Matthew 5:22.

1692

wisely disposed all Things, that no Man that lives carnally, and after the manner of Beasts, can enter into the Kingdom of Heaven; and so also the Doctrine of Christ expresly informs us, that all Sins are not to be punished with the pains of Hell: *And that where the Treasure is, there is the Heart also, and the Spirit of Man:* Also if a Man is joyn'd or united with any Things, that then he becomes *unum quid*, or one with the same; and that he that cleaves to the Lord is one with him in Spirit; and he that cleaves to a Harlot is one Flesh with her.

Why then doth not he that cleaves to a Beast, by the same reason, become one with a Beast? And so in all other cases: For to whom any one yields himself in obedience, the same is his Master, so far as he obeys him; as the Scripture saith.

Moreover also it is said, *With what measure soever ye mete, the same shall be meted unto you:* As if it should have said, All Kinds and Degrees of Sin, have their proper Punishments, and all these Punishments tend to the Creatures Advantage; so that Grace prevails over Judgment, and Judgment is turned into Victory to the Salvation and Restoration of the Creature: For seeing the Grace of God is extended over all his Works, Why should we think God a more severe and rigid Master to his Creatures than indeed he is?

Seeing this doth wonderfully obscure and darken the Glory of the Divine Attributes; neither doth it beget a Love towards God, and an Admiration of his Goodness and Justice in the Hearts of Men, as it ought to be; but the plain contrary.

MODERN

and wisely that no one who lives carnally, in the manner of beasts, can enter the kingdom of heaven. The teaching of Christ also expressly informs us that not all sins are to be punished with the pains of hell, and that "where the treasure is, there is the heart also," and the spirit of man. It also teaches that if a person is joined or united with anything, he becomes *unum quid*, or one, with it. He who cleaves to the Lord is one spirit with him, and he who cleaves to a prostitute is one flesh with her.

Why then, by the same reasoning, does he who cleaves to a beast not become one with a beast? And so in all other cases. As Scripture says, whoever someone yields himself to in obedience is his master, so far as he obeys him.

It is also said, "With whatever measure you measure, the same shall be measured to you." This is as if to say that all kinds and degrees of sin have their proper punishments, and that all these punishments tend to the creature's benefit. Grace thus prevails over judgment, and judgment is turned into victory, to the salvation and restoration of the creature. For since the grace of God extends over all his works, why should we think God a more severe and rigid master to his creatures than he really is?

For this wonderfully obscures and darkens the glory of the divine attributes. Nor does it beget in human hearts a love of God and an admiration of his goodness and justice, as it ought to. It does the very opposite.

AGAINST ENDLESS HELLFIRE

The idea that every sin earns endless torment makes God a tyrant; punishments fit and heal.

1692

6.9 For that common Notion of the Justice of God, that every Sin, how small soever it be, shall be punished with Hell Fire, and that without all end, begets in Men an horrible *Idea* or Conception concerning God; to wit, as though he were a cruel Tyrant towards all his Creatures rather than a Gracious Father: But if the lovely Image of God was more known unto Men, such as indeed he is, and manifesteth himself in all his Dispensations to his Creatures; and if our Souls could inwardly feel and tast him, *viz.* as he is Charity and Goodness it self, and as he inwardly reveals

MODERN

Consider the common notion of God's justice, that every sin, however small, shall be punished with hellfire, and that without any end. It begets in people a horrible idea or conception of God, namely, that he is a cruel tyrant toward all his creatures rather than a gracious father. Suppose instead that people knew the lovely image of God better, as he truly is and shows himself in all his dealings with his creatures. Suppose our souls could inwardly feel and taste him as he is, charity and goodness itself, and as he inwardly reveals

1692

himself, by the Light and Spirit of *Christ Jesus* our Lord, in the Hearts of Men; then indeed, and not till then, would Men come to Love God above all things, and acknowledge him to be, beyond all, the most Lovely, Just, and Merciful, who may not punish all Sinners with an equal Punishment.

6.10 And moreover also, Why did he drown the old World with Water, and hath purposed to destroy this with Fire? Such as was that of *Sodom:* but that he would show, that for divers kinds of Sin, divers sorts of Punishment are to be inflicted: And that the old World was indeed wicked, but that which is to be destroyed with fire is worse, which for that reason will have the greater Judgment.

But the different nature of these transgressions, for which those different punishments are prepared, seem to consist in this; that the sins of the old World were more brutish and carnal, as the word of God doth seem to point out, when he saith, *My Spirit shall not always strive with Man; because he is become Flesh;* that is, he is become perfectly Brutish or Bestial, by obeying the desires of the Flesh: So that unless this Generation had been cut off, all Mankind (except *Noah* and his Family) in the succeeding Generation, would have become Bestial, which Evil God would prevent, by drowning them with the Waters, that by this Punishment they might be reduced from the Brutish Nature to the Nature of Men:

But the Sins of this World, which like *Sodom* is to be destroyed with Fire, seem in their own Nature, to be more like the Sins of Devils, than any thing else, *(viz.)* by reason of Craft, Deceit, Malice, Hostility, and Cruelty; and therefore their proper Punishment is Fire, which also is the Original Principle of those Noble Spirits so greatly degenerated; and so they ought deservedly by the same to be restored and regenerated: For what is Fire, but a certain kind of imperfect Aethereal Substance shut up in combustible Bodies?

as we observe the same still to mount upwards, and by reason of its notable thinness immediately to vanish: From which Aethereal Substance, as well Angels as Men, have their Original, *quoad Spiritus*, or, *as to their Spirits;* as the Brutal Nature hath its Original from Water. But as all the Punishments, God inflicts on his Creatures, have

MODERN

himself in human hearts by the light and spirit of Christ Jesus our Lord. Then indeed, and not until then, would people come to love God above all things. They would acknowledge him to be, beyond all others, the most lovely, just, and merciful, one who cannot punish all sinners with the same punishment.

Moreover, why did he drown the old world with water, and why has he resolved to destroy this one with fire, as he did Sodom? Only to show that different kinds of sin call for different sorts of punishment. The old world was indeed wicked, but the one to be destroyed by fire is worse, and for that reason will receive the greater judgment.

The different nature of these transgressions, for which those different punishments are prepared, seems to consist in this: the sins of the old world were more brutish and carnal. The word of God seems to indicate this when he says, "My Spirit shall not always strive with man, because he has become flesh." That is, he has become completely brutish or bestial by obeying the desires of the flesh. Unless this generation had been cut off, all mankind (except Noah and his family) would have become bestial in the next generation. God meant to prevent this evil by drowning them in the waters, so that by this punishment they might be brought back from the brutish nature to the nature of human beings.

But the sins of this world, which like Sodom is to be destroyed with fire, seem in their own nature to resemble the sins of devils more than anything else, namely in their craft, deceit, malice, hostility, and cruelty. Their proper punishment is therefore fire, which is also the original principle of those noble spirits that have so greatly degenerated. So it is fitting that they should be restored and regenerated by fire. For what is fire but a kind of imperfect ethereal substance shut up in combustible bodies,

as we see from the way it always rises upward and, because of its remarkable thinness, immediately vanishes? Angels as well as human beings have their origin from this ethereal substance *quoad spiritus*, that is, *as to their spirits*, just as the brutish nature has its origin from water. All the punish-

1692	MODERN
some proportion with their Sins; so all these Punishments (the worst not excepted) do tend to their Good and Restoration, and so are Medicinal, that by them these diseased Creatures may be cured and restored to a better condition than before they enjoyed.	ments God inflicts on his creatures bear some proportion to their sins. In the same way, all these punishments (the worst not excepted) tend to their good and restoration. They are medicinal, so that by them these diseased creatures may be cured and restored to a better condition than they enjoyed before.

HOW CREATURES ARE COMPOSED

Every creature has a body and a spirit, which are one substance differing only in degree.

1692	MODERN
6.11 Now therefore let us examine, how every Creature is composed, and how the parts of its composition may be converted the one into the other; for that they have originally one and the same Essence, or Being.	Now, therefore, let us examine how every creature is composed, and how the parts of its composition may be converted into one another, since they originally have one and the same essence or being.
In every visible Creature there is a Body and a Spirit, or *Principium magis Activum, & magis Passivum*, or, *more Active and more Passive Principle*, which may fitly be termed Male and Female, by reason of that Analogy a Husband hath with his Wife.	In every visible creature there is a body and a spirit, or *principium magis activum & magis passivum*, that is, *a more active and a more passive principle*. These may fitly be called male and female, because of the analogy between them and a husband and his wife.
For as the ordinary Generation of Men requires a Conjunction and Co-operation of Male and Female; so also all Generations and Productions whatsoever they be, require an Union, and conformable Operation of those Two Principles, to wit, Spirit and Body; but the Spirit is an Eye or Light beholding its own proper Image, and the Body is a Tenebrosity or Darkness receiving that Image, when the Spirit looks thereinto, as when one sees himself in a Looking-Glass;	The ordinary generation of human beings requires the union and cooperation of male and female. In the same way, all generations and productions of whatever kind require a union and harmonious operation of these two principles, namely spirit and body. The spirit is an eye or light beholding its own image, and the body is an opacity or darkness that receives that image when the spirit looks into it, as when someone sees himself in a mirror;
for certainly he cannot so behold himself in the Transparent Air, nor in any Diaphanous Body, because the reflexion of an Image requires a certain opacity or darkness, which we call a Body: Yet to be a Body is not an Essential Property of any Thing; as neither is it a Property of any Thing to be dark; for nothing is so dark that it cannot be made Light; yea, the Darkness it self may become Light, as the Light which is created may be turned into Darkness, as the Words of Christ do fully evince, when he saith, *If the Light which is in thee be darkness*, &c.	for he certainly cannot see himself this way in the transparent air, or in any diaphanous body, because the reflection of an image requires a certain opacity or darkness, which we call a body. Yet to be a body is not an essential property of anything, just as it is not a property of anything to be dark. Nothing is so dark that it cannot be made light. Indeed, darkness itself may become light, just as created light may be turned into darkness. The words of Christ fully show this when he says, "If the light that is in you is darkness," etc.,
where he means the Eye or Spirit which is in the Body, which beholdeth the Image of any Thing: Therefore as every Spirit hath need of a Body, that	where he means the eye or spirit in the body, which beholds the image of anything. Therefore, as every spirit needs a body in order to receive

1692

it may receive and reflect its Image, so also it requires a Body to retain the same; for every Body hath this retentive Nature, either more or less in it self; and by how much the perfecter a Body is, that is, more perfectly mix'd, so much the more retentive is it, and so Water is more retentive than Air, and Earth of some Things is more retentive than Water.

But the Seed of a Female Creature, by reason of its so perfect mixture; for that it is the purest Extraction of the whole Body, hath in it a notable retention: And in this Seed, as a Body, the Male Seed, which is the Image and Spirit of the Male, is received and retained, together with other Spirits which are in the Female; and therefore whatsoever Spirit is then strongest, and hath the strongest Image or *Idea* in the Seed, whether it be the Masculine or the Feminine, or any other Spirit from either of these received from without, that Spirit is predominant in the Seed, and forms the Body, as near as may be, after its own Image, and so every Creature receives his External Form.

And after the same manner also, the Internal Productions of the Mind, *viz.* Thoughts are generated, which according to their Kind are true Creatures, and have a true Substance, proper to themselves, being all our Internal Children, and all of them Male and Female, that is, they have Body and Spirit; for if they had not a Body, they could not be retained, nor could we reflect on our own proper Thoughts; for every reflection is made by a certain Tenebrosity or Darkness, and this is a Body; so the Memory requires a Body, to retain the Spirit of the Thing thought on, otherwise it would vanish as the Image in a Glass, which presently vanishes, the Object being removed.

And so likewise, when we remember any Body, we see his Image in us, which is a Spirit that proceeded from him, whilst we beheld him from without; which Image or Spirit is retained in Some-Body, which is the Seed of our Brain, and thence is made a certain Spiritual Generation in us: And so every Spirit hath its Body, and every Body its Spirit; and as the Body, *sc.* of a Man or Beast, is nothing else but an innumerable multitude of Bodies, compacted together into one, and disposed into a certain order;

so likewise the Spirit of a Man, or Beast, is a certain innumerable multitude of Spirits united

MODERN

and reflect its image, it also requires a body in order to retain that image. Every body has this retentive nature in itself, to a greater or lesser degree. The more perfect a body is, that is, the more perfectly mixed, the more retentive it is. So water is more retentive than air, and earth is more retentive of some things than water.

But the seed of a female creature has a remarkable power of retention, because its mixture is so perfect, since it is the purest extract of the whole body. In this seed, as in a body, the male seed, which is the image and spirit of the male, is received and retained, together with other spirits that are in the female. Whatever spirit is strongest at that time and has the strongest image or *idea* in the seed prevails there. It may be the male spirit or the female, or some other spirit that either of them has received from outside. That spirit forms the body as closely as it can after its own image. And so every creature receives its external form.

The internal productions of the mind, namely thoughts, are generated in the same way. According to their kind they are true creatures and have a true substance of their own. They are all our internal children, and all of them are male and female; that is, they have body and spirit. If they had no body, they could not be retained, and we could not reflect on our own thoughts. Every reflection is made by means of a certain opacity or darkness, and this is a body. So memory requires a body to retain the spirit of the thing thought of. Otherwise the thought would vanish like the image in a mirror, which disappears as soon as the object is removed.

Likewise, when we remember someone, we see his image in us. This image is a spirit that came from him while we looked at him from outside. The image or spirit is retained in some body, which is the seed of our brain, and from it a kind of spiritual generation takes place in us. And so every spirit has its body, and every body its spirit. The body of a man or beast is nothing but an innumerable multitude of bodies compacted together into one and arranged in a certain order,

and in the same way the spirit of a man or beast is an innumerable multitude of spirits united togeth-

1692

together in the said Body, which have their Order and Government so, that there is one Captain, or Chief Governor, another a Lieutenant, and another hath a certain kind of Government under him, and so through the whole, as it is wont to be in an Army of Soldiers; wherefore the Creatures are called Armies, and God the God of Hosts, as the Devil which possessed the Man was called Legion, because there were many of them; so that every Man; yea, every Creature, consists of many Spirits and Bodies; (many of these Spirits which exist in Man) are called by the *Hebrews, Nizzuzoth*, or *Sparks.* See in Kabbal.

denud. *Tom. 2. Part 2. Tract.* de revolutionibus animarum*, Cap. 2.* & seq. *p. 256, 268,* &c.) And indeed every Body is a Spirit, and nothing else, neither differs any thing from a Spirit, but in that it is more dark; therefore by how much the thicker and grosser it is become, so much the more remote is it from the degree of a Spirit, so that this distinction is only modal and gradual, not essential or substantial.

MODERN

er in that body. They have their order and government, as is usual in an army of soldiers. One is captain or chief governor, another a lieutenant, another has some kind of command under him, and so on throughout the whole. This is why the creatures are called armies, and God the God of hosts. It is also why the devil that possessed the man was called Legion, because there were many of them. So every man, indeed every creature, consists of many spirits and bodies. (The Hebrews call many of these spirits that exist in a human being *Nizzuzoth*, or *sparks*. See *Kabbala denudata*,[5]

vol. 2, part 2, the treatise *De revolutionibus animarum*, chap. 2 and following, pp. 256, 268, etc.) Indeed every body is a spirit and nothing else. It differs from a spirit only in being darker. So the thicker and denser it has become, the further it is from the degree of a spirit. This distinction is therefore only one of mode and degree, not of essence or substance.

5 *Nizzuzoth* (nitzotzot): in Lurianic Kabbalah, the sparks or fragments into which souls are divided. The treatise on the revolutions of souls cited here comes from the school of Isaac Luria (1534–1572).

Chapter VII

Body and spirit are one substance
Every body can become spirit and every spirit body; the difference is one of degree; penetrability and impenetrability.

1692

7.0 Now that I may more clearly demonstrate, that every Body is a certain Spirit or Life in its own Nature, and that the same is a certain intelligent Principle, having knowledge, Sense, Love, Desire, Joy, and Grief; as it is this or that way affected; and by consequence hath Activity and Motion, *per se;* so that it can remove it self whithersoever it desires to be; I say, in its own Nature, wherein it was originally created, and as it shall be again, when it shall be reduced to its primitive State, and delivered from that Confusion and Vanity, to which it is subject by reason of Sin. I shall produce these following Reasons.

(Of the Nature of Matter and Spirit, more may be seen in *Kabbal, denud.* Tom. 1. Part 2. p. 308. unto. p. 312. and Tom. 2. Treatise ult. pag. 6. 28, 29, 32.)

MODERN

I now wish to show more clearly that every body is a spirit or life in its own nature, and an intelligent principle, having knowledge, sense, love, desire, joy, and grief as it is affected this way or that. It follows that it has activity and motion *per se*, of itself, so that it can move itself wherever it wishes to be. I mean in its own nature, in which it was originally created, and as it will be again when it is brought back to its original state and freed from the confusion and vanity to which it is subject because of sin. For this purpose I shall put forward the following reasons.

(More on the nature of matter and spirit may be found in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1, part 2, pp. 308–312, and vol. 2, last treatise, pp. 6, 28, 29, 32.)

FIRST REASON: THE ORDER OF THINGS

Creatures can grow ever more spiritual but not endlessly bodily, so all must return to good.

1692

7.1 The first hereof shall be from the Order of Things, before-mentioned, which I have already proved to be but Three; to wit, God the Supreme or Chiefest, Christ the *Medium* or Middle, and the Creature the lowest in Order; which Creature is but one Essence or Substance, as to Nature or Essence, as is above demonstrated, so that it only differs *secundum modos existendi;* or, *according to the manners of existence;* among which one is Corporiety; whereof also there are many degrees; so that a Thing may more or less approach to, or recede from the State and Condition of a Body or a Spirit; but because a Spirit (between these two) is more excellent in the Natural Order of Things, and by how much the more a Creature is a Spirit, (if at least wise it doth not any otherwise degenerate) so much the nearer it approaches to God, who is the chiefest Spirit.

MODERN

The first of these reasons comes from the order of things mentioned earlier. I have already proved that there are only three kinds of being: God, the supreme or highest; Christ, the *medium* or middle; and the creature, the lowest in order. As has been shown above, the creature is only one essence or substance as to its nature or essence. It differs only *secundum modos existendi*, that is, *according to its manners of existence*. One of these is corporeality, of which there are also many degrees, so that a thing may come more or less close to the state and condition of a body or of a spirit, or move further from it. But of the two, spirit is the more excellent in the natural order of things. The more a creature is spirit (provided at least that it does not degenerate in some other way), the nearer it comes to God, who is the highest spirit.

1692

Hence a Body may always be more and more Spiritual, *ad infinitum;* because God who is the First and Supreme Spirit is Infinite, and doth not nor cannot partake of the least Corporiety; whence such is the Nature of a Creature, unless it degenerates, that it always draws nearer and nearer unto God in likeness: But because there is no Being, which is every way contrary to God, *(Viz.* there is no Being, which is infinitely and unchangeably Evil, as God is infinitely and unchangeably Good; nothing infinitely Dark, as God is infinitely Light;

nor any thing infinitely a Body, having nothing of Spirit, as God is infinitely a Spirit, having nothing of Body;) hence it is manifest that no Creature can become more and more a Body, *ad infinitum,* although the same may become more and more a Spirit, *ad infinitum;* and nothing can become infinitely more dark, though it may become infinitely more light: By the same reason nothing can be Evil *ad infinitum,* although it may become more and more Good *ad infinitum:* And so indeed, in the very Nature of Things, there are limits or bounds to Evil; but none unto Good.

And after the same manner, every degree of Sin or Evil hath its Punishment, Grief, and Chastisement annexed to it, in the very Nature of the Thing, by which the Evil is again changed into Good; which Punishment or Correction, though it be not presently perceived of the Creature, when it Sins, yet is reserved in those very Sins which the same committeth, and in its due time will appear;

and then every Sin will have its Punishment, and so the Pain and Chastisement will be felt of the Creature, and by that the Creature will be again restored unto its former State of Goodness, in which it was created, and from which it cannot fall or slide any more; because by its great Chastisement it hath acquired a greater Strength and Perfection; and so is ascended so far above that indifferency of Will, which before it had to Good or Evil, that it Wills only that which is Good, neither is any more capable to Will any Evil.

See *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 2. Tract. ult. p. 61. §. 9. p. 69. §. 21. and 70. §. 5. & *ibid.* Tract. 2. p. 157.

And hence may be inferred, that all the Creatures of God, which heretofore degenerated and fell from their primitive Goodness, must after certain periods be converted and restored, not only to as

MODERN

Hence a body may always become more and more spiritual, *ad infinitum*, because God, who is the first and supreme spirit, is infinite, and does not and cannot share in the least corporeality. So the nature of a creature, unless it degenerates, is such that it always draws nearer and nearer to God in likeness. But there is no being that is in every way contrary to God (that is, there is no being that is infinitely and unchangeably evil, as God is infinitely and unchangeably good; nothing infinitely dark, as God is infinitely light;

nor anything infinitely body, having nothing of spirit, as God is infinitely spirit, having nothing of body). Hence it is clear that no creature can become more and more a body *ad infinitum*, although it may become more and more a spirit *ad infinitum*. Nothing can become infinitely darker, though it may become infinitely lighter. For the same reason nothing can be evil *ad infinitum*, although it may become more and more good *ad infinitum*. So in the very nature of things there are limits or bounds to evil, but none to good.

In the same way every degree of sin or evil has its punishment, pain, and chastisement attached to it in the very nature of the thing, and by this the evil is changed back into good. The creature does not perceive this punishment or correction at once when it sins. Yet it is stored up in the very sins it commits, and will appear in due time;

and then every sin will have its punishment, and the creature will feel the pain and chastisement. By this the creature will be restored to its former state of goodness, in which it was created. It can no longer fall or slip from that state, because through its great chastisement it has gained greater strength and perfection. It has risen so far above its former indifference of will toward good or evil that it wills only what is good, and is no longer capable of willing any evil.

See *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 2, last treatise, p. 61, § 9; p. 69, § 21; and p. 70, § 5; and *ibid.*, treatise 2, p. 157.

From this it may be inferred that all God's creatures that once degenerated and fell from their original goodness must, after certain periods, be converted and restored. They will be re-

1692

good, but unto a better State than that was in which they were created: For Divine Operation cannot cease: And hence it is the Nature of every Creature to be still in Motion, and always to change either from Good to Good, or from Good into Evil, or from Evil again into Good; and because it cannot proceed infinitely to Evil, for that there is no Infinite Example thereof, hence it must necessarily return or slide into Eternal Silence, which is contrary to the Nature of it.

But if it be said, it goes into Eternal Torments, I Answer, If by Eternal thou meanest an Infiniteness of Ages, which shall never cease, that is impossible; because every Pain and Torment excites or stirs up an operating Spirit and Life in every thing which suffers; as we observe by continued Experience, and Reason teacheth us, that of necessity it must be so; because through Pain, and the enduring thereof, every kind of crassitude or grossness in Spirit or Body contracted is attenuated, and so the Spirit captivated or detained in that grossness or crassitude is set at Liberty, and made more Spiritual, and consequently more Active and Operative, through suffering.

Now seeing a Creature cannot proceed infinitely to Evil, nor slide down into Inactivity or Silence, nor yet also into mere Eternal Passion, it incontestably follows, that it must at length return unto Good; and by how much the greater its Sufferings are, so much the sooner shall it return and be restored. And so we see how a Thing (the same Substance still remaining) may be marvellously changed in respect of the manners of its Existence; so that a certain Holy and Blessed Spirit, or Angel of Light, could by his voluntary Action, become a Wicked and Cursed Spirit of Darkness; which Change, or Metamorphosis, certainly is as great as if a Spirit were changed into a Body.

And if it be here demanded, Whether those Spirits became more Corporeal by their Transgression, than they were in their Primitive State before they fell? I answer, Yes; but because, as I have already shown, that a Spirit is capable of Corporiety, *Secundum majus & minus*, or more and less; although not infinitely, yet in many degrees. Hence it is, they could remain for many Ages, and have nothing of such a Corporeal Crassitude, as Things in this visible.

MODERN

stored not only to as good a state as the one in which they were created, but to a better one. For divine operation cannot cease. Hence it is the nature of every creature to be always in motion and always changing: from good to good, or from good to evil, or from evil back to good. And because it cannot proceed infinitely toward evil, since there is no infinite pattern of evil, it must necessarily either return or sink into eternal silence, which is contrary to its nature.

But if it is said that it goes into eternal torments, I answer as follows. If by "eternal" you mean an infinity of ages that will never end, that is impossible. Every pain and torment arouses or stirs up an operating spirit and life in everything that suffers. We see this by constant experience, and reason teaches us that it must necessarily be so. Through pain and the enduring of it, every kind of density or coarseness contracted in spirit or body is thinned. So the spirit held captive in that density is set free, and through suffering it is made more spiritual, and consequently more active and operative.

Now a creature cannot proceed infinitely toward evil, nor sink into inactivity or silence, nor yet into mere eternal suffering. It follows incontestably that it must at length return to good, and the greater its sufferings, the sooner it will return and be restored. And so we see how a thing may be marvelously changed with respect to its manners of existence while the same substance still remains. A holy and blessed spirit, or angel of light, could by its voluntary action become a wicked and cursed spirit of darkness. This change or metamorphosis is certainly as great as if a spirit were changed into a body.

It may be asked here whether those spirits became more corporeal through their transgression than they were in their original state before they fell. I answer: yes. But, as I have already shown, a spirit is capable of corporeality *secundum majus & minus*, more and less, not infinitely, but in many degrees. Hence for many ages they could remain without anything of such corporeal density as things in this visible

1692

World have, such as are hard Stones, or Metals, or the Bodies of Men and Women: For certainly the Bodies of the worst Spirits have not such a Crassitude as any visible Body, and yet all that grossness of visible Bodies came from the Fall of Spirits from their First State: And so the Spirits after long and various periods, could contract this grossness to themselves, although they could not together, and at one and the same time fall into an universal grossness, so that the whole Body of any fallen Spirit should be in all its parts equally gross;

but some parts become grosser and grosser, and the other Corporeal Parts of this Spirit (which are its immediate Vehicle, and wherewith it is most intimately united) retain a certain Tenuity or Subtilty, without which the Spirit could not be so moveable and active as otherwise it would; and with these subtiler and more tenuious Parts of the Body, the principal Spirit (together with its ministring Spirits, so many of them as it can possibly gather together) departs out of those thicker Parts of the Body, which it leaves as so many cadaverous Bodies, which are no longer fit to serve the said Spirits in those Operations which they exercise in their present State.

And we may observe this departure of the subtiler and stronger Spirits, out of the harder and grosser parts of the Body, into the more soft and tenuous, in a certain Spirituous Liquor, which is congealed with great cold, where the stronger Spirits (forsaking the harder Parts which are outward, and chiefly exposed to the cold) do gather themselves into the middle Part of the Body, which is always subtile and thin, so that one only drop of that Liquor (which is not congealed, but remaineth still liquid in the innermost Part of the congealed Body) hath in it the augmented force of all those Parts which are congealed;

so that here is a two fold grossness and hardness of Bodies, the one palpable and visible to our External Senses; the other invisible and impalpable, which nevertheless is as gross as the other, yea, often grosser and harder, which may be truly perceived by the Internal Senses, although the External Senses may be insensible thereof; for the invisible and impalpable grossness or hardness is that which is proper to those Bodies, which are so small, that our External Senses cannot perceive them, when

MODERN

world have, such as hard stones or metals, or the bodies of men and women. For certainly the bodies of the worst spirits do not have the density of any visible body. And yet all the density of visible bodies came from the fall of spirits from their first state. So after long and varied periods the spirits could contract this density to themselves. But they could not fall into a universal density all at once, at one and the same time, so that the whole body of a fallen spirit would be equally dense in all its parts;

rather, some parts become denser and denser, while the other corporeal parts of this spirit keep a certain thinness or subtlety. These are its immediate vehicle, and it is most intimately united with them. Without this subtlety the spirit could not be as mobile and active as it otherwise would be. With these subtler and thinner parts of the body, the principal spirit departs from the denser parts, together with as many of its ministering spirits as it can gather. It leaves the denser parts behind like so many corpses, no longer fit to serve those spirits in the operations they perform in their present state.

We may observe this departure of the subtler and stronger spirits, out of the harder and denser parts of a body into the softer and thinner parts, in a certain spirituous liquor frozen by great cold. The stronger spirits abandon the harder parts, which are on the outside and most exposed to the cold, and gather themselves into the middle part of the body, which always stays subtle and thin. So a single drop of that liquor (which is not frozen, but remains liquid in the innermost part of the frozen body) contains the concentrated strength of all the parts that are frozen.

So there is a twofold density and hardness of bodies. One is palpable and visible to our external senses. The other is invisible and impalpable, yet just as dense as the first, and often denser and harder. The internal senses may truly perceive it, even though the external senses cannot. For the invisible and impalpable density or hardness is the one proper to bodies so small that our external senses cannot perceive them. Yet these bodies are

1692

nevertheless they are really exceeding hard, yea, harder than any Flint or Metal, which we can handle with our Hands.

And out of these hard and small Bodies, visible Waters are for the most part composed, although they appear to us very soft, fluid, and tenuious, by reason of the great Plenty of certain other subtile Bodies which continually agitate, and move the said hard Particles; so that Water seems to our gross Senses to be one thing Homogeneal, Simple, and Uniform, although it consisteth of many Heterogeneous and Dissimilar or differing Parts, more than many other Bodies; and many of these Parts are exceeding hard and stony, whence proceeds Gravel, bubbling forth, and all other little Sands and Stones, which have their Original and Birth from the Waters springing from the bottom of the Earth; and when those little Stones, or stony Particles of Water, grow into visible Sand and Stones, the same after some time do again lose this hardness, and become more soft and tenuious, than when they belonged to the Waters; for Stones do rot, and are converted into soft Earth, and out of this proceed Animals; so also Stones putrifying, do often become Water again; but this Water is of another *Species* than the former, for one is petrefying, the other mollifying;

as it is observed that from one Mountain in *Helvetia* two Kinds of Water flow, one whereof being drunken breeds the Stone, and the other is a proper remedy against it; so that one Water is changed into a Stone, and the other Water proceeds from that Stone, whilst it is in Corruption, and so it alters and loseth its former hardness: And so from what hath been said may the better be understood, how the Heart and Spirit of a Wicked Man may be said to be hard and stony; because indeed his Spirit hath in it a real hardness, such as is found in those little stony Particles of certain Waters; when on the contrary the Spirits of good Men are soft and tender; which internal softness and hardness of Spirits, we may also really feel, and every Good Man doth as sensibly perceive the same, as the external hardness of gross Bodies is discerned by the outward touch; but such who are dead in their Sins, have not this sense of the hardness or softness of Good or Evil Spirits; and therefore they call these only Metaphorical Speeches, when

MODERN

in fact extremely hard, harder even than any flint or metal we can handle with our hands.

Visible waters are for the most part composed of these small, hard bodies. They seem to us very soft, fluid, and thin because of the great abundance of certain other subtle bodies that constantly agitate and move those hard particles. So to our coarse senses water seems to be one homogeneous, simple, and uniform thing. In fact it consists of many heterogeneous, dissimilar, or differing parts, more than many other bodies do. Many of these parts are extremely hard and stony. From them comes the gravel that bubbles forth, and all the other little sands and stones that have their origin and birth from the waters springing from the depths of the earth. When these little stones, or stony particles of water, grow into visible sand and stones, after some time they lose this hardness again and become softer and thinner than when they belonged to the waters. For stones rot and turn into soft earth, and animals arise from this earth. Stones also often become water again as they decay. But this water is of another species than the former, for the one petrifies and the other softens;

thus it has been observed that two kinds of water flow from a single mountain in Switzerland. Drinking one of them breeds stones in the body, and the other is a proper remedy against them. So one water is changed into stone, and the other comes from that stone while it is decaying, and so alters and loses its former hardness. From what has been said, we can better understand how the heart and spirit of a wicked person may be said to be hard and stony. His spirit really does have a hardness in it, like the hardness of those little stony particles in certain waters. The spirits of good people, on the contrary, are soft and tender. We can also really feel this internal softness and hardness of spirits. Every good person perceives it as sensibly as outward touch discerns the external hardness of dense bodies. But those who are dead in their sins have no sense of the hardness or softness of good or evil spirits. So they call these merely metaphorical expressions, when in fact the things are really so, in the proper sense and without any figure of speech.

1692

indeed the Things are really so in a proper sence, and that without any Figure.

MODERN

SECOND REASON: THE DIVINE ATTRIBUTES

A living, good God cannot create dead matter, which would share none of his attributes.

1692

7.2 The Second Reason, that created Spirits are convertible into Bodies, and Bodies into Spirits, I shall deduce from a serious and due consideration of the Divine Attributes; from which, as from a Treasury of Instructions, may be manifested the Truth of all Things: For seeing God is infinitely Good, and communicates his Goodness infinite ways to his Creatures; so that there is no Creature which doth not receive something of his Goodness, and that very largely: And seeing the Goodness of God is a living Goodness, which hath Life, Power, Love, and Knowledge in it, which he communicates to his Creatures, How can it be, that any dead Thing should proceed from him, or be created by him, such as is mere Body or Matter, according to their Hypothesis, who affirm, that the same is wholly inconvertible, to any degree of Life or Knowledge?

It is truly said of one that God made not Death, and it is as true, that he made no dead Thing: For how can a dead Thing depend of him, who is infinitely Life and Charity? Or how can any Creature receive so vile and diminutive an Essence from him, (who is so infinitely Liberal and Good,) that should partake nothing of Life or Knowledge, nor ever be able to aspire to it, no not in the least degree? Hath not God created all his Creatures for this end, that in him they might be Blessed, and enjoy his Divine Goodness, in their several States and Conditions? But how can this be without Life or sense?

Or how can any Thing, that wanteth Life, enjoy Divine Goodness? But we shall urge this Argument a little farther, The Divine Attributes are commonly and rightly distinguished, into communicable, and incommunicable; the incommunicable are, that God is a Being, subsisting by himself, Independent, Unchangeable, absolutely Infinite, and most Perfect: The communicable are, that he is a Spirit, Life, and Light, that he is Good, Holy, Just, Wise,

MODERN

The second reason, that created spirits are convertible into bodies and bodies into spirits, I shall draw from a serious and proper consideration of the divine attributes. From them, as from a treasury of instruction, the truth of all things may be made clear. God is infinitely good and communicates his goodness in infinite ways to his creatures, so that there is no creature that does not receive something of his goodness, and that very generously. And the goodness of God is a living goodness, which has life, power, love, and knowledge in it, and he communicates these to his creatures. How then can any dead thing come from him or be created by him? Yet such a thing is mere body or matter, according to the hypothesis of those who claim that it can never be converted to any degree of life or knowledge.

It was truly said by someone that God did not make death, and it is just as true that he made no dead thing. For how can a dead thing depend on him who is infinitely life and charity? He is so infinitely generous and good. How then can any creature receive from him so vile and diminished an essence that it would share nothing of life or knowledge, and never be able to aspire to it, not even in the least degree? Has not God created all his creatures for this end, that they might be blessed in him and enjoy his divine goodness in their various states and conditions? But how can this happen without life or sense?[1]

Or how can anything that lacks life enjoy divine goodness? But let us press this argument a little further. The divine attributes are commonly and rightly divided into communicable and incommunicable. The incommunicable attributes are that God is a being subsisting by himself, independent, unchangeable, absolutely infinite, and most perfect. The communicable attributes are that he is spirit, life, and light, and that he is good, holy, just,

1 "God made not death": Wisdom of Solomon 1:13.

1692

&c. But now there are none of these communicable Attributes, which are not living, yea Life it self: And because every Creature hath a Communication with God in some of his Attributes, now I demand, In what Attribute dead Matter hath it, or a Body that is uncapable of Life and Sense for ever?

If it be said, It agrees with God in Entity, or that it is an Essence, I Answer, In God there is no dead Being, whereof he is or can be Partaker: Whence, therefore, shall this have its dead Essence? Moreover the Entity or Being of a Thing is not properly an Attribute thereof; but an Attribute is properly, *tale quid*, or something that is predicated or affirmed of that Being: Now what Attributes or Perfections can be attributed to dead Matter, which do analogically Answer to those which are in God? If we diligently enquire thereinto, we shall find none at all; for all his Attributes are living; yea, Life it self.

Moreover, seeing the Creatures of God, so far as they are Creatures, ought necessarily in some things to resemble their Creator, now I demand, in what dead Matter is like unto God? If they say again in naked Entity, I Answer, There is none such in God or his Creatures: And so it is a mere *non ens*, or nothing.

But as touching the other Attributes of Matter, *viz.* Impenetrability, Figurability, and Mobility; certainly none of these have any place in God, and so are not of his communicable Attributes; but rather Essential Differences or Attributes of Diversity, whereby the Creature, as such, is distinguished from God; as also Mutability is of the Number of those differential Attributes, whence it cannot be said that Mutability is of the communicable Attributes of God: And in like manner, Impenetrability, Figurability, and Mobility, do not pertain unto the communicable Attributes of God; but to those only in which the Creatures differ from him.

And seeing dead Matter doth not partake of any of the communicable Attributes of God, we must certainly conclude, that the same is a mere *non ens*, or nothing, a false Fiction or Chimaera, and so a thing impossible. If they say, it hath a Metaphysical Goodness and Truth, even as every Being is Good and True: Again; I demand, What is that Goodness and Truth? For if it hath no

MODERN

wise, etc. Now every one of these communicable attributes is living, indeed life itself. And every creature shares with God in some of his attributes. So I ask: in which attribute does dead matter share, or a body that is forever incapable of life and sense?

Someone may say that it agrees with God in entity, that is, in being an essence. I answer that in God there is no dead being of which he is or could be a partaker. Where, then, would matter get its dead essence? Moreover, the entity or being of a thing is not properly an attribute of it. An attribute is properly *tale quid*, something that is predicated or affirmed of that being. Now what attributes or perfections can be ascribed to dead matter that correspond analogically to those in God? If we inquire carefully, we shall find none at all, for all his attributes are living, indeed life itself.

Moreover, God's creatures, insofar as they are creatures, must necessarily resemble their Creator in some things. So I ask: in what is dead matter like God? If they say again that it is like him in bare entity, I answer that there is no such thing in God or in his creatures. So it is a mere *non ens*, or nothing.

As for the other attributes of matter, namely impenetrability, capacity for shape, and mobility, certainly none of these has any place in God. So they are not among his communicable attributes. They are rather essential differences, or attributes of diversity, by which the creature as such is distinguished from God. Mutability too is one of these differential attributes, so it cannot be said that mutability is among the communicable attributes of God. In the same way, impenetrability, capacity for shape, and mobility do not belong to the communicable attributes of God, but only to those in which the creatures differ from him.

Dead matter, then, shares in none of the communicable attributes of God. We must certainly conclude that it is a mere *non ens*, or nothing, a false fiction or chimera, and so an impossible thing. They may say that it has a metaphysical goodness and truth, just as every being is good and true. I ask again: what is that goodness and truth? If it has no share in any of the communicable attributes of

1692

participation with any of the communicable Attributes of God, it will be neither Good nor True, and so a mere Fiction, as before was said.

Moreover, seeing it cannot be said, wherein dead Matter doth any way partake of Divine Goodness, much less can it be shown, how it may be capable always to acquire a greater Perfection, *ad infinitum*, which is the Nature of all Creatures, *viz.* to increase, and infinitely advance towards a farther Perfection as is before demonstrated. But what farther progress in Goodness or Perfection hath a dead Matter?

Because after it hath suffered Infinite Changes of Motion and Figure it is constrained always to remain dead, as before; and if Motion and Figure contribute nothing to the receiving of Life, then certainly this is made never the better; nay, is not in the least degree promoted in Goodness: For suppose this dead Matter had undergone all Forms, and been transmuted into all Kinds of Figures, even the most regular and exact: What doth this profit this Matter or Body, because it wants all Life and Sense? So let us suppose the same to have undergone Infinite Kinds of Motion, from slowness to swiftness; Wherein, therefore, is it better, by the way of its Intrinsecal Melioration?

For the Argument speaketh of Intrinsecal Melioration, which is such a Melioration as the Nature of the Thing it self requireth, and which is performed thereby; but a mere dead Body, or Matter, requires no kind of Motion or Figure; nor, in it self, is perfected more by one Motion, or Figure, than by another; for it is alike indifferent to all Motions and Figures whatsoever, and by consequence is not perfected or bettered by any of them. And then what advantage will it have from all these helps, if it always remain a dead and impassible Thing.

MODERN

God, it will be neither good nor true, and so a mere fiction, as was said before.

Moreover, no one can say in what way dead matter shares in divine goodness at all. Still less can anyone show how it could always acquire greater perfection *ad infinitum*. Yet this is the nature of all creatures, namely to grow and advance infinitely toward further perfection, as was shown before. But what further progress in goodness or perfection does dead matter have?

For after it has undergone infinite changes of motion and shape, it must always remain dead, as before. If motion and shape contribute nothing to its receiving life, then it is certainly none the better for them. Indeed, it is not advanced in goodness in the least degree. Suppose this dead matter had passed through all forms and been transformed into every kind of shape, even the most regular and exact. What good does this do the matter or body, since it lacks all life and sense? Or suppose it has undergone infinite kinds of motion, from slowness to swiftness. In what way, then, is it better by way of intrinsic improvement?

For the argument speaks of intrinsic improvement, that is, such an improvement as the nature of the thing itself requires, and which that nature brings about. But mere dead body or matter requires no kind of motion or shape. In itself it is not perfected more by one motion or shape than by another. It is equally indifferent to all motions and shapes whatever, and consequently is not perfected or improved by any of them. What advantage, then, will it gain from all these aids, if it always remains a dead thing, incapable of being affected?

THIRD REASON: THE SOUL'S LOVE OF THE BODY

The soul's love for its body shows that the two share one nature.

1692

7.3 My Third Reason is drawn from the great Love and Desire that the Spirits or Souls have towards Bodies, and especially towards those with which they are united, and in which they have their Habitation: But now the Foundation of all Love or Desire, whereby one Thing is carried unto another, stands in this, That either they are of the

MODERN

My third reason is drawn from the great love and desire that spirits or souls have toward bodies, especially toward those with which they are united and in which they dwell. Now the foundation of all love or desire by which one thing is drawn to another lies in this. Either the two things are of the same nature and substance, or they are like each

1692

same Nature and Substance with them, or like unto them, or both; or that one hath its Being from the other, whereof we have an Example in all living Creatures which bring forth their young; and in like manner also in Men, how they love that which is born of them: For so also even Wicked Men and Women (if they are not extremely perverse, and void of Parental Love) do Love their Children, and cherish them with a Natural Affection, the cause whereof certainly is this, That their Children are of the same Nature and Substance, *viz.* as though they were Parts of them;

and if they are like them, either in Body, Spirit, or Manners, hereby their Love is the more increased: So also we observe that Animals of one *Species* love one another more than those that are of a different *Species;* whence also Cattle of one Kind feed together; Birds of a Kind flock together; and Fishes of a Kind swim together; and so Men rather converse with Men than with any other Creatures: But besides this particular Love, there remains yet something of Universal Love in all Creatures, one towards another, setting aside that great confusion which hath fallen out since, by reason of Transgression; which certainly must proceed from the same Foundation, *viz.* in regard of their First Substance and Essence, they were all one and the same Thing, and as it were Parts and Members of one Body.

Moreover, in every *Species* of Animals, we see how the Male and Female Love one another, and in all their Propagations (which are not Monstrous, and contrary to Nature) they respect each other; and that proceeds not only from the unity of Nature, but also by reason of a certain eminent similitude or likeness between them. And both these Foundations of Love between a Man and a Woman, are expresly mentioned in *Genesis;* but that which *Adam* spoke concerning his Wife, *This is Bone of my Bone, and Flesh of my Flesh*, &c. pertains unto the Unity of Nature; for she was taken out of him, and was a part of him, and therefore he loved her.

Moreover also, concerning Similitude, it is said, there was no Help found for him, or before his Face, as it is in the *Hebrew, (i. e.)* among all Creatures he saw not his like, with whom he would converse, until *Eve* was made for him. But there is yet another cause of Love, when Beings,

MODERN

other, or both; or else one has its being from the other. We have an example of this in all living creatures that bring forth young, and likewise in human beings, who love what is born of them. Even wicked men and women (unless they are extremely perverse and devoid of parental love) love their children and cherish them with natural affection. The cause of this is certainly that their children are of the same nature and substance, as though they were parts of them;

and if the children resemble them in body, spirit, or manners, their love grows all the more. We also see that animals of one species love one another more than those of a different species. This is why cattle of one kind graze together, birds of a kind flock together, and fish of a kind swim together. And so human beings prefer to keep company with human beings rather than with any other creatures. But besides this particular love, something of a universal love still remains in all creatures toward one another, apart from the great confusion that has since arisen because of transgression. This must certainly come from the same foundation. In their first substance and essence they were all one and the same thing, and as it were parts and members of one body.

Moreover, in every species of animals we see how the male and female love one another, and in all their propagations (those that are not monstrous and contrary to nature) they are drawn to each other. This comes not only from their unity of nature but also from a striking similarity or likeness between them. Both these foundations of love between a man and a woman are expressly mentioned in Genesis. What Adam said about his wife, "This is bone of my bone, and flesh of my flesh," etc., concerns the unity of nature. She was taken out of him and was a part of him, and therefore he loved her.

Concerning likeness, it is said that no helper was found for him, or "before his face," as the Hebrew has it. That is, among all the creatures he saw none like himself with whom he wished to keep company, until Eve was made for him. But there is yet another cause of love. It applies when beings

1692

that love each other, are not one Substance, but one gave Being to the other, and is the proper and real cause thereof.

And so it is in the case between God and Creatures; for he gave to all, Being, Life, and Motion; and therefore he loves all Creatures; neither can he not love them; yea, at the same time when he seems to hate and be angry with them, this his Anger, and what proceeds therefrom, *viz.* Punishments and Judgments, turns to their Good, because he perceiveth they have need of them.

So, on the contrary, the Creatures which have not wholly degenerated, and lost all sense of God, do love him; and this is a certain Divine Law, and Instinct, which he put in all rational Creatures, that they might love him, which is the fulfilling of the whole Law: But those Creatures which draw most near unto God in similitude or likeness, do love him the more, and are the more loved of him.

But if it be thought there is another principal cause of Love, to wit, Goodness, which is the most vehement or powerful *Magnet* thereof, whence also God is above all the most to be loved; because he is the best; which Goodness is in some measure in Creatures, either really or apparently; wherefore such are loved of their Fellow-Creatures: I Answer: It must be granted indeed, that Goodness is a great, yea the greatest Cause of Love, and the proper Object of it; but this Goodness is not a distinct Cause from those before laid down, but is comprehended in them. Wherefore do we call a Thing Good?

But because it either really or apparently pleases us, for the unity it hath with us, or which we have with it: Hence it comes to pass, that Good Men love Good Men, and not otherwise; for Good Men cannot love Evil, nor Evil Men Good Men as such; for there is no greater similitude than between Good and Good: For the reason why we call or esteem a Thing Good, is this, that it benefits us, and that we are made Partakers of its Goodness, and so here the First Cause of Similitude is still Militant: So likewise, when one Thing gives being to another, as when God and Christ give Being to Creatures (as from whom have every true Essence proceeded,) here is in like manner a certain Similitude; for it is impossible that the Creatures should not in some Things be like their Creator,

MODERN

that love each other are not one substance, but one gave being to the other and is its proper and real cause.

This is how it stands between God and creatures. He gave being, life, and motion to all, and therefore he loves all creatures, and he cannot fail to love them. Even when he seems to hate them and be angry with them, his anger and what comes from it, namely punishments and judgments, turn to their good, because he sees that they need them.

Conversely, the creatures that have not wholly degenerated and lost all sense of God love him. This is a divine law and instinct that he has placed in all rational creatures, so that they should love him, which is the fulfilling of the whole law. But the creatures that come nearest to God in likeness love him the more, and are the more loved by him.

Someone may think there is another principal cause of love, namely goodness, which is its most vehement or powerful magnet. This is why God is to be loved above all: he is the best. And this goodness is present to some degree in creatures, either really or apparently, and this is why their fellow creatures love them. I answer that it must indeed be granted that goodness is a great cause of love, indeed the greatest, and its proper object. But this goodness is not a cause distinct from those set out above. It is included in them. Why do we call a thing good?

Only because it pleases us, really or apparently, on account of the unity it has with us, or we with it. Hence good people love good people, and not otherwise. Good people cannot love evil, nor can evil people love good people as such, for there is no greater likeness than that between good and good. The reason we call or consider a thing good is that it benefits us and we are made partakers of its goodness. So here the first cause, likeness, is still at work. Likewise, when one thing gives being to another, there is a certain likeness, as when God and Christ give being to creatures (for every true essence has proceeded from them). It is impossible that creatures should not resemble their Creator in some things and agree with him in some attributes or perfections.

and agree with him in some Attributes or Perfections.

This being supposed a Touch-stone, we shall now return to our subject matter, *(i. e.)* to examine, whether Spirits and Bodies are of one Nature and Substance, and so convertible one into another? Therefore, I demand, What is the reason, That the Spirit or Soul so loveth the Body wherewith it is united, and so unwillingly departs out of it, that it has been manifestly notorious, the Souls of some have attended on, and been subject to their Bodies, after the Body was dead, until it was corrupted, and dissolved into dust.

That the Spirit or Soul gave a distinct Being to the Body, or the Body to the Spirit, cannot be the reason of this Love; for that were Creation in a strict sence; but this *(viz.)* to give Being unto Things agrees only to God and Christ; therefore that necessarily comes to pass by reason of that similitude they have one with another, or some Affinity in their Natures: Or, if it be said, there is a certain Goodness in the Body, which moves the Spirit to love it, certainly this Goodness must necessarily answer to something in the Soul which is like it, otherwise it could not be carried unto it; yea, let them inform us what that Goodness in the Body is, for which the Soul doth so fervently love it?

or in what Attributes or Perfections a Body is like a Spirit; if a Body is nothing but a dead Trunk, and a certain Mass which is altogether uncapable of any degree of Life, and Perfection?

if they say a Body agrees with a Spirit *Ratione entis*, or in respect of Being; that is to say; as this hath Being so that hath the same; this is already refuted in the former Argument; for if this Being hath no Attributes or Perfections wherein it may agree with the Being of a Spirit, then it is only a mere Fiction; for God created no Naked *Ens*, or Being, which should be a mere Being, and have no Attributes that may be predicated of it; besides also, *Ens* is only a Logical Notion or Term, which Logicians do call *Genus generalissimum*, or the most General Kind, which in the naked and abstracted Notion of it, is not in the Things themselves, but only in the Conception or Humane Intellect.

And therefore every true Being is a certain single Nature, whereof may be affirmed such and such

With this as a touchstone, let us now return to our subject, that is, to examining whether spirits and bodies are of one nature and substance, and so convertible into one another. So I ask: why does the spirit or soul love the body it is united with so much, and leave it so unwillingly? It is well known that the souls of some people have stayed with their bodies, and been subject to them, after the body was dead, until it decayed and dissolved into dust.

This love cannot come from the spirit or soul having given a distinct being to the body, or the body to the spirit. That would be creation in the strict sense, and giving being to things belongs only to God and Christ. So it must necessarily come from the likeness they have to each other, or from some affinity in their natures. Suppose it is said that there is a certain goodness in the body that moves the spirit to love it. Then this goodness must surely correspond to something in the soul that is like it; otherwise the soul could not be drawn to it. Indeed, let them tell us what that goodness in the body is, for which the soul loves it so fervently.

Or let them tell us in what attributes or perfections a body is like a spirit, if a body is nothing but a dead trunk, a mass wholly incapable of any degree of life and perfection.

Suppose they say that a body agrees with a spirit *ratione entis*, that is, in respect of being, meaning that as the one has being, so the other has the same. This has already been refuted in the previous argument. For if this being has no attributes or perfections in which it can agree with the being of a spirit, it is a mere fiction. God created no naked *ens*, or being, that would be mere being and have no attributes that could be predicated of it. Besides, *ens* is only a logical notion or term, which logicians call *genus generalissimum*, the most general kind. In its bare, abstract notion it is not in things themselves, but only in the conception of the human intellect.

So every true being is a certain particular nature, of which such and such attributes can be affirmed.

1692

Attributes: Now what are those Attributes of Body, wherein it resembles a Spirit? Let us examine the principal Attributes of Body, as distinct from a Spirit, according to their Opinion, who so much dispute, that Body and Spirit are so infinitely distant in Nature, that one can never become the other: The Attributes are these, That a Body is impenetrable of all other Bodies, so that the parts thereof cannot penetrate each other;

but there is another Attribute of Body, *viz.* to be discerpible or divisible into parts: But the Attributes of Spirit (as they define it) are penetrability and indiscerpibility, so that one Spirit can penetrate another; also, that a thousand Spirits can stand together one within another, and yet possess no more Space than one Spirit, Moreover, that a Spirit is so simple, and one in it self, that it cannot be rent asunder, or actually divided into separate parts.

If now the Attributes of Body and Spirit are compared together, they are so far from being like one another, or having any Analogy of Nature (in which nevertheless the true Foundation of Love and Unity doth consist, as before was said,) that they are plainly contrary; yea, nothing in the whole World can be conceived so contrary to any Thing, as Body and Spirit, in the opinion of these Men.

For here is a pure and absolute contrariety in all their Attributes; because Penetrability and Impenetrability are more contrary one to another than black and white, or hot and cold: For that which is black may become white, and that which is hot may become cold: But (as they say) that which is impenetrable cannot be made penetrable; yea, God and Creatures do not so infinitely differ in Essence one from another; as these Doctors make Body to differ from Spirit: For there are many Attributes, in which God and the Creatures agree together; but we can find none, wherein a Body can any way agree with a Spirit, and by consequence, nor with God, who is the chiefest and purest of Spirits; wherefore it can be no Creature, but a mere Non-entity or Fiction: But as Body and Spirit are contrary in the Attributes of Penetrability and Impenetrability; so are they no less contrary in Discerpibility and Indiscerpibility:

But if they alledge, that Body and Spirit do agree in some Attributes, as Extension, Mobility, and

MODERN

Now what are those attributes of body in which it resembles a spirit? Let us examine the principal attributes of body, as distinct from spirit, according to those who argue so hard that body and spirit are so infinitely distant in nature that one can never become the other. The attributes are these. A body is impenetrable by all other bodies, so that its parts cannot penetrate one another;

and another attribute of body is to be discerpible, or divisible into parts. The attributes of spirit, on the other hand (as they define it), are penetrability and indiscerpibility. So one spirit can penetrate another, and a thousand spirits can stand together, one within another, and yet take up no more space than one spirit. Moreover, a spirit is so simple and so one in itself that it cannot be torn apart, or actually divided into separate parts.

If we now compare the attributes of body and spirit, they are far from being like one another, or from having any analogy of nature (and as was said before, the true foundation of love and unity lies in such an analogy). They are plainly contrary. Indeed, in the opinion of these men, nothing in the whole world can be conceived as so contrary to anything as body is to spirit.

For here there is a pure and absolute contrariety in all their attributes. Penetrability and impenetrability are more contrary to each other than black and white, or hot and cold. What is black can become white, and what is hot can become cold; but (as they say) what is impenetrable cannot be made penetrable. Indeed, God and creatures do not differ in essence as infinitely from one another as these doctors make body differ from spirit. There are many attributes in which God and creatures agree, but we can find none in which a body can agree in any way with a spirit. Consequently it agrees in none with God either, who is the highest and purest of spirits. So a body in their sense can be no creature, but a mere non-entity or fiction. And just as body and spirit are contrary in the attributes of penetrability and impenetrability, they are no less contrary in discerpibility and indiscerpibility.

But they may claim that body and spirit agree in some attributes, such as extension, mobility, and

1692

Figurability; so that Spirit hath Extension, and can reach from one place to another, and also can move it self from place to place, and form it self into whatsoever Figure it pleaseth, in which cases it agrees with a Body, and a Body with it: To this I Answer: Supposing the first, that a Spirit can be extended (which yet many of them deny, yea most, who teach that Body and Spirit are essentially distinct) yet the Extension of Body and Spirit, as they understand it, do wonderfully differ; for the Extension of Body is always impenetrable; yea, to be extended, and impenetrable, as pertaining to Body, is only one real Attribute proposed in two Mental and Logical Notions, or ways of speaking; for what is Extension, unless the Body (wheresoever it is) be impenetrable of its own proper parts?

But remove this Attribute of Impenetrability from a Body, and it cannot be conceived any longer, as extended. Moreover also, the Extension of Body and Spirit, according to their Notion, infinitely differ; for whatsoever Extension a Body hath, the same is so necessary and essential to it, that it is impossible for it to be more or less extended; when nevertheless a Spirit may be more or less extended, as they affirm; and seeing to be moveable and figurable, are only consequential Attributes of Extension, (for that a Spirit is far otherwise moveable and figurable than a Body, because a Spirit can move and form it self as a Body cannot:) The same Reason which is good against the one is good against the other also.

MODERN

capacity for shape. On this view spirit has extension and can reach from one place to another; it can also move itself from place to place and form itself into whatever shape it pleases; and in these respects it agrees with body, and body with it. To this I answer as follows. Grant the first point, that a spirit can be extended (though many of them deny it, indeed most of those who teach that body and spirit are essentially distinct). Even so, the extension of body and the extension of spirit, as they understand them, differ enormously. For the extension of body is always impenetrable. Indeed, being extended and being impenetrable, as they belong to body, are a single real attribute presented under two mental and logical notions, or ways of speaking. For what is extension, unless the body, wherever it is, is impenetrable by its own parts?

Remove this attribute of impenetrability from a body, and it can no longer be conceived as extended. Moreover, on their view the extension of body and the extension of spirit differ infinitely. Whatever extension a body has is so necessary and essential to it that it cannot possibly be more or less extended, whereas a spirit, as they affirm, can be more or less extended. Being movable and being shapeable are only consequences of extension, and a spirit is movable and shapeable in a very different way from a body, since a spirit can move and shape itself as a body cannot. So the same reasoning that holds against the one holds against the others too.

PENETRABILITY COMES IN DEGREES

Bodies and spirits are both more or less penetrable; only God and Christ are intrinsically present.

1692

7.4 But, Secondly, How can they prove Impenetrability is an Essential Attribute of Body; or that Penetrability is an Essential Attribute of Spirit? Why may not Body be more or less impenetrable, and Spirit more or less penetrable, as it may, and indeed doth happen in all other Attributes? For, *ex. gr.* some Body may be more or less heavy or light, condensed or rarefied, solid or liquid, hot or cold; then why may it not also be more or less penetrable, or impenetrable?

MODERN

But, second, how can they prove that impenetrability is an essential attribute of body, or penetrability an essential attribute of spirit? Why may not body be more or less impenetrable, and spirit more or less penetrable? This can happen, and indeed does happen, with all other attributes. For example, a body can be more or less heavy or light, dense or rarefied, solid or liquid, hot or cold. Then why can it not also be more or less penetrable or impenetrable?

1692

If it be said, that in all those other Mutations we always observe, that a Body remains impenetrable, as Iron when it is heat red-hot, yet remains still impenetrable: I Answer, I grant it may remain impenetrable of any other Body of equal thickness; yet may, and is entirely penetrated of a more subtile Body, *sc.* of the Fire which hath entred into it, and penetrated all its parts, whereby 'tis made so soft; and if the Fire be stronger, begins wholly to melt.

But if, against this, they Object, that the ingress of Fire into the Iron, is not penetration in a Philosophical Sence, nor as they understand it, *viz.* as though the Fire and Iron did possess but one place, and so the one could be intrinsecally present in the other; because it is manifest to the contrary, that Iron (if it be made candent or glowing hot) it swelleth and acquireth a greater Bulk, than when it is cold; and as it waxeth cold again, it returneth to its former dimension.

To this I Answer: If they mean such a Penetration, which we call Intrinseck Presence, *viz.* that one Homogeneal Substance should enter into another, both being of equal Dimensions, and yet the bulk or quantity not increased, that seems wholly irrational: And it would be a mere impossibility and contradiction to grant such an intimate Presence in Creatures, which only agrees unto God and Christ as Creators, whose Prerogative it is to be intrinsecally present in Creatures; whereas no Creature can have that Intrinseck Presence in its Fellow Creature, because then it would cease to be a Creature, and obtain one of the incommunicable Attributes of God and Christ, which is Intrinseck Presence.

This (I say) is primarily to be attributed to God, and secondarily to Christ, in as much as he is *Medium quid*, or a certain *Medium* between God and Creatures, and who as he is Partaker of Mutability and Immutability, of Eternity and Time; so he may be said to be Partaker of Body and Spirit, and consequently of Place and Extension: For, in as much as his Body is of another Substance than the Bodies of all other Creatures, (as of whom he is the nearest Beginning to God,) it may be truly said, he is intrinsecally present in them, and yet not so as to be confounded with them.

MODERN

It may be said that in all these other changes we always observe that a body remains impenetrable, as iron still remains impenetrable when it is heated red-hot. I answer that I grant it may remain impenetrable by any other body of equal density. Yet it can be, and is, entirely penetrated by a subtler body, namely the fire. The fire has entered into it and penetrated all its parts, and this is what makes it so soft; and if the fire is stronger, the iron begins to melt completely.

Against this they may object that the entry of fire into iron is not penetration in the philosophical sense, or as they understand it. It is not as though the fire and the iron occupied one and the same place, so that the one could be intrinsically present in the other. On the contrary, it is plain that when iron is made glowing hot it swells and takes on a greater bulk than when it is cold, and as it cools again it returns to its former size.

To this I answer that they may mean the kind of penetration we call intrinsic presence. On that view one homogeneous substance enters into another, both of equal dimensions, and yet the bulk or quantity does not increase. If that is what they mean, it seems wholly irrational. It would be a sheer impossibility and contradiction to grant such intimate presence to creatures. It belongs only to God and Christ as creators, whose prerogative it is to be intrinsically present in creatures. No creature can have that intrinsic presence in a fellow creature. If it did, it would cease to be a creature and would acquire one of the incommunicable attributes of God and Christ, namely intrinsic presence.

This, I say, is to be attributed primarily to God, and secondarily to Christ, insofar as he is *medium quid*, a certain medium between God and creatures. Just as he partakes of mutability and immutability, of eternity and time, so he may be said to partake of body and spirit, and consequently of place and extension. His body is of another substance than the bodies of all other creatures, since he is the beginning of them nearest to God. So it can truly be said that he is intrinsically present in them, yet not so as to be confounded with them.

1692

For to suppose one Creature intrinsecally present in another, so as to be mingled and most perfectly united with it, and yet its Quantity or Extension not increased, that confounds the Creatures, and maketh two or more to be but one: Yea, according to this Hypothesis, it may be said the whole Creation is reducible into the quantity of the least Grain or Dust, because every part would be supposed to penetrate another, and no greater extension follow than of one Part. But if it be said, that only proves that Spirits may be reduced into so small a space but not Bodies: Because Bodies are Impenetrable.

I Answer, This is but a begging of the question, because they have not yet proved that Body and Spirit are distinct Substances; which, unless they are, it follows that one Nature is not more penetrable than the other, according to their sence. And indeed it seems very consentaneous to Reason, that as Times are each of them so extended into their due Measures and Extensions, that they cannot exceed those Bounds, and so cannot be intrinsecally present one with another; as (ex.

gr.) *the First Day of the Week cannot be present with the Second Day of the same Week; nor the First Hour of the Day with the Second; neither is the First Minute of an Hour present with the Second Minute thereof; because such is the Nature and Essence of Time, that it is successive, and hath* partes extra partes, or parts, one without another.

When nevertheless God is really and intrinsecally present in all Times, and is not changed, which cannot be said of the Creature, *sc.* that that is present in all or more Times, and not changed; for the Creature is perpetually changed with Times, seeing Times are nothing else but the Motion or Change of the Creature from one State or Condition into another.

And as it is in the case of Time, and Creatures which are in Time, so also in the case of Place, Bulk, or Quantity; for as in God there is no Time, so also in him there is no Bulk or Corporeal Quantity; but in Creatures there is both Time and Corporeal Quantity; because otherwise they would be either God, or Nothing, which is impossible. For whatsoever Quantity, Bulk, or Extension any Creature hath, it retains the same, as something which is of its own Essence; as it is

MODERN

For suppose one creature is intrinsically present in another, so as to be mingled and most perfectly united with it, and yet its quantity or extension does not increase. That confounds creatures and makes two or more into one. Indeed, on this hypothesis it could be said that the whole creation could be reduced to the size of the smallest grain of dust. Every part would be supposed to penetrate every other, and no greater extension would result than that of one part. But it may be said that this proves only that spirits could be reduced into so small a space, and not bodies, because bodies are impenetrable.

I answer that this is merely begging the question. They have not yet proved that body and spirit are distinct substances, and unless they are, it follows that neither nature is more penetrable than the other in their sense. And indeed what follows seems very consistent with reason. Each time is so extended into its proper measure and extension that it cannot exceed those bounds, and so different times cannot be intrinsically present to one another. For

example, the first day of the week cannot be present with the second day of the same week, nor the first hour of the day with the second, nor the first minute of an hour with the second minute. The reason is that the nature and essence of time is to be successive, and to have *partes extra partes*, parts outside one another.

Yet God is really and intrinsically present in all times and is not changed. This cannot be said of the creature, namely that it is present in all times, or in several, and is not changed. For the creature is perpetually changed with the times, since times are nothing but the motion or change of the creature from one state or condition into another.

And as it is with time, and with creatures that are in time, so it is also with place, bulk, or quantity. As there is no time in God, so there is no bulk or corporeal quantity in him either. But in creatures there is both time and corporeal quantity, since otherwise they would be either God or nothing, which is impossible. For whatever quantity, bulk, or extension a creature has, it retains as something belonging to its own essence. In the same way it is the essence of time to consist of several

1692

the Essence of Time to consist of more parts, and those again of more, and so *ad infinitum:* For it may be easily conceived how a less Time is in a greater, ex.

gr. how so many Minutes are in an Hour, and so many Hours in a Day; and one Hour doth immediately touch the next, but cannot be present in it, the same is to be understood of the Creatures, in regard of their Quantity or Bulk; for indeed one Creature may immediately touch another, but cannot be present in all its parts, but only a less may be in a greater, and a subtiler in a grosser; and this is more properly Penetration which agrees to Bodies as well as Spirits; as some Body, that is less gross may penetrate another that is more gross; but two Bodies of an equal thickness cannot penetrate each other:

The same may be said of Spirits which have their degrees of more or less grossness, as Bodies have: Neither is there any other difference between Body and Spirit, (if Body be not taken in their sence, who teach that it is a Thing merely Dead, and void of Life, or a Capacity thereof; but in a proper sence: *sc.* that it is an excellent Creature having Life and Sense, which either actually or potentially agrees to it) but this that a Body is the grosser part of a thing, and Spirit the subtiler, whence also Spirit hath it's name from the Air, which is the most subtile Nature in this visible World.

In *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 2. Tract. ult. p. 6. §. 13. Spirit is rather defined, a central Nature, having a Faculty to send forth a Sphere full of Light and to inlarge or contract the same, which properly seems to be *Aristotle*'s ἐντελέχεια, and *ibid.* p. 28. §. 4.

Matter is defined: A naked Centre, or a Point wanting Eradiation, which *Aristotle* understood by Privation: Whence we must conclude, that the Impenetrability of these Creatures is to be understood of their Centres: For the *Hebrew* Word, רוח, which signifies a Spirit, signifies also Air; and because Air hath a very swift Motion, all swiftness of Motion is imputed to the Spirit in the moved Body: Hence out of Popular Ignorance, when in certain Bodies they perceived no Motion, they termed them Dead, wanting both Life and Spirit: But indeed there is no where any such

MODERN

parts, and these again of several more, and so on *ad infinitum*. It is easy to conceive how a shorter time is in a longer one. For

example, so many minutes are in an hour, and so many hours in a day; and one hour directly touches the next but cannot be present in it. The same is to be understood of creatures with regard to their quantity or bulk. One creature can indeed directly touch another, but cannot be present in all its parts. Only a smaller can be in a larger, and a subtler in a denser. And this is more properly penetration, and it belongs to bodies as well as to spirits. A body that is less dense can penetrate another that is denser, but two bodies of equal density cannot penetrate each other.

The same can be said of spirits, which have their degrees of greater or lesser density, as bodies do. Nor is there any other difference between body and spirit than this: body is the denser part of a thing, and spirit the subtler. (Here body is not taken in the sense of those who teach that it is something merely dead, void of life or of any capacity for it. It is taken in its proper sense, as an excellent creature that has life and sense, which belong to it either actually or potentially.) This is also why spirit takes its name from the air, which is the subtlest nature in this visible world.

In *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 2, last treatise, p. 6, § 13, spirit is rather defined as a central nature that has the faculty of sending out a sphere full of light and of enlarging or contracting it. This seems to be, properly, Aristotle's ἐντελέχεια. And in the same place, p. 28, § 4,[2]

matter is defined as a bare center, or a point lacking radiation, which is what Aristotle meant by privation. From this we must conclude that the impenetrability of these creatures is to be understood of their centers. For the Hebrew word רוח, which means spirit, also means air. And because air moves very swiftly, all swiftness of motion in a moved body is ascribed to the spirit in it. Hence, out of popular ignorance, when people perceived no motion in certain bodies, they called them dead, lacking both life and spirit. But in fact there

2 ἐντελέχεια (entelechy) is Aristotle's term for the actuality or active realization of a thing.

1692

Body that hath not Motion, and by consequence Life and Spirit.

Therefore every Creature hath its due Quantity or Extension, which it cannot exceed, and wherein also it cannot be diminished.

Neither doth this hinder, that we observe, how some very small Body may be extended into a Space a Thousand times greater than it had; even as Gun-Powder, if it be set on Fire doth marvellously extend it self; for all this Extension is made by Division of Parts into Parts, still less and less, which indeed do not fill all that Space so great as it seems, when in the mean while each part hath neither greater nor lesser Extension than it had before.

Supposing this, it must be concluded that all Creatural Spirits, which are present in Bodies, are either in the Pores of the said Bodies, or in certain Concavities made there, as Moles make in the Earth; or else they cause the said Bodies to be puffed up, and acquire a greater Extension; as when Fire copiously enters Iron, it notably puffs up and extends the same: And although this Turgescency, or puffing up of Bodies, cannot be always observed by our External Senses; yet it cannot therefore be denied: For 'tis possible, that a certain Body may considerably grow or increase in its dimensions, and become intirely greater, and yet this increase of Magnitude may shun all outward Observation; yea, it may be so subtile that it cannot be expressed by Numbers; ex.

gr. let us suppose some Body, whose Solidity or Cube may contain 64 Parts, and another whose Solidity contains 100, where the root of the former Body whose Cube is 64 is 4; so that the side of that Body contains four Longitudes of the Parts so divided; but the side or root of the other Body, whose Cube is 100, can be expressed by no Number; for it is greater than 4, and less than 5, and no Fraction can determine the same: Therefore Bodies (as was said) may be considerably swoln or puffed up, (if more Spirits or subtiler Bodies enter into them,) and yet so as that our gross Senses may judge them not at all greater.

Now that we may come to the other Attribute, which is said to be of Body but not of Spirit, *viz.*

MODERN

is no body anywhere that lacks motion, and consequently none that lacks life and spirit.[3]

So every creature has its proper quantity or extension. It cannot exceed this, and it cannot be diminished below it.

Nor is this contradicted by what we observe when some very small body is extended into a space a thousand times greater than it had. Gunpowder, for instance, expands astonishingly when it is set on fire. All this extension comes about by the division of parts into parts, smaller and smaller. These parts do not in fact fill all that space as fully as it seems, and meanwhile each part has neither more nor less extension than it had before.

Given this, we must conclude that all created spirits present in bodies are in one of three situations. They are either in the pores of those bodies, or in certain cavities made there, as moles make in the earth. Or else they cause those bodies to swell up and acquire a greater extension, as fire markedly swells and expands iron when it enters it abundantly. This swelling of bodies cannot always be observed by our external senses, but it cannot be denied on that account. For a body may grow considerably in its dimensions and become greater as a whole, and yet this increase in size may escape all outward observation. Indeed, it may be so subtle that it cannot be expressed in numbers. For

example, suppose a body whose solidity, or cube, contains 64 parts, and another whose solidity contains 100. The root of the first body, whose cube is 64, is 4, so that the side of that body contains four lengths of the parts so divided. But the side or root of the other body, whose cube is 100, can be expressed by no number. It is greater than 4 and less than 5, and no fraction can determine it. So, as was said, bodies can be considerably swollen or puffed up if more spirits or subtler bodies enter into them, and yet our coarse senses may judge them to be no larger at all.

Now let us come to the other attribute that is said to belong to body but not to spirit, namely discer-

3 רוח (ruach): "spirit, breath, air."

1692

Discerpibility; if they understand it so; that one only Body, even the least that can be conceived (if any such Body can be conceived) may be divided; that is certainly impossible; for it is a contradiction in terms, and supposes every the least Body to be discerpible into lesser Parts.

But if Body be taken individually only for one single Body, that is indiscerpible; and that which we call the Discerpibility of Body means only this, *sc.* that we may divide one Body from another, by placing some Third Body between them; and according to this sence Spirits are no less discerpible than Bodies; for although one single Spirit cannot become two or more Spirits, yet more Spirits co-existing in one Body, are no less separable one from another than Bodies; for however Bodies or Spirits may be divided or separated one from another in the whole Universe, yet they still remain united in this separation; seeing the whole Creation is still but one Substance or Entity, neither is there a *Vacuum* in it; How then can any thing be separated from it self?

I mean, from that which is its proper Nature, as considered Originally, or in its Beginning, or First Being? But as there is a General Unity of all Creatures one with another, so that none can be separated from his Fellow-Creatures; so there is a more special and particular Unity between the Parts of one particular *Species:* As when the Body is divided, or torn asunder, and the Members removed one from another unto a certain distance, so long as these Members are not corrupted, and changed into another *Species,* they still send certain subtile Particles one to another, and to the Body from whence they came, and the Body sends the like unto them, (which we call Spirits, and Bodies, or Spirits, for they are either,) by means whereof the Parts and Members so apparently separated, still retain a certain real Unity and Sympathy, as is manifest from sundry Examples;

and especially the two following: The First of which is this: A certain Man wanting a Nose, ordered one to be made for him out of the Flesh of another Man, which being vitally agglutinated, (as a Scion or Graft is united with the Trunk of the Tree into which it is put;) when the other Man died, and his Body corrupted, this Nose was

MODERN

pibility. They may understand it to mean that a single body, even the smallest that can be conceived (if any such body can be conceived), can be divided. That is certainly impossible, for it is a contradiction in terms: it supposes that even the smallest body is discerpible into smaller parts.

But if body is taken individually, for one single body only, it is indiscerpible. What we call the discerpibility of body means only this: we can divide one body from another by placing some third body between them. In this sense spirits are no less discerpible than bodies. One single spirit cannot become two or more spirits, but several spirits coexisting in one body are no less separable from one another than bodies are. Yet however bodies or spirits may be divided or separated from one another in the whole universe, they still remain united in this separation. The whole creation is still only one substance or entity, and there is no vacuum in it. How then can anything be separated from itself?

I mean, how can it be separated from what is its proper nature, considered originally, that is, in its beginning or first being? And just as there is a general unity of all creatures with one another, so that none can be separated from its fellow creatures, so there is a more special and particular unity between the parts of one particular species. Suppose a body is divided or torn apart, and its members are moved a certain distance from one another. As long as these members have not decayed and changed into another species, they still send certain subtle particles to one another and to the body they came from, and the body sends the like to them. (We call them spirits, or bodies, for they are either.) By means of these particles the parts and members, though apparently separated, still keep a certain real unity and sympathy. This is clear from various examples,

and especially from the following two. The first is this. A man who lacked a nose had one made for him out of another man's flesh, and it was vitally joined on, as a scion or graft is united with the trunk of the tree it is set into. When the other man died and his body decayed, this nose decayed too and fell off the body of the living man.

likewise corrupted, and fell from the Body of this living Man.

The Second Example is of a Man whose Leg was cut off; which Leg being removed some considerable distance from the rest of the Body, when a certain Chirurgeon cut it, this Man complained of Pains, and showed in what part the said Leg was wounded, which manifestly proves that there is a certain Union of Parts, though separated at a great distance one from another: And so also Individuals of one *Species*, or such who have a singular Affinity in *Specie*, have a Union one with another, although locally distant, which is yet more manifest in Humane Kind: For if two Men intirely love one another, they are by this love so united, that no distance of place can divide or separate them; for they are present (one with another) in Spirit;

so that there passeth a continual Efflux, or Emanation of Spirits, from the one to the other, whereby they are bound together, and united as with Chains: And so whatsoever a Man loves, whether it be Man or Beast, whether a Tree, or whether Silver or Gold, he is united with the same, and his Spirit passeth into that very Thing; and here is to be observed, that though the Spirit of Man is commonly spoken in the Singular, as though it were but one Thing; yet the said Spirit is a certain composition of more, yea innumerable Spirits; as the Body is a composition of more Bodies, and hath a certain Order and Government in all its Parts, much more the Spirit which is a great Army of Spirits, wherein there are distinct Offices under one governing Spirit.

And so from hence it appears that Impenetrability and Indiscerpibility, are not more Essential Attributes of Body, than of Spirit; because in one sence they agree unto either, in another sence unto neither.

But against this Infiniteness of Spirits in every Spirit, and Infiniteness of Bodies in every Body, may be objected that Saying: God made all Things in Number, Weight, and Measure; wherefore there cannot be an infinite multitude of Spirits in one Man, nor an innumerable multitude of Bodies in one Body? But I Answer that the infiniteness or innumerability of Spirits, and Bodies is only to be understood in respect of the Creatures understanding; so that they cannot be numbred, nor the outward Extension of Body and Spirit

The second example is of a man whose leg had been cut off. The leg had been taken some considerable distance from the rest of the body, and when a surgeon cut into it, the man complained of pain and showed in what part the leg was wounded. This clearly proves that there is a certain union of parts even when they are separated by a great distance. So also individuals of one species, or those with a special affinity in species, have a union with one another even when they are distant in place. This is still more evident in humankind. If two people love each other wholly, this love unites them so closely that no distance of place can divide or separate them, for they are present to one another in spirit,

so that a continual efflux, or emanation, of spirits passes from the one to the other, by which they are bound together and united as if with chains. So whatever a person loves, whether a human being or an animal, a tree, or silver or gold, he is united with it, and his spirit passes into that very thing. And it should be noted here that the spirit of a human being is usually spoken of in the singular, as though it were one thing. Yet that spirit is in fact a composite of many, indeed innumerable, spirits. The body is a composite of many bodies and has a certain order and government in all its parts. Much more so the spirit, which is a great army of spirits, with distinct offices under one governing spirit.

So it appears from this that impenetrability and indiscerpibility are no more essential attributes of body than of spirit. In one sense they belong to both, and in another sense to neither.

But against this infinity of spirits in every spirit, and infinity of bodies in every body, someone may cite the saying: God made all things in number, weight, and measure. So, the objection runs, there cannot be an infinite multitude of spirits in one human being, nor an innumerable multitude of bodies in one body. I answer that the infinity or innumerability of spirits and bodies is to be understood only with respect to the understanding of creatures. They cannot be counted by any

1692

(that may happen in them) be measured by the knowledge of any Creature. But that God hath perfectly known the Number and Measure of all Creatures is freely granted.

And if God made all Things in Number, Weight, and Measure; then certainly every Creature will have its Number, Weight, and Measure; and by consequence we cannot say of any Creature, that it is but one single Thing, because it is a Number, and Number is a multitude, or more than one; and indeed the Nature of a Creature is such, that the same cannot be merely one single Thing, in case it ought to act or do something, and so enjoy that Goodness which is prepared for it by its Creator: For *(ex. gr.)* let us suppose but one Atom to be separated from its Fellow-Creatures, What can that do to perfect it self, or make it self greater or better?

What can it see, hear, taste, or feel, either from within or without? It cannot have internal Motion; because every Motion hath at least two Terms or Extreams, *viz. Terminus à quo*, and *Terminus ad quem;* or, *the Term from which*, and *the Term to which:* And seeing this is but one Atom or Centre, certainly it cannot have any Motion within it self, *è Termino à quo, & ad quem;* and consequently, seeing it cannot hear, see, taste, or feel, *ab intra*, or, *from within*, it cannot have it from other Creatures, *ab extra*, or, *from without;* for if it ought to see, hear, feel, or taste any other Creature, it is required to receive the Image of this Creature within it self, which it cannot do, because it is an Atom, and an Atom is so small that it can receive nothing within it:

For as the Organs of the external Senses are composed of more parts; so also are the Organs of the internal, and consequently all Knowledge requires variety or multitude, which is the Subject or Receptacle of it: I mean all Creatural Knowledge, where Knowledge is received or excited from known Things or Objects, (whereas the Knowledge of God is not received or excited by Creatures, but is originally in and from himself.)

Seeing, therefore, the Objects of our Knowledge are various, and every Object sends its Image into us, and that Image is a real Being, it follows we

MODERN

creature, and no creature's knowledge can measure the outward extension that body and spirit may take on. But I freely grant that God has perfectly known the number and measure of all creatures.[4]

And if God made all things in number, weight, and measure, then surely every creature will have its number, weight, and measure. Consequently we cannot say of any creature that it is only one single thing, because it is a number, and a number is a multitude, or more than one. And indeed the nature of a creature is such that it cannot be merely one single thing, if it is to act or do anything, and so enjoy the good its Creator has prepared for it. For example, suppose a single atom separated from its fellow creatures. What could it do to perfect itself, or to make itself greater or better?

What could it see, hear, taste, or feel, either from within or from without? It cannot have internal motion, because every motion has at least two terms or extremes, namely *terminus à quo* and *terminus ad quem*, the term from which and the term to which. Since this is only one atom or center, it surely cannot have any motion within itself *è termino à quo et ad quem*. Consequently it cannot hear, see, taste, or feel *ab intra*, from within, and so it cannot get this from other creatures *ab extra*, from without either. For to see, hear, feel, or taste any other creature, it must receive the image of that creature within itself. This it cannot do, because it is an atom, and an atom is so small that it can receive nothing within it.

For as the organs of the external senses are composed of many parts, so are the organs of the internal senses. Consequently all knowledge requires variety or multitude as its subject or receptacle. I mean all created knowledge, in which knowledge is received or stirred up from known things or objects. (God's knowledge, by contrast, is not received or stirred up by creatures, but is originally in and from himself.)

The objects of our knowledge, then, are various, and every object sends its image into us, and that image is a real being. It follows that we have many

4 The saying is from Wisdom of Solomon 11:20.

have many Images in us, which cannot be all received in an Atom, but have need of their distinct Places in us, in their distinct Forms and Figures; otherwise there would not only follow a confusion, but many Things would be present one with another without any Extension, which is repugnant to the Nature of a Creature.

And although the Objects of our Knowledge are many; as for Example, I am manifold, who receive so many Images from those Objects; yet from thence it doth not follow, because I who know something am manifold, that therefore I ought to behold one Object as if it was many, so that seeing one Man I should see many; for when many Men see one Man they do not behold him as many Men, but as one Man only: So when I look up and behold something with both my Eyes (unless peradventure there be any confusion in my sight) they do not seem to me as two, but one; and if I could behold something with ten thousand Eyes, as I do with two, certainly that Thing, whether an Horse or a Man, would not seem otherwise to me than one alone.

Hence appears to us a great distinction between God and Creatures; for he is One, and this is his Perfection, that he hath need of nothing without him: But a Creature, because it needs the assistance of its Fellow-Creatures, ought to be manifold, that it may receive this assistance; for that which receives something is nourished by the same, and so becomes a part of it, and therefore it is no more one but many, and so many indeed as there are Things received, and yet of a greater multiplicity; therefore there is a certain Society or Fellowship among Creatures in giving and receiving, whereby they mutually subsist one by another, so that one cannot live without another; for what Creature in the whole World can be found that hath no need of its Fellow-Creature?

Certainly none; therefore by consequence every Creature which hath Life, Sense, or Motion, ought to be a Number, or a Multiplicity; yea, a Number without Number, or Infinite in respect of any created Intellect. But if it be said, ought not the Central or governing Spirit to be but one only Atom; for otherwise how can it be called a Centre, and the chief Spirit, having Dominion over the rest?

images in us. These cannot all be received in an atom, but need their distinct places in us, in their distinct forms and shapes. Otherwise confusion would follow. Worse, many things would be present with one another without any extension, which is contrary to the nature of a creature.

The objects of our knowledge are many, and so I, for example, who receive so many images from those objects, am manifold. Yet it does not follow that because I who know something am manifold, I must see one object as if it were many, so that in seeing one man I would see many. When many people look at one man, they do not see him as many men but as one man only. So when I look up and see something with both my eyes (unless perhaps there is some disorder in my sight), it does not seem to me to be two things but one. And if I could see something with ten thousand eyes as I do with two, that thing, whether a horse or a man, would surely seem to me to be only one.

This shows us a great distinction between God and creatures. He is one, and this is his perfection, that he needs nothing outside himself. But a creature needs the help of its fellow creatures, and so it must be manifold in order to receive this help. For what receives something is nourished by it, and the thing received becomes part of it. So the creature is no longer one but many: as many as the things it has received, and indeed of a still greater multiplicity. So there is a certain society or fellowship among creatures in giving and receiving, by which they subsist through one another, so that one cannot live without another. For what creature in the whole world can be found that has no need of its fellow creature?

Certainly none. Consequently every creature that has life, sense, or motion must be a number or a multiplicity. Indeed, it must be a number without number, or infinite with respect to any created intellect. But it may be asked whether the central or governing spirit must not be only one single atom. Otherwise how can it be called a center, and the chief spirit, ruling over the rest?

1692

I Answer in the Negative: For this Centre it self, or chief, and governing Spirit, is manifold, for the Reasons before alledged; but it is called a Centre, because all the other Spirits concur to it, as Lines from all parts of the Circumference do meet at the Centre, and do again depart out or proceed therefrom; and indeed the unity of the Spirits that compose or make up this Centre, or governing Spirit, is more firm and tenacious, than that of all the other Spirits; which are, as it were, the Angels or Ministring Spirits of their Prince or Captain; yea, in Man this Unity is so great, that nothing can dissolve it, (although the Unity of the greatest Plenty of Ministring Spirits, which belong not to the composition of this Centre) may be dissolved:

Hence it comes to pass that the Soul of every Man shall remain an entire everlasting Soul, or be of endless duration, that it may receive the proper Fruit of its labour, and that the Universal Law of Justice (which is written on every Thing) doth require, which is as a most strong and indissolvable Band to preserve this Unity: For what is more congruous with this Infinite Justice and Wisdom than this, That they who have joined together, and consented to work either Good or Evil, shall together receive their due Reward and Punishment, which cannot be if they should be dissipated or separated one from another; and the same reason doth prove, that the Central Spirits of all other Creatures remain indissolvable; and that although new Central Spirits are continually form'd in the Production of Things; yet no Central Spirit is dissolved, but farther promoted, or at least diminished, according to the present dignity or indignity, capacity or incapacity thereof.

MODERN

I answer no. This center itself, the chief and governing spirit, is manifold, for the reasons given above. But it is called a center because all the other spirits converge on it, as lines from all parts of the circumference meet at the center and go out from it again. And indeed the unity of the spirits that make up this center, or governing spirit, is firmer and more tenacious than that of all the other spirits. These others are, as it were, the angels or ministering spirits of their prince or captain. In a human being this central unity is so great that nothing can dissolve it, although the unity of the great mass of ministering spirits, which do not belong to the composition of this center, can be dissolved.

Hence the soul of every human being will remain a whole, everlasting soul, of endless duration, so that it may receive the proper fruit of its labor. The universal law of justice, which is written on everything, requires this, and it acts as a very strong and indissoluble bond that preserves this unity. For what is more fitting to this infinite justice and wisdom than this? Those who have joined together and agreed to work either good or evil should together receive their due reward or punishment. This could not happen if they were dispersed or separated from one another. The same reasoning proves that the central spirits of all other creatures also remain indissoluble. New central spirits are continually formed in the production of things, yet no central spirit is dissolved. Instead, each is advanced further, or at least diminished, according to its present worth or unworthiness, capacity or incapacity.

Chapter VIII

Further proofs, and the union of soul and body
How the soul moves the body and feels its pains; food turned into spirits; good and bad angels; Scripture.

1692

8.1 To prove that Spirit and Body differ not essentially, but gradually, I shall deduce my Fourth Argument from the intimate Band or Union, which intercedes between Bodies and Spirits, by means whereof the Spirits have Dominion over the Bodies with which they are united, that they move them from one place to another, and use them as Instruments in their various Operations. For if Spirit and Body are so contrary one to another, so that a Spirit is only Life, or a living and sensible Substance, but a Body a certain Mass merely dead; a Spirit penetrable and indiscerpible, but a Body impenetrable and discerpible, which are all contrary Attributes: What (I pray you) is that which doth so join or unite them together?

Or, what are those Links or Chains, whereby they have so firm a connexion, and that for so long a space of Time? Moreover also, when the Spirit or Soul is separated from the Body, so that it hath no longer Dominion or Power over it to move it as it had before, What is the cause of this separation? If it be said, that the vital agreement, the Soul hath to the Body, is the cause of the said Union, and that the Body being corrupted that vital Agreement ceaseth.

I Answer, We must first enquire, in what this vital Agreement doth consist; for if they cannot tell us wherein it doth consist, they only trifle with empty Words, which give a sound but want a signification: For certainly in that sence which they take Body and Spirit in, there is no Agreement at all between them; for a Body is always a dead Thing, void of Life and sense, no less when the Spirit is in it, than when it is gone out of it: Hence there is no Agreement at all between them; and if there is any Agreement, that certainly will remain the same, both when the Body is sound, and when it is corrupted.

MODERN

To prove that spirit and body do not differ in essence but in degree, I shall draw my fourth argument from the intimate bond or union that exists between bodies and spirits. By means of this union, spirits rule over the bodies they are united with; they move them from place to place and use them as instruments in their various operations. Now suppose spirit and body are so contrary to each other that a spirit is only life, or a living and sensing substance, and a body a mass that is merely dead; and suppose a spirit is penetrable and indiscerpible, and a body impenetrable and discerpible, which are all contrary attributes. What, I ask, is it that joins or unites them together?

Or what are the links or chains by which they are so firmly connected, and for so long a time? Moreover, when the spirit or soul is separated from the body, so that it no longer has control or power over it to move it as before, what is the cause of this separation? It may be said that the vital agreement the soul has with the body is the cause of their union, and that when the body decays this vital agreement ceases.

I answer that we must first ask what this vital agreement consists in. If they cannot tell us, they are merely trifling with empty words, which make a sound but lack meaning. And certainly, in the sense in which they take body and spirit, there is no agreement at all between them. For a body is always a dead thing, void of life and sense, no less when the spirit is in it than when it has left it. So there is no agreement at all between them. And if there is any agreement, it will surely remain the same both when the body is sound and when it is decayed.

1692

If they deny this, because a Spirit requires an organized Body, by means whereof it performs its vital Acts of the external Senses; moves and transports the Body from place to place; which Organical Action ceases when the Body is corrupted. Certainly by this the difficulty is never the better solved. For why doth the Spirit require such an organized Body? *ex. gr.* Why doth it require a Corporeal Eye so wonderfully formed and organized, that I can see by it? Why doth it need a Corporeal Light, to see Corporeal Objects? Or, why is it requisite, that the Image of the Object should be sent to it, through the Eye, that it may see it?

If the same were entirely nothing but a Spirit, and no way Corporeal, Why doth it need so many several Corporeal Organs, so far different from the Nature of it? Furthermore, how can a Spirit move its Body, or any of its Members, if a Spirit (as they affirm) is of such a Nature, that no part of its Body can in the least resist it, even as one Body is wont to resist another, when 'tis moved by it, by reason of its Impenetrability? For if a Spirit could so easily penetrate all Bodies, Wherefore doth it not leave the Body behind it, when it is moved from place to place, seeing it can so easily pass out without the least resistance?

For certainly this is the cause of all Motions which we see in the World, where one Thing moves another, *viz.* because both are impenetrable in the sence aforesaid: For were it not for this Impenetrability one Creature could not move another, because this would not oppose that, nor at all resist it; an Example whereof we have in the Sails of a Ship, by which the Wind drives the Ship, and that so much the more vehemently, by how much the fewer holes, vents, and passages, the same finds in the Sails against which it drives: When on the contrary, if instead of Sails Nets were expanded, through which the Wind would have a freer passage; certainly by these the Ship would be but little moved, although it blew with great violence: Hence we see how this Impenetrability causes resistance, and this makes Motion.

But if there were no Impenetrability, as in the case of Body and Spirit, then there could be no resistance, and by consequence the Spirit could make no motion in the Body.

MODERN

They may deny this on the ground that a spirit requires an organized body. By means of it the spirit performs the vital acts of the external senses and moves and carries the body from place to place, and this organic action ceases when the body decays. This certainly does nothing to resolve the difficulty. For why does the spirit require such an organized body? For example, why does it require a bodily eye, so wonderfully formed and organized, for me to see with? Why does it need corporeal light in order to see corporeal objects? Or why must the image of the object be sent to it through the eye in order for it to see it?

If the spirit were entirely nothing but spirit, and in no way corporeal, why would it need so many different corporeal organs, so far removed from its nature? Furthermore, how can a spirit move its body, or any of its members, if a spirit (as they claim) is of such a nature that no part of its body can resist it in the least? One body usually resists another that moves it, because of its impenetrability, but on their view the body offers the spirit no such resistance. For if a spirit could penetrate all bodies so easily, why does it not leave the body behind when it moves from place to place, since it can pass out of it so easily without the least resistance?

For surely this is the cause of all the motions we see in the world where one thing moves another: both are impenetrable in the sense described. Without this impenetrability one creature could not move another, because the one would not oppose the other or resist it at all. We have an example of this in the sails of a ship, by which the wind drives the ship. It drives it all the more forcefully, the fewer holes, vents, and openings it finds in the sails it blows against. If, on the contrary, nets were spread instead of sails, the wind would pass through them more freely, and the ship would surely be moved very little by them, however violently the wind blew. From this we see how impenetrability causes resistance, and resistance produces motion.

But if there were no impenetrability, as in the case of body and spirit on their view, there could be no resistance. Consequently the spirit could produce no motion in the body.

HOW GOD MOVES BODIES

God gives motion itself, while the soul only directs it, and sin is disordered motion.

1692

8.2 And if it be objected, That God is altogether incorporeal and intrinsecally present in all Bodies, and yet doth move Bodies whethersoever he pleaseth, and is the First Mover of all Things; and yet nothing is impenetrable to him: I Answer, This Motion by which God moves a Body, doth wonderfully differ from that manner by which the Soul moves the Body; for the Will of God which gave Being to Bodies, gave them Motion also, so that Motion it self is of God, by whose Will all Motion happens: For as a Creature cannot give Being to it self, so neither can it move it self; for in him we Live, Move, and have our Being; so that Motion and Essence come from the same cause, *sc.* God the Creator, who remains immoveable in himself; neither is he carried from place to place, because he is equally present every where, and gives Being to Creatures:

But the case is far different, when the Soul moves the Body; for the Soul is not the Author of Motion, but only determines it to this or that particular Thing: And the Soul it self is moved, together with the Body, from place to place; and if the Body be imprisoned, or held in Chains, it cannot free or deliver it self out of Prison or out of Chains: Wherefore it would be a very unfit comparison, if one should go about to illustrate that Motion the Soul makes in the Body, by an Example of God moving his Creatures; yea, so great is the difference, as if a Man should go to demonstrate how a Carpenter builds a Ship, or an House, by an Example of God creating the first Matter or Substance, wherein certainly there is as great a disparity or disproportion; for God gave Being to Creatures, but a Carpenter doth not give Being to the Wood whereof he builds a Ship.

But no Man can think, because I have said, All Motion of Creatures is of God, that therefore he is, or can be the Author, or Cause of Sin: For although the moving Power be of God, yet Sin is not in the least of God, but of the Creature, who hath abused this Power, and determined to some other end than it ought: So that Sin is ἀταξία, or an inordinate determination of Motion, or the power

MODERN

It may be objected that God is wholly incorporeal and intrinsically present in all bodies, and yet moves bodies wherever he pleases and is the first mover of all things, though nothing is impenetrable to him. I answer that the motion by which God moves a body differs enormously from the way the soul moves the body. The will of God, which gave being to bodies, also gave them motion, so that motion itself is from God, and all motion happens by his will. For just as a creature cannot give being to itself, neither can it move itself; "for in him we live, and move, and have our being." So motion and essence come from the same cause, namely God the Creator, who remains unmoved in himself. Nor is he carried from place to place, since he is equally present everywhere and gives being to creatures.[1]

But the case is very different when the soul moves the body. The soul is not the author of motion, but only determines it toward this or that particular thing. And the soul itself is moved from place to place along with the body. If the body is imprisoned or held in chains, the soul cannot free or release itself from the prison or the chains. So it would be a very unfit comparison to illustrate the motion the soul produces in the body by the example of God moving his creatures. Indeed, the difference is as great as if someone set out to show how a carpenter builds a ship or a house by the example of God creating the first matter or substance. There is certainly just as great a disparity or disproportion there. God gave being to creatures, but a carpenter does not give being to the wood from which he builds a ship.

But no one should conclude, from my saying that all the motion of creatures is from God, that he is or can be the author or cause of sin. The power of moving is from God, but sin is not in the least from God. It is from the creature, which has abused this power and determined it to some other end than it should. So sin is ἀταξία: a disordered

1 Acts 17:28.

1692

of moving from its due place, state, or condition unto some other, as, v.

g. a Ship is moved by the Wind, but governed by the Mariner, that it goes to this or that place; where the Mariner is not the Author or Cause of the Wind; but the Wind blowing, he makes either a good or a bad use of the same, whereby he either brings the Ship to the place intended, and so is commended; or else so manages her that she suffers Shipwrack, for which he is blamed, and worthy of Punishment.

Moreover, Why is the Spirit or Soul so passible in corporal Pains? For if when it is united with the Body, it hath nothing of Corporeity, or a bodily Nature, Why is it grieved or wounded when the Body is wounded, which is quite of a different Nature? For seeing the Soul can so easily penetrate the Body, How can any Corporeal Thing hurt it?

If it be said, the Body only feels the pain, but not the Soul; this is contrary to their own Principles, because they affirm, that the Body hath neither Life nor Sense: But if it be granted, that the Soul is of one Nature and Substance with the Body, although it is many degrees more excellent in regard of Life and Spirituality, as also in swiftness of Motion, and Penetrability, and divers other Perfections; then all the aforesaid difficulties will vanish, and it will be easily conceived, how the Body and Soul are united together, and how the Soul moves the Body, and suffers by it or with it. What the Opinion of the *Hebrews* is appears from a place in *Kabbal. denud.* Tom. 1.

Part. 3. Dissert. 8. Cap. 13. p. 171. *seq.*

8.3 For we may easily understand how one Body is united with another, by that true agreement that one hath with another in its own Nature; and so the most subtile and Spiritual Body may be united with a Body that is very gross and thick, *sc.* by means of certain Bodies, partaking of subtilty and grossness, according to divers degrees, consisting between two Extreams, and these middle Bodies are indeed the Links and Chains, by which the Soul, which is so subtile and Spiritual, is

MODERN

determination of motion, or of the power of moving, away from its proper place, state, or condition to some other. For[2]

example, a ship is moved by the wind but steered by the sailor, so that it goes to this or that place. The sailor is not the author or cause of the wind; but when the wind blows, he makes either good or bad use of it. Either he brings the ship to the intended place and is praised for it, or he handles her so badly that she is shipwrecked, for which he is blamed and deserves punishment.

Moreover, why is the spirit or soul so susceptible to bodily pains? If it has nothing of corporeality, or bodily nature, when it is united with the body, why is it grieved or wounded when the body is wounded, which is of an entirely different nature? For since the soul can penetrate the body so easily, how can anything corporeal hurt it?

If it is said that only the body feels the pain, and not the soul, this is contrary to their own principles, since they maintain that the body has neither life nor sense. Suppose instead it is granted that the soul is of one nature and substance with the body, although it is many degrees more excellent in life and spirituality, as well as in swiftness of motion, penetrability, and various other perfections. Then all the difficulties mentioned vanish. It will be easy to conceive how body and soul are united, how the soul moves the body, and how it suffers by it or with it. The opinion of the Hebrews appears from a passage in *Kabbala denudata*, vol. 1,

part 3, dissertation 8, chap. 13, p. 171 and following.

For we can easily understand how one body is united with another, through the true agreement that one has with another in its own nature. In this way the subtlest and most spiritual body can be united with a body that is very dense and thick. It happens by means of certain bodies that share in subtlety and density in various degrees and lie between the two extremes. These middle bodies are indeed the links and chains by which the soul, which is so subtle and spiritual, is joined to so

2 ἀταξία (ataxia): disorder, lack of order.

conjoined with a Body so gross; which middle Spirits (if they cease, or are absent) the Union is broken or dissolved; so from the same Foundation we may easily understand, how the Soul moves the Body, *viz.* as one subtile Body can move another gross and thick Body:

And seeing Body it self is a sensible Life, or an intellectual Substance, it is no less clearly conspicuous, how one Body can wound, or grieve, or gratifie, or please another; because Things of one, or alike Nature, can easily affect each other: And to this Argument may be reduced the like difficulties, *viz.* how Spirits move Spirits; and how some Spirits strive and contend with other Spirits; also concerning the Unity, Concord, and Friendship, which good Spirits reverence among themselves; for if all Spirits could be intrinsecally present one with another, How could they dispute or contend about place?

And how can one expel or drive out another? and yet that there is such an expulsion and conflict of Spirits, and especially of the Good against the Evil, some few who have been acquainted with their own Hearts have experimentally known. If it be said, the Spirit of God and Christ are intrinsecally present in all Things, contends with, and makes War against the Devil, and his Spirit, in the Heart of Man. I Answer, That this is also a very unfit similitude, *(viz.)* when God and Creatures are compared in their Operations: For his Ways are infinitely Superiour to ours; yet nevertheless in this case also here remains a strong Objection.

For the Spirits of God and Christ, when they strive against the Devil, and the Evil Spirits in the Heart of Man, do unite themselves with certain good Spirits, whom they have sanctified and prepared for this Union; and by these, as a Vehicle, or Triumphant Chariot, they contend against and encounter those Malignant and Wicked Spirits: And in as much as these Evil Spirits contend against those Good Spirits in the Heart of Man, they contend against God and Christ;

and these Good Spirits are the Spirits of this faithful and pious Man, who is become Good, when as before he was Evil: For God and Christ do help every pious Man to prevail over the Evil Spirits in this Conflict, but suffers the Wicked and Unfaithful to be captivated and overcome; for God helps none but those that fear, love, and obey

dense a body. If these middle spirits cease or are absent, the union is broken or dissolved. On the same foundation we can easily understand how the soul moves the body: namely, as one subtle body can move another body that is dense and thick.

And since body itself is a sensible life, or an intellectual substance, it is no less clearly evident how one body can wound, grieve, gratify, or please another. Things of one nature, or of a like nature, can easily affect each other. Similar difficulties can be settled by the same argument: namely, how spirits move spirits, and how some spirits strive and contend with other spirits; and also the unity, concord, and friendship that good spirits honor among themselves. For if all spirits could be intrinsically present with one another, how could they dispute or contend about place?

And how could one expel or drive out another? Yet some few who have been acquainted with their own hearts have known from experience that there is such an expulsion and conflict of spirits, especially of the good against the evil. Someone may say that the Spirit of God and of Christ is intrinsically present in all things, and yet contends and makes war against the devil and his spirit in the human heart. I answer that this too is a very unfit comparison, since here God and creatures are compared in their operations, and his ways are infinitely superior to ours. Nevertheless, even in this case a strong objection still remains.

For when the Spirits of God and Christ strive against the devil and the evil spirits in the human heart, they unite themselves with certain good spirits, which they have sanctified and prepared for this union. By these, as by a vehicle or a triumphal chariot, they contend against and engage those malignant and wicked spirits. And insofar as these evil spirits contend against those good spirits in the human heart, they contend against God and Christ;

and these good spirits are the spirits of this faithful and pious person, who has become good although before he was evil. For God and Christ help every pious person to prevail over the evil spirits in this conflict, but allow the wicked and unfaithful to be taken captive and overcome. God helps

1692

him, and trust in his Power, Goodness, and Truth; for with such he is united, and the good Spirits of such Men are as so many Swords and Darts, whereby those dark and unclean Spirits are wounded and repulsed.

But if it be demanded how the Soul of Man can be united with God, though it were in a State of the highest Purity; because he is a mere Spirit; but the Soul even in its greatest Purity always partakes of Corporeity? I Answer, It is done by Jesus Christ, who is the true and proper *Medium* between both; for Christ and the Soul may be united without a *Medium*, by reason of that great Affinity and Similitude between them, which those Doctors cannot demonstrate between Spirit and Body, who say they are of a Nature so contrary one to another.

MODERN

none but those who fear, love, and obey him, and trust in his power, goodness, and truth. With such people he is united, and their good spirits are like so many swords and darts, by which those dark and unclean spirits are wounded and driven back.

But suppose it is asked how the human soul can be united with God, even in a state of the highest purity, since he is pure spirit, while the soul, even in its greatest purity, always shares in corporeality. I answer: this is done by Jesus Christ, who is the true and proper *medium* between the two. For Christ and the soul can be united without a *medium*, because of the great affinity and likeness between them. Those doctors who say that spirit and body are of natures so contrary to each other cannot demonstrate any such affinity between spirit and body.

FIFTH REASON: SPIRITS IN EVERY BODY

Earth and water are full of spirits, so body is condensed spirit and spirit subtle body.

1692

8.4 I shall draw a Fifth Argument from what we observe in all visible Bodies, as in Earth, Water, Stones, Wood, *&c.* What abundance of Spirits is in all these things? For Earth and Water continually produce Animals, as they hath done from the beginning; so that a Pool fill'd with Water may produce Fishes, though none were ever put there to increase or breed; and seeing that all other Things do more originally proceed from Earth and Water, it necessarily follows, that the Spirits of all Animals were in the Water; and therefore it is said in *Genesis*, that the Spirit of God moved upon the Face of the Waters, *viz.* that from hence he might produce whatsoever was afterwards created.

8.5 But if it be said, this Argument doth not prove that all Spirits are Bodies, but that all Bodies have in them the Spirits of all Animals, so that every Body hath a Spirit in it, and likewise a Spirit and Body; and although they are thus united, yet they still remain different in Nature one from another, and so cannot be changed one into another. To this I Answer, if every Body, even the least, hath in it the Spirits of all Animals, and other Things; even as matter is said to have in it all Forms: Now I demand, Whether a Body hath actually all those Spirits in it, or potentially only?

MODERN

I shall draw a fifth argument from what we observe in all visible bodies, such as earth, water, stones, wood, and so on. What an abundance of spirits there is in all these things! For earth and water continually produce animals, as they have done from the beginning. A pond filled with water can produce fish, though none were ever put there to multiply or breed. And since all other things come more originally from earth and water, it necessarily follows that the spirits of all animals were in the water. That is why it is said in Genesis that the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters: namely, so that from them he might produce whatever was created afterward.

But it may be said that this argument does not prove that all spirits are bodies. It proves only that all bodies have in them the spirits of all animals, so that every body has a spirit in it, and so contains both a spirit and a body. On this view, although the two are united in this way, they still remain different in nature from each other, and so cannot be changed into one another. To this I answer: suppose every body, even the smallest, has in it the spirits of all animals and of other things, just as matter is said to have all forms in it. I now

If actually, How is it possible that so many Spirits essentially distinct from Body, can actually exist in their distinct Essences in so small a Body, (even in the least that can be conceived,) unless it be by intrinseck Presence, which is not communicable to any Creature, as is already proved: For if all kinds of Spirits are in any, even the least Body, How comes it to pass, that such an Animal is produced of this Body, and not another? Yea, how comes it to pass that all kind of Animals are not immediately produced out of one and the same Body?

which experience denies; for we see that Nature keeps her order in all her Operations; whence one Animal is formed of another, and one *Species* proceeds from another; as well when it ascends to a farther Perfection, as when it descends to a viler State and Condition: But if they say, all Spirits are contained in any Body, not actually in their distinct Essences, but only potentially as they term it; then it must be granted, that the Body and all those Spirits are one and the same thing; that is, that a Body may be turned into them; as when we say Wood is potentially Fire, that is, can be turned into Fire; Water is potentially Air, that is, may be changed into Air.

Moreover, if Spirits and Bodies are so inseparably united, that no Body can be without a Spirit, yea, not without many Spirits; this is certainly a great Argument, that they are of one Original Nature and Substance, otherwise we could not conceive, why in so various and wonderful dissolutions, and separation of Things, they should not at length be separated one from another, as we see the subtiler Things may be separated from the grosser? But whence is it, that when a Body is at length corrupted, out of this Corruption another *Species* of Things is generated?

So out of Earth and Water corrupted, proceed Animals; yea, Stones if they putrefie or rot, pass into Animals: So Dung, or other putrefied Matter, generates Animals, all which have Spirits: But how doth Corruption or Dissolution of Body tend to a new Generation, and that indeed of Animals? If it be said the Spirits of those Animals are as it

ask: does a body have all those spirits in it actually, or only potentially?

If actually, how is it possible that so many spirits, essentially distinct from body, can actually exist in their distinct essences in so small a body (even the smallest that can be conceived), unless by intrinsic presence? But intrinsic presence cannot be communicated to any creature, as has already been proved. Again, if all kinds of spirits are in any body, even the smallest, how is it that this animal is produced from this body, and not another? Indeed, how is it that all kinds of animals are not produced immediately out of one and the same body?

Experience denies this. For we see that nature keeps her order in all her operations. So one animal is formed from another, and one species proceeds from another, both when it ascends to a further perfection and when it descends to a baser state and condition. But suppose they say that all spirits are contained in any body not actually, in their distinct essences, but only potentially, as they put it. Then it must be granted that the body and all those spirits are one and the same thing, that is, that a body can be turned into them. So when we say that wood is potentially fire, we mean that it can be turned into fire; and that water is potentially air, we mean that it can be changed into air.

Moreover, if spirits and bodies are so inseparably united that no body can exist without a spirit, indeed without many spirits, this is certainly a strong argument that they are of one original nature and substance. Otherwise we could not conceive why, in so many varied and wonderful dissolutions and separations of things, they are never finally separated from one another, as we see that subtler things can be separated from denser ones. And how is it that when a body is finally corrupted, another species of things is generated out of this corruption?

So animals come from corrupted earth and water. Indeed, stones, if they putrefy or rot, pass into animals. So too dung, or other putrefied matter, generates animals, all of which have spirits. But how does the corruption or dissolution of a body lead to a new generation, and of animals at that? It may be said that the spirits of those animals are, as it

1692

were loosed from their Bonds, and set at Liberty by this dissolution, and that then they can form or fashion to themselves a new Body, out of the aforesaid Matter, by virtue of their Plastick Faculty: Unto this I reply, How did the Primitive Body so hold it Captive? Was it because it was so hard and thick?

If so, it will be manifest that those Spirits are nothing else but subtile Bodies, because hardness and density of Body could imprison them, that they could not pass out; for if a Spirit could as easily penetrate the hardest Body, as the softest and most fluid, it could as easily pass out of the one as the other, nor would there be need of Death and Corruption to a new Life or Generation; therefore this kind of Captivity of Spirits in some kind of hard Bodies, and their deliverance therefrom, when the Bodies become soft, affords us a manifest Argument, that Spirit and Body are originally of one Nature and Substance, and that a Body is nothing but a fixed and condensed Spirit, and a Spirit nothing but a subtile and volatile Body.

And here is to be noted, that in all hard Bodies, as in Stones, whether common or precious; and so also in Metals, Herbs, Trees, and Animals; yea, in all Humane Bodies, there don't only exist many Spirits (which are as it were imprisoned in those gross Bodies, and united with them, and therefore cannot flow forth, or fly out into other Bodies, until they have passed Death or Dissolution;) but also many other very subtile Spirits, which continually flow from them, and which by reason of their subtilty, the hardness of the Body (in which they lay hid) cannot detain; and these Spirits are the more subtile Productions, or the Sutures of the grosser Spirits detained in the Body;

for although these are detained therein, yet they are not idle in their Prison, but their Bodies are as it were Shops for them to work out those subtiler Spirits, which afterwards flow out in colours, sounds, odours, tastes, and divers other Powers and Vertues; whence the gross Body, and the Spirits therein contained, are as it were the Mother of those subtiler Spirits, who take the place of Children; for Nature still works to a

MODERN

were, loosed from their bonds and set at liberty by this dissolution, and that they can then form or fashion a new body for themselves out of that matter by virtue of their plastic faculty. To this I reply: how did the original body hold them captive? Was it because it was so hard and thick?

If so, it will be evident that those spirits are nothing but subtle bodies, since the hardness and density of a body could imprison them so that they could not pass out. For if a spirit could penetrate the hardest body as easily as the softest and most fluid, it could pass out of the one as easily as out of the other, and there would be no need of death and corruption for a new life or generation. So this kind of captivity of spirits in certain hard bodies, and their release from it when the bodies become soft, gives us a clear argument that spirit and body are originally of one nature and substance. A body is nothing but a fixed and condensed spirit, and a spirit nothing but a subtle and volatile body.

Here it should be noted that in all hard bodies there exist many spirits that are, as it were, imprisoned in those dense bodies and united with them. This holds for stones, whether common or precious, and likewise for metals, herbs, trees, and animals, indeed for all human bodies. These spirits therefore cannot flow forth or fly out into other bodies until they have passed through death or dissolution. But there are also many other very subtle spirits that continually flow out of such bodies. Because of their subtlety, the hardness of the body in which they lay hidden cannot hold them back. These spirits are the subtler productions, or offspring, of the denser spirits held in the body;[3]

for although those denser spirits are held there, they are not idle in their prison. Their bodies are, as it were, workshops in which they work out those subtler spirits, which afterward flow out as colors, sounds, smells, tastes, and various other powers and virtues. So the dense body and the spirits contained in it are, as it were, the mother of those subtler spirits, which take the place of children. For nature always works toward a further

3 The 1692 text reads “Sutures”. The mother-and-children image in the next sentence shows that “offspring” is meant.

1692

farther perfection of subtilty and spirituality; even as this is the most natural Property of all Motion and Operation: For all Motion wears and divides, and so renders a Thing subtile and spiritual.

Even thus in Man's Body, the Meat and Drink is first changed into Chyle, then into Blood, afterwards into Spirits, which are nothing else but Blood brought to perfection; and these Spirits, whether good or bad, still advance to a greater subtilty or spirituality, and by those Spirits which come from the Blood, we see, hear, smell, taste, feel, and think, yea meditate, love, hate, and do all things whatsoever we do; and from hence also cometh the Seed, by which Humane Kind is propagated; and hence especially proceeds the Voice and Speech of Man, which is full of Spirits (form'd in the Heart) either Good or Evil, as Christ hath taught; *That out of the Plenty of the Heart the Mouth speaketh, and that a Good Man out of the Good Treasure of his Heart bringeth forth Good Things*, &c.

Also *that which goeth into a Man doth not defile him, but that which proceeds out of him;* for in like manner as they proceed from him, so shall they again return into him.

8.6 And these are the proper Angels, or Ministring Spirits of a Man, (although there are other Angels also, as well Good as Evil, which come unto Men:) Of which Angels Christ speaketh, where he speaketh of those little Ones that believe on him: *Their Angels* (saith he) *always behold the Face of my Heavenly Father.* Which are the Angels of those Believers, who become, as it were, like little Infants.

MODERN

perfection of subtlety and spirituality, since this is the most natural property of all motion and operation. All motion wears down and divides, and so makes a thing subtle and spiritual.

It is the same in the human body. Food and drink are first changed into chyle, then into blood, and afterward into spirits, which are nothing but blood brought to perfection. And these spirits, whether good or bad, keep advancing to greater subtlety or spirituality. By these spirits that come from the blood we see, hear, smell, taste, feel, and think. Indeed, by them we meditate, love, hate, and do everything whatsoever that we do. From them also comes the seed by which humankind is propagated. And from them especially comes the voice and speech of a human being, which is full of spirits (formed in the heart), either good or evil, as Christ has taught: "out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaks," and "a good man out of the good treasure of his heart brings forth good things," etc.

Also: "what goes into a man does not defile him, but what comes out of him." For in the same way as they come out of him, so they will return into him again.

And these are a person's own angels, or ministering spirits (although there are other angels as well, both good and evil, that come to people). Christ speaks of these angels when he speaks of those little ones who believe in him: "Their angels," he says, "always behold the face of my heavenly Father." These are the angels of those believers who become, as it were, like little children.

SIXTH REASON: SCRIPTURE

Biblical texts show that all things have life in some degree.

1692

8.7 My sixth and last Argument I shall deduce from certain Texts of Scripture, as well of the Old as New Testament, which do prove in plain and express Words, that all Things have Life, and do really live in some degree or measure. *Acts* 17. 27. It is said, *He giveth Life to all Things.* Again, 1 *Tim.* 6. 13. of God it is said, *That he quickens all Things.* And *Luk.* 20. 38.

MODERN

I shall draw my sixth and last argument from certain texts of Scripture, from both the Old and the New Testament, which prove in plain and express words that all things have life and truly live in some degree or measure. In Acts 17:27 it is said, "He gives life to all things." Again, in 1 Timothy 6:13 it is said of God "that he quickens all things." And in Luke 20:38

1692

he is not called, *The God of the Dead, but of the Living*, (which though principally meant of Men, yet it is generally to be understood of all other Creatures,) *viz.* he is the God of all those Things which have their Regeneration and Resurrection in their kind, no less then Man hath in his Kind: For Death is not the Annihilation of these Things; but a change from one kind and degree of Life to another; wherefore also the Apostle proves, and illustrates the Resurrection of the Dead by a Grain of Wheat, which being faln into the ground, dies, and riseth again exceeding fruitful.

MODERN

he is called "not the God of the dead, but of the living." Though this is meant chiefly of human beings, it is also to be understood generally of all other creatures: he is the God of all those things that have their regeneration and resurrection in their kind, no less than human beings have in theirs. For death is not the annihilation of these things but a change from one kind and degree of life to another. That is also why the Apostle proves and illustrates the resurrection of the dead by a grain of wheat, which falls into the ground, dies, and rises again exceedingly fruitful.

Chapter IX

Against Descartes, Hobbes and Spinoza
Why this philosophy is neither Cartesian nor Hobbesian nor Spinozist; life and perception belong to body; motion and vital action.

1692

9.1 From what hath been lately said, and from divers Reasons alledged, That Spirit and Body are originally in their first Substance but one and that same thing, it evidently appears that the Philosophers (so called) which have taught otherwise, whether Ancient or Modern, have generally erred and laid an ill Foundation in the very beginning, whence the whole House and Superstructure is so feeble, and indeed so unprofitable, that the whole Edifice and Building must in time decay, from which absurd Foundation have arose very many gross and dangerous Errours, not only in Philosophy, but also in Divinity (so called) to the great damage of Mankind, hindrance of true Piety, and contempt of God's most Glorious Name, as will easily appear, as well from what hath been already said, as from what shall be said in this Chapter.

MODERN

From what has just been said, and from the various reasons given to show that spirit and body are originally, in their first substance, one and the same thing, it plainly appears that the so-called philosophers who have taught otherwise, whether ancient or modern, have generally erred. They laid a bad foundation at the very beginning. As a result the whole house and superstructure is so feeble, and indeed so useless, that the entire edifice must in time decay. From this absurd foundation have arisen very many gross and dangerous errors, not only in philosophy but also in so-called theology. They have done great harm to humankind, hindered true piety, and brought contempt on God's most glorious name. This will easily appear, both from what has already been said and from what will be said in this chapter.

NEITHER DESCARTES NOR HOBBES

Conway distinguishes her philosophy from Descartes's dead matter and from Hobbes's and Spinoza's merging of God and creatures.

1692

9.2 And none can Object, That all this Philosophy is no other than that of *des Cartes*, or *Hobbs* under a new Mask. For, First, as touching the *Cartesian* Philosophy, this saith that every Body is a mere dead Mass, not only void of all kind of Life and Sense, but utterly uncapable thereof to all Eternity; this grand Errour also is to be imputed to all those who affirm Body and Spirit to be contrary Things, and inconvertible one into another, so as to deny a Body all Life and Sense; which is quite contrary to the grounds of this our Philosophy.

Wherefore it is so far from being a *Cartesian* Principle, under a new Mask, that it may be truly

MODERN

No one can object that all this philosophy is nothing but that of Descartes or Hobbes in a new mask. For, first, as regards the Cartesian philosophy: it says that every body is a mere dead mass, not only empty of every kind of life and sense but utterly incapable of them for all eternity. This great error must also be charged to all those who affirm that body and spirit are contrary things, not convertible into one another, so that they deny body all life and sense. This is quite contrary to the foundations of our philosophy.[1]

So far is it from being a Cartesian principle in a new mask that it may truly be called anti-

1692	MODERN
said it is *Anti-Cartesian*, in regard of their Fundamental Principles; although it cannot be denied that *Cartes* taught many excellent and ingenious Things concerning the Mechanical part of Natural Operations, and how all Natural Motions proceed according to Rules and Laws Mechanical, even as indeed Nature her self, i.	Cartesian with respect to their fundamental principles. Yet it cannot be denied that Descartes taught many excellent and ingenious things about the mechanical part of natural operations, and about how all natural motions proceed according to mechanical rules and laws. Indeed, nature herself, that is,
e. the Creature, hath an excellent Mechanical Skill and Wisdom in it self, (given it from God, who is the Fountain of all Wisdom,) by which it operates: But yet in Nature, and her Operations, they are far more than merely Mechanical; and the same is not a mere Organical Body, like a Clock, wherein there is not a vital Principle of Motion; but a living Body, having Life and Sense, which Body is far more sublime than a mere Mechanism, or Mechanical Motion.	the creature, has in itself an excellent mechanical skill and wisdom (given to it by God, who is the fountain of all wisdom), by which it operates. But nature and her operations are far more than merely mechanical. Nature is not a mere organic body, like a clock, in which there is no vital principle of motion. It is a living body, having life and sense, and such a body is far more sublime than a mere mechanism or mechanical motion.
9.3 But, Secondly, as to what pertains to *Hobbs*'s Opinion, this is yet more contrary to this our Philosophy, than that of *Cartes;* for *Cartes* acknowledged God to be plainly Immaterial, and an Incorporeal Spirit. *Hobbs* affirms God himself to be Material and Corporeal; yea, nothing else but Matter and Body, and so confounds God and the Creatures in their Essences, and denies that there is any Essential Distinction between them. These and many more the worst of Consequences are the Dictates of *Hobbs*'s Philosophy; to which may be added that of *Spinosa;* for this *Spinosa* also confounds God and the Creatures together, and makes but one Being of both; all which are diametrically opposite to the Philosophy here delivered by us.	But, second, Hobbes's opinion is even more contrary to our philosophy than that of Descartes. For Descartes acknowledged God to be plainly immaterial, an incorporeal spirit. Hobbes affirms that God himself is material and corporeal, indeed nothing but matter and body. So he confounds God and the creatures in their essences and denies that there is any essential distinction between them. These, and many more of the worst consequences, are the dictates of Hobbes's philosophy. To it may be added that of Spinoza, for Spinoza also confounds God and the creatures and makes a single being of both. All of this is diametrically opposed to the philosophy we present here.[2]
9.4 But the false and feeble Principles of some who have undertaken to refute the Philosophy of *Hobbs* and *Spinosa*, so called, have given them a greater advantage against themselves; so that they have not only in effect, not refuted them, but more exposed themselves to Contempt and Laughter.	But some who have undertaken to refute the so-called philosophy of Hobbes and Spinoza have worked from false and feeble principles. This has given those two a greater advantage over their critics. In effect the critics have not refuted them at all, and have instead exposed themselves all the more to contempt and laughter.
But if it be Objected, That this our Philosophy seems, at least, very like that of *Hobbs*, because he taught that all Creatures were originally one Substance, from the lowest and most ignoble, to	But suppose it is objected that our philosophy seems at least very like that of Hobbes. First, he taught that all creatures were originally one substance, from the lowest and most ignoble to the

1 René Descartes (1596–1650), French philosopher who divided created things into thinking mind and extended matter. Thomas Hobbes (1588–1679), English philosopher and materialist, author of *Leviathan* (1651).
2 Baruch (Benedict) de Spinoza (1632–1677), Dutch philosopher whose *Ethics* (1677) holds that there is only one substance, "God or Nature."

1692

the highest and noblest; from the smallest Worm, Insect, or Fly, unto the most Glorious Angel; yea, from the least Dust or Sand, unto the most excellent of all Creatures; and then this, that every Creature is Material and Corporeal; yea, Matter and Body it self; and by consequence the most Noble Actions thereof, are either Material and Corporeal, or after a certain Corporeal manner.

Now I Answer to the First, I grant that all Creatures are originally one Substance, from the lowest to the highest, and consequently convertible or changeable, from one of their Natures into another; and although *Hobbs* saith the same, yet that is no prejudice to the Truth of it, as neither are other parts of that Philosophy where *Hobbs* affirms something that is true, therefore an *Hobbism*, or an Opinion of *Hobbs* alone.

9.5 Moreover, this Principle is so far from defending them in their Errours, that nothing is so strong to refute them, *ex. gr.* The *Hobbists* argue, all Things are one, because we see that all visible Things may be changed one into another; yea, that all visible Things may be changed into invisible, as when Water is made Air, and Wood being burnt (for the greatest part) is changed into a certain invisible Substance, which is so subtile, that it escapes all observation of our Senses; add to which, that all invisible Things may become visible, as when Water proceeds from Air, *&c.* and hence he concludes, nothing is so low that it cannot attain to sublimity.

But now that we may Answer to this Argument, his Adversaries generally deny the Antecedent, and on the contrary affirm that no *Species* of Things is convertible into another: And when Wood is burnt, many say that the Wood is composed of two Substances; to wit, Matter and Form, and that the Matter remains the same, but the Form of the Wood is destroyed or annihilated, and a new Form of Fire is produced in this Matter; so that according to them, here is a continual Annihilation of real Substances and Productions of new Ones in this World:

But this is so frivolous, that many others deny that, in the case of Wood, changed into Fire, and afterwards into Smoak and Ashes, yet they still persist in the same Errour in other

MODERN

highest and noblest: from the smallest worm, insect, or fly to the most glorious angel, indeed from the least grain of dust or sand to the most excellent of all creatures. Second, he taught that every creature is material and corporeal, indeed matter and body itself. It would follow that its noblest actions are either material and corporeal, or take place in a certain corporeal manner.

I answer the first point as follows. I grant that all creatures are originally one substance, from the lowest to the highest, and are therefore convertible or changeable from one of their natures into another. Hobbes says the same, but that does not count against its truth. The same holds for other parts of that philosophy where Hobbes affirms something true: that does not make it a Hobbism, or an opinion of Hobbes alone.

Moreover, this principle is so far from defending them in their errors that nothing refutes them more strongly. For example, the Hobbists argue that all things are one, because we see that all visible things can be changed into one another. Indeed, all visible things can be changed into invisible ones. Water becomes air, and wood, when burned, is (for the most part) changed into a certain invisible substance so subtle that it escapes all observation by our senses. In addition, all invisible things can become visible, as when water comes from air, etc. From this he concludes that nothing is so low that it cannot rise to the highest.

Now, in answering this argument, his adversaries generally deny the antecedent and affirm, on the contrary, that no species of things is convertible into another. When wood is burned, many say that wood is composed of two substances, namely matter and form. The matter, they say, remains the same, while the form of the wood is destroyed or annihilated, and a new form of fire is produced in this matter. So according to them there is a continual annihilation of real substances, and production of new ones, in this world.

But this is so frivolous that many others reject it in the case of wood changed into fire and afterward into smoke and ashes. Yet they still persist in the same error in other transmutations, as when wood

1692

Transmutations, as when Wood is changed into an Animal, as we often see that of rotten Wood; yea, Dung also, living Creatures are generated: But if they deny here, that the Wood is changed into an Animal, and say that Wood is nothing but Matter; but Matter hath not Life, nor a capacity to Life or Sense; and therefore this Animal which hath Life and Sense, ought to have the same from elsewhere, and must have a Spirit or Soul in it, that is not a part of its Body, neither doth proceed from it, but is sent hither.

But if it be demanded of them, from whence this Spirit is sent, and who sendeth it? Also why a Spirit of this *Species* is sent, and not of another; here they are at a stand, and yield themselves to their Adversaries.

Therefore this our Philosophy before laid down, more strongly conduces to the refutation of the *Hobbesian* and *Spinosian* Philosophy, *viz.* that all Kinds of Creatures may be changed one into another, that the lowest may become the highest, and the highest (as considered originally in its own proper Nature) may become the lowest, *sc.* according to that Course and Succession which Divine Wisdom hath ordained, that one Change may succeed another in a certain order; so that *A* must be first turned into *B*, before it can be turned into *C*, which must be turned into *C*, before it can be changed into *D, &c.*

But we deny the Consequence, *viz.* that God and Creatures are one Substance.

For in all Transmutations of Creatures from one *Species* into another, as from a Stone into Earth, and from Earth into Grass, and from Grass to a Sheep, and from a Sheep into Humane Flesh, and from Humane Flesh into the most servile Spirits of Man, and from these into his noblest Spirits; but there can never be a Progression or Ascension made unto God, who is the chiefest of all Beings, and whose Nature still infinitely excels a Creature placed in his highest Perfection; for the Nature of God is every way unchangeable, so that it doth not admit of the least Shadow of a Change: But the Nature of a Creature is to be changeable.

MODERN

is changed into an animal, for we often see living creatures generated from rotten wood, and from dung too. Here they deny that the wood is changed into an animal. They say that wood is nothing but matter, and matter has no life, nor any capacity for life or sense. Therefore this animal, which has life and sense, must have them from elsewhere. It must have a spirit or soul in it that is not part of its body and does not proceed from it, but is sent into it from outside.

But ask them where this spirit is sent from, and who sends it, and also why a spirit of this species is sent rather than one of another. Here they are at a loss and give in to their adversaries.

Our philosophy set out above therefore contributes more strongly to refuting the Hobbesian and Spinozist philosophy. It holds that all kinds of creatures can be changed into one another, so that the lowest can become the highest, and the highest (considered originally in its own proper nature) can become the lowest. This happens according to the course and succession that divine wisdom has ordained, so that one change follows another in a certain order. Thus *A* must first be turned into *B* before it can be turned into *C*, and must be turned into *C* before it can be changed into *D*, etc.

But we deny the consequence, namely that God and creatures are one substance.

Creatures pass from one species into another in all their transmutations: from a stone into earth, from earth into grass, from grass into a sheep, from a sheep into human flesh, from human flesh into the humblest spirits of a human being, and from these into his noblest spirits. But in none of these can there ever be a progression or ascent to God. He is the highest of all beings, and his nature always infinitely surpasses a creature raised to its highest perfection. For the nature of God is in every way unchangeable, so that it does not admit the least shadow of change. But it is the nature of a creature to be changeable.

WHAT BODY REALLY IS

Body has life, perception and spirit as attributes, not only extension and shape.

1692

9.6 Secondly, If it be said, by way of Objection, that according to this Philosophy, every Creature is Material and Corporeal; yea, Body and Matter it self, as *Hobbs* teacheth. Now I Answer, That by Material and Corporeal, as also by Matter and Body, here the thing is far otherwise understood, than *Hobbs* understood it, and which was never discovered to *Hobbs* or *Cartes*, otherwise than in a Dream: For what do they understand by Matter and Body? Or, What Attributes do they ascribe to them? None, certainly, but these following as are Extension and Impenetrability, which nevertheless are but one Attribute; to which also may be referred Figurability and Mobility.

But, suppose, these are distinct Attributes, certainly this profits nothing, nor will ever help us to understand what that excellent Substance is, which they call Body and Matter; for they have never proceeded beyond the Husk or Shell, nor ever reached the Kernel, they only touch the Superficies, never discerning the Centre, they were plainly ignorant of the noblest and most excellent Attributes of that Substance which they call Body and Matter, and understood nothing of them, But if it be demanded, what are those more excellent Attributes?

I Answer, these following, Spirit, or Life, and Light, under which I comprehend, a capacity of all kind of Feeling, Sense, and Knowledge, Love, Joy, and Fruition, and all kind of Power and Virtue, which the noblest Creatures have or can have; so that even the vilest and most contemptible Creature; yea, Dust and Sand, may be capable of all those Perfections, *sc.* through various and succedaneous Transmutations from the one into the other; which according to the Natural Order of Things, require long Periods of Time for their Consummation, although the absolute Power of God (if it had pleased him) could have accelerated or hastened all Things, and effected it in one moment:

But this Wisdom of God saw it to be more expedient, that all Things should proceed in their Natural Order and Course; so that after this manner, that Fertility or Fruitfulness, which he hath endued every Being with, may appear, and

MODERN

Second, it may be objected that according to this philosophy every creature is material and corporeal, indeed body and matter itself, as Hobbes teaches. I answer that by material and corporeal, and by matter and body, something is understood here far different from what Hobbes understood. It is something that was never revealed to Hobbes or Descartes except in a dream. For what do they understand by matter and body? What attributes do they ascribe to them? Certainly none but extension and impenetrability, which are nevertheless only one attribute. Capacity for shape and mobility may also be referred to it.

But suppose these are distinct attributes. That certainly accomplishes nothing and will never help us understand what that excellent substance is which they call body and matter. For they never got beyond the husk or shell, nor ever reached the kernel. They touch only the surface and never discern the center. They were plainly ignorant of the noblest and most excellent attributes of that substance which they call body and matter, and understood nothing of them. But if it is asked what those more excellent attributes are,

I answer: spirit, or life, and light. Under these I include a capacity for every kind of feeling, sense and knowledge, love, joy and fruition, and every kind of power and virtue that the noblest creatures have or can have. So even the basest and most contemptible creature, indeed dust and sand, can be capable of all those perfections. It becomes capable of them through various successive transmutations from one thing into another. According to the natural order of things, these require long periods of time to be completed. The absolute power of God, had it pleased him, could indeed have accelerated or hastened all things and accomplished it in a single moment.

But the wisdom of God saw it to be more fitting that all things should proceed in their natural order and course. In this way the fertility or fruitfulness with which he has endowed every being can appear. The creatures have time, by working, to

1692

the Creatures have Time by Working still to promote themselves to a greater Perfection, as the Instruments of Divine Wisdom, Goodness and Power, which operates in, and with them; for therein the Creature hath the greater Joy, when it possesseth what it hath, as the Fruit of its own labour.

But this capacity of the afore-mentioned Perfections is quite a distinct Attribute from Life, and Understanding, or Knowledge, quite distinct from the former, *viz.* Extension and Figure; and so also a Vital Action is plainly distinct from Local, or Mechanical Motion, although it is not nor cannot be separated from it, but still useth the same at least, as its Instrument, in all its concourse with the Creatures.

9.7 I say, Life and Figure are distinct Attributes of one Substance, and as one and the same Body may be transmuted into all Kinds of Figures; and as the perfecter Figure comprehends that which is more imperfect; so one and the same Body may be transmuted from one degree of Life to another more perfect, which always comprehends in it the inferior.

We have an Example of Figure in a Triangular Prisme, which is the first Figure of all right lined solid Bodies, whereinto a Body is convertible; and from this into a Cube, which is a perfecter Figure, and comprehends in it a Prisme; from a Cube it may be turned into a more perfect Figure, which comes nearer to a Globe, and from this into another, which is yet nearer; and so it ascends from one Figure, more imperfect, to another more perfect, *ad infinitum;* for here are no bounds; nor can it be said, this Body cannot be changed into a perfecter Figure: But the meaning is, that that Body consists of plain right lines;

and this is always changeable into a perfecter Figure, and yet can never reach to the perfection of a Globe, although it always approaches nearer unto it; the case is the same in divers degrees of Life, which have indeed a beginning, but no end; so that the Creature is always capable of a farther and perfecter degree of Life, *ad infinitum*, and yet can never attain to be equal with God; for he is

MODERN

keep advancing themselves to greater perfection, as instruments of the divine wisdom, goodness, and power that operates in and with them. For the creature has the greater joy when it possesses what it has as the fruit of its own labor.

But this capacity for the perfections just mentioned, life and understanding or knowledge, is an attribute quite distinct from the former ones, namely extension and figure. In the same way a vital action is plainly distinct from local or mechanical motion. Yet it is not, and cannot be, separated from local motion, and in all its concourse with the creatures it always uses local motion, at least as its instrument.[3]

I say that life and figure are distinct attributes of one substance. One and the same body can be transformed into all kinds of figures, and the more perfect figure includes the more imperfect. In the same way one and the same body can be transformed from one degree of life to another more perfect one, and the more perfect always includes the lower in itself.

We have an example of figure in a triangular prism. This is the first figure of all rectilinear solid bodies into which a body can be converted. From this it can be turned into a cube, which is a more perfect figure and includes a prism in itself. From a cube it can be turned into a more perfect figure that comes nearer to a sphere, and from this into another that is nearer still. And so it ascends from one more imperfect figure to another more perfect, *ad infinitum*. For there are no bounds here, and it can never be said that this body cannot be changed into a more perfect figure. What is meant is that the body is made of plane, straight-lined sides;

such a body can always be changed into a more perfect figure, yet it can never reach the perfection of a sphere, although it always comes nearer to it. The case is the same with the various degrees of life, which indeed have a beginning but no end. So the creature is always capable of a further and more perfect degree of life, *ad infinitum*, and yet can never come to be equal with God. For he is al-

3 The 1692 English is garbled here. The sense, confirmed in 9.7, is that life and knowledge are distinct from extension and figure.

1692

still infinitely more perfect than a Creature, in its highest Elevation or Perfection, even as a Globe is the most perfect of all other Figures, unto which none can approach.

9.8 And thus Life and Figure are distinct, but not contrary Attributes of one and the same Substance, and Figure serves the Operations of Life, as we see in the Body of Man or Beast, how the Figure of the Eye serves the Sight; the Figure of the Ear, the Hearing; the Figure of the Mouth, Teeth, Lips, and Tongue, serve the Speech; the Figure of the Hands and Fingers serve to Work; the Figure of the Feet to Walk; and so the Figures of all the other Members have their use, and very much conduce to the Vital Operations, which the Spirit performs in these Members; Yea the Figure of the whole Body is more commodious for the proper Operations of Human Life, than any other Figure whatsoever is, or could be made; So that Life and Figure consist very well together in one Body, or Substance, where Figure is an Instrument of Life, without which no Vital Operation can be performed.

MODERN

ways infinitely more perfect than a creature at its highest elevation or perfection. In the same way a sphere is the most perfect of all figures, and none of the others can reach it.

Thus life and figure are distinct but not contrary attributes of one and the same substance, and figure serves the operations of life. We see this in the body of a human being or an animal. The figure of the eye serves sight, and the figure of the ear serves hearing. The figure of the mouth, teeth, lips, and tongue serves speech. The figure of the hands and fingers serves work, and the figure of the feet serves walking. In the same way the figures of all the other members have their use and contribute greatly to the vital operations that the spirit performs in these members. Indeed, the figure of the whole body is better suited to the proper operations of human life than any other figure that exists or could be made. So life and figure fit very well together in one body or substance, where figure is an instrument of life, without which no vital operation can be performed.

VITAL ACTION AND LOCAL MOTION

Vital action is distinct from mechanical motion but uses it as its instrument across distances.

1692

9.9 Likewise, Local and Mechanical Motion *(i. e.)* the carrying of Body from place to place, is a Manner or Operation distinct from Action or Vital Operation, altho' they are inseparable, so that a Vital Action can in no wise be without all Local Motion, because this is the Instrument thereof. So the Eye cannot see, unless Light enter it, which is a Motion, and stirs up a Vital Action in the Eye, which is Seeing; and so in all other Vital Operations in the whole Body.

But an Action of Life is a far Nobler and Diviner manner of Operation than Local Motion; and yet both agree to one Substance, and consist well together; for as the Eye receives the Light into it self, from the Object which it seeth from without; so also it sends the same Light to the Object, and in this Spirit and Life is a Vital Action, uniting the Object and Sight together.

Wherefore *Hobbs*, and all others who side with him, grievously erre, whilst they teach that Sense

MODERN

Likewise, local and mechanical motion (that is, the carrying of a body from place to place) is a mode or operation distinct from vital action or operation. Yet the two are inseparable. A vital action can in no way exist without all local motion, because local motion is its instrument. So the eye cannot see unless light enters it. Light is a motion, and it stirs up a vital action in the eye, which is seeing. And so it is in all the other vital operations throughout the body.

But an action of life is a far nobler and more divine manner of operation than local motion. Yet both belong to one substance and fit well together. For as the eye receives into itself the light from the object it sees outside, so it also sends the same light to the object. In this spirit and life there is a vital action, which unites the object and the sight.

Therefore Hobbes, and all who side with him, err gravely when they teach that sense and knowledge

1692

and Knowledge is no other than a re-action of Corporeal Particles one upon another, where, by re-action, he means no other than Local and Mechanical Motion.

But indeed Sense and Knowledge is a Thing far more Noble and Divine, than any Local or Mechanical, Motion of any Particles whatsoever; for it is the Motion or Action of Life, which uses the other as its Instrument, whose Service consists herein; that is, to stir up a Vital Action in the Subject or Percipient; and can like Local Motion be transmitted through divers Bodies, although very far distant asunder, which therefore are united, and that without any new Transition of Body or Matter, ex.

gr. *a Beam of Wood of an exceeding great length, is moved by one Extream from the North to the South, the other Extream will necessarily be moved also; and the Action is transmitted through the whole Beam, without any Particles of Matter sent hither to promote Motion, from one Extream to the other; because the Beam it self is sufficient to transmit the said Motion: After the same manner also, a Vital Action can proceed together with Local Motion from one thing to another, and that too at a great distance, where there is an apt and fit* Medium *to transmit it, and here we may observe a kind of Divine Spirituality or Subtilty in every Motion, and so in every Action of Life, which no created Body or Substance is capable of,* viz. by Intrinsecal Presence, which (as before is proved) agrees to no created Substance; and yet agrees to every Motion or Action whatsoever:

For Motion or Action is not a certain Matter or Substance, but only a manner of its Being; and therefore is intrinsecally present in the Subject, whereof there is a *Modus*, or Manner, and can pass from Body to Body, at a great distance, if it finds a fit *Medium* to transmit it; and by how much the stronger the Motion is, so much the farther it reacheth; so when a Stone is cast into standing Waters, it causes a Motion every way from the Centre to the Circumference, forming Circles still greater and greater at a great distance, by how much longer the time is, till at length it vanishes from our sight; and then without doubt, it makes yet more invisible Circles for a longer space of Time, which our dull Senses cannot apprehend, and this Motion is transmitted from the Centre to the Circumference, not conveighed thither by any

MODERN

are nothing but a reaction of corporeal particles on one another. By reaction he means nothing but local and mechanical motion.

But in truth sense and knowledge are something far nobler and more divine than any local or mechanical motion of any particles whatsoever. They are the motion or action of life, which uses local motion as its instrument. The service of that instrument consists in stirring up a vital action in the subject or percipient. And a vital action, like local motion, can be transmitted through various bodies, even when they are very far apart. Those bodies are thereby united, and this happens without any new passage of body or matter. For example,

if a very long wooden beam is moved at one end from north to south, the other end will necessarily move too. The action is transmitted through the whole beam without any particles of matter being sent from one end to the other to promote the motion, because the beam itself is sufficient to transmit it. In the same way a vital action can pass, together with local motion, from one thing to another, even at a great distance, where there is an apt and fit *medium* to transmit it. And here we can observe a kind of divine spirituality or subtlety in every motion, and so in every action of life, of which no created body or substance is capable, namely intrinsic presence. As was proved before, this belongs to no created substance, and yet it belongs to every motion or action whatsoever.

For motion or action is not some matter or substance, but only a manner of its being. It is therefore intrinsically present in the subject whose *modus*, or mode, it is. It can pass from body to body at a great distance if it finds a fit *medium* to transmit it, and the stronger the motion, the farther it reaches. So when a stone is thrown into still water, it causes a motion in every direction from the center to the circumference. It forms ever larger circles, reaching farther the longer it lasts, until at last it vanishes from our sight. Then, without doubt, it goes on making invisible circles for a longer space of time, which our dull senses cannot perceive. This motion is transmitted from the center to the circumference. It is not carried

1692

Body or Substance, carrying this Motion with it from the Stone.

And as the External Light also, seeing it is an Action or Motion stirred up by some illuminate Body, may be transmitted through Glass, Chrystal, or any other transparent Body, without any Substance, Body, or Matter, conveighed from that illuminate Body from whence the said Action proceeded, not that I would deny that abundance of subtile Matter continually flows from all illuminate Bodies, so that the whole Substance of a burning Candle is spent in such Emanations: And this hath in it that Motion or Action, which we call Light; but this Motion or Action may be increased, v.

g. by Chrystal, where those subtile Emanations of Bodies may be restrained, that they cannot pass out at least in such abundance, as may be sufficient to communicate the whole Light: But seeing Chrystal (which doth so easily transmit the Light) is so hard and solid, How can it receive so many Bodies, and transmit them so easily through it, when other Bodies, neither so hard nor solid, do let or resist it?

for Wood is neither so hard nor solid as Chrystal, and yet Chrystal is transparent, but Wood not; and certainly Wood is more porous than Chrystal, because it is less solid, and consequently the Light doth not enter by the Pores of the Chrystal, but through the very Substance of it; and yet so as not to adhere to it, or make any turgescency or increase of Quantity, but by a certain intrinseck presence, because it is not a Body or Substance, but a mere Action or Motion.

Now Chrystal is a fitter *Medium* to receive this Motion, which we call Light, than Wood is; and hence it is, that it pervades or passeth through that and not this; and as there is a great diversity of the Motion and Operation of Bodies, so every Motion requires its proper *Medium* to transmit the same. Therefore 'tis manifest, that Motion may be transmitted through diverse Bodies, by another kind of penetration, than any Body or Matter (how subtile soever it be) is able to make; to wit, by intrinseck Presence.

And if mere Local or Mechanical Motion can do that, then certainly a Vital Action (which is a nobler kind of Motion) can do the same; and if it can penetrate those Bodies, it passeth through by

MODERN

there by any body or substance that brings this motion with it from the stone.

The same holds for external light. Since it is an action or motion stirred up by some luminous body, it can be transmitted through glass, crystal, or any other transparent body. No substance, body, or matter need be carried from the luminous body from which that action proceeded. Not that I would deny that an abundance of subtle matter continually flows from all luminous bodies, so that the whole substance of a burning candle is spent in such emanations. This matter has in it the motion or action we call light. But this motion or action can be increased, for example

by crystal, where those subtle emanations of bodies can be held back, so that they cannot pass through, at least not in such abundance as would suffice to communicate the whole light. For crystal, which transmits light so easily, is so hard and solid. How could it receive so many bodies and transmit them so easily through itself, when other bodies that are neither so hard nor so solid hinder or resist them?

For wood is neither so hard nor so solid as crystal, and yet crystal is transparent and wood is not. And wood is certainly more porous than crystal, because it is less solid. Consequently the light does not enter through the pores of the crystal but through its very substance, yet without adhering to it or causing any swelling or increase in quantity. It enters by a certain intrinsic presence, because it is not a body or substance but a mere action or motion.

Now crystal is a fitter *medium* than wood for receiving this motion we call light, and that is why light passes through the one and not the other. And as the motions and operations of bodies are very diverse, so every motion requires its own proper *medium* to transmit it. It is therefore clear that motion can be transmitted through various bodies by a different kind of penetration from any that body or matter, however subtle, is able to make, namely by intrinsic presence.

And if mere local or mechanical motion can do this, then a vital action, which is a nobler kind of motion, can certainly do the same. And if it can penetrate those bodies and passes through them

1692

intrinseck Presence, then it may in one moment be transmitted from one Body to another, or rather require no time at all, I mean Motion or Action it self requires not the least time for its transmission, although 'tis impossible but that the Body, wherein the Motion is carried from place to place, ought to have some time, either greater or lesser, according to the quality of Body and vehemency of Motion which carries it.

And therefore we see how every Motion and Action, considered in the Abstract, hath a wonderful subtilty or spirituality in it, beyond all created Substances whatsoever, so that neither Time nor Place can limit the same; and yet they are nothing else but Modes or Manners of created Substances, *viz.* their Strength, Power, and Virtue, whereby they are extendible into great Substances, beyond what the Substance it self can make. And so we may distinguish Extension into Material and Virtual, which two-fold Extension every Creature hath; Material Extension is that which Matter, Body, or Substance hath, as considered without all Motion or Action; and this Extension (to speak properly) is neither greater or lesser, because it would still remain the same.

A Virtual Extension is a Motion or Action which a Creature hath, whether immediately given from God, or immediately received from its Fellow Creature. That which is immediately given of God (from whom also it hath its Being,) and which is the natural and proper effect of its Essence, is in a more proper way of speaking, a proper Motion of the Creature, proceeding from the innermost parts thereof; and therefore may be called Internal Motion, as distinguished from External, which is only from another; and therefore in respect thereof may be called Foreign; and when the said External Motion endeavours to carry a Body, or any Thing, to a place whereunto it hath properly no natural inclination, then it is preternatural and violent;

as when a Stone is thrown up into the Air, which Motion being preternatural and violent, is plainly Local and Mechanical, and no way vital, because it doth not proceed from the Life of the Thing so moved: But every Motion, proceeding from the proper Life and Will of the Creature, is vital; and this I call a Motion of Life, which is not plainly Local and Mechanical as the other, but hath in it a

MODERN

by intrinsic presence, then it can be transmitted from one body to another in a single moment, or rather requires no time at all. I mean that motion or action itself does not require the least time for its transmission. The body in which the motion is carried from place to place, however, must take some time, greater or less, according to the quality of the body and the force of the motion that carries it.

So we see how every motion and action, considered in the abstract, has a wonderful subtlety or spirituality beyond all created substances whatsoever, so that neither time nor place can limit it. And yet motions and actions are nothing but modes or manners of created substances, namely their strength, power, and virtue. By these they can extend into great substances, beyond what the substance itself can make. And so we can divide extension into material and virtual, and every creature has this twofold extension. Material extension is what matter, body, or substance has when considered apart from all motion or action. This extension, properly speaking, is neither greater nor less, because it always remains the same.

Virtual extension is a motion or action that a creature has, whether given immediately by God or received immediately from a fellow creature. What is given immediately by God (from whom the creature also has its being), and is the natural and proper effect of its essence, is, more properly speaking, the creature's own motion, proceeding from its innermost parts. It may therefore be called internal motion. External motion, by contrast, comes only from another, and so in that respect may be called foreign. And when this external motion tries to carry a body, or anything else, to a place to which it has no proper natural inclination, it is preternatural and violent,

as when a stone is thrown up into the air. This motion, being preternatural and violent, is purely local and mechanical and in no way vital, because it does not proceed from the life of the thing so moved. But every motion that proceeds from the creature's own life and will is vital. This I call a motion of life. It is not purely local and mechanical like the other, but has life and vital virtue in it.

1692

Life, and Vital Virtue, and this is the Virtual Extension of a Creature, which is either greater or lesser, according to that kind or degree of Life wherewith the Creature is endued, for when a Creature arrives at a Nobler Kind and Degree of Life, then doth it receive the greater Power and Virtue to move it self, and transmit its vital Motions to the greatest distance.

But how Motion or Action may be transmitted from one Body to another, is with many a matter of great debate; because it is not a Body or Substance; and if it be only Motion of Body, how Motion can pass properly with its own subject into another, because the very being of *Modus*, or Manner, consist herein, *viz.* to exist or be inherent in its own Body: The Answer to this Objection, which seemeth to me best, is this, That Motion is not propagated from one Body to another by Local Motion, because Motion it self is not moved, but only moves the Body in which it is; for if Motion could be propagated by Local Motion, this Motion would be propagated of another, and this again of another, and so *ad infinitum;* which is absurd.

Therefore the manner of the said propagation is (as it were) by real Production or Creation; so that as God and Christ can only create the Substance of a Thing, when as no Creature can Create or give Being to any Substance, no not as an Instrument; so a Creature, not of it self, but in subordination to God, as his Instrument may give existence to Motion and vital Action, and so the Motion in one Creature may produce Motion in another:

And this is all a Creature can do towards the moving it self or its Fellow Creatures, as being the Instrument of God, by which Motions a new Substance is not created, but only new *Species* of Things, so that Creatures may be multiplied in their Kinds, whilst one acts upon, and moves another; and this is the whole Work of the Creature, or Creation, as the Instrument of God; but if it moves against his Will, whose Instrument it is, then it Sins, and is punished for it: But God (as before was said) is not the cause of Sin; for when a Creature Sins, he abuseth the Power God hath granted him; and so the Creature is culpable, and God intirely free from every spot or blemish hereof.

MODERN

And this is the virtual extension of a creature, which is greater or less according to the kind or degree of life with which the creature is endowed. For when a creature arrives at a nobler kind and degree of life, it receives greater power and virtue to move itself and to transmit its vital motions to the greatest distance.

But for many people it is a matter of great debate how motion or action can be transmitted from one body to another. Motion is not a body or substance. And if it is only a motion of body, how can it properly pass with its own subject into another? For the very being of a *modus*, or mode, consists in existing or inhering in its own body. The answer to this objection that seems best to me is this. Motion is not propagated from one body to another by local motion, because motion itself is not moved. It only moves the body in which it is. For if motion could be propagated by local motion, this motion would be propagated by another, and that one again by another, and so *ad infinitum*, which is absurd.

Therefore this propagation takes place, as it were, by real production or creation. Only God and Christ can create the substance of a thing, and no creature can create or give being to any substance, not even as an instrument. But a creature, acting not of itself but in subordination to God as his instrument, can give existence to motion and vital action. In this way the motion in one creature can produce motion in another.

And this is all a creature can do toward moving itself or its fellow creatures, as the instrument of God. By these motions no new substance is created, but only new species of things, so that creatures can be multiplied in their kinds while one acts on and moves another. And this is the whole work of the creature, or of creation, as the instrument of God. But if it moves against the will of him whose instrument it is, it sins and is punished for it. Yet God, as was said before, is not the cause of sin. For when a creature sins, it abuses the power God has granted it. So the creature is to blame, and God is entirely free of every spot or blemish of it.

1692

If therefore we apply those things which have been already spoken, concerning the Attributes of a Body, *viz.* that it hath not only Quantity and Figure, but Life also; and is not only locally and mechanically but vitally moveable, and can transmit its vital Action whithersoever it pleaseth, provided it hath a *Medium* aptly disposed, and if it hath none it can extend it self by the subtile Emanation of its parts, which is the fittest and most proper *Medium* of it, to receive and transmit its vital Action.

Hereby it will be easie to Answer to all the Arguments, whereby some endeavour to prove that a Body is altogether uncapable of Sense and Knowledge; and it may be easily demonstrated, after what manner some certain Body may gradually advance to that Perfection, as not only to be capable of such Sense and Knowledge as Brutes have, but of any kind of Perfection whatsoever may happen in any Man or Angel; and so we may be able to understand the Words of Christ, *that of Stones God is able to raise up Children to* Abraham, without flying to some strained Metaphor; and if any one should deny this Omnipotence of God, *viz.* that *God is able of Stones to raise up Children to* Abraham; that certainly would be the greatest Presumption.

MODERN

Let us therefore apply what has already been said about the attributes of a body. A body has not only quantity and figure but also life. It is movable not only locally and mechanically but also vitally, and it can transmit its vital action wherever it pleases, provided it has a suitably disposed *medium*. If it has none, it can extend itself by the subtle emanation of its parts, which is its fittest and most proper *medium* for receiving and transmitting its vital action.

Once this is applied, it will be easy to answer all the arguments by which some try to prove that a body is wholly incapable of sense and knowledge. It can also easily be demonstrated how a given body can advance by degrees to such perfection that it becomes capable not only of the sense and knowledge that animals have, but of every kind of perfection whatsoever that can be found in any human being or angel. In this way we can understand the words of Christ, "from stones God is able to raise up children to Abraham," without resorting to some strained metaphor. And if anyone were to deny this omnipotence of God, namely that "God is able from stones to raise up children to Abraham," that would certainly be the greatest presumption.